

CTI-LIB: a Coq Library for PL Meta-Theory with Concrete Names

Aaron Stump

Computer Science and Engineering
Washington University
St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Contributions from Aayush Munjal, Michael Zeller.

Supported by NSF CCF-0448275.

CTI-LIB Goals

- “Contextual Term Interpretations Library”.
- Support PL meta-theory in Coq with concrete names.
- Provide generic datatype for terms with binders.
- Provide recursion/induction principles for such terms.
- Define operations like substitution generically.
- Prove theorems like Substitution Lemma generically.
- Drive development by case studies.

Concrete Names vs. de Bruijn Indices

- Pros for concrete names:
 - ▶ Languages typically defined using named variables.
 - ▶ Tools support named variables.
 - ▶ There is a gap if meta-theory done with de Bruijn indices.
 - ▶ De Bruijn indices can be non-intuitive, tedious to work with.
- Cons for concrete names:
 - ▶ Capture-avoiding substitution not easy to define.

Rest of Talk

- Generic Coq datatype of terms with binders.
- Defining functions by contextual term interpretation (CTI).
- An induction principle for CTIs.
- Alpha-canonical form and substitution.
- Use case study for examples:
 - ▶ Type preservation for a simply typed λ -calculus.
 - ▶ 2 abstractors: CBV λ_f and “transparent” λ_t .
 - ▶ Evaluation under λ_t is an additional challenge.

The `term` Datatype

- Terms are uses of named variables or applications of operators.
- Names specified by a `NAMES` module:
 - ▶ A type `name`.
 - ▶ Computable isomorphism from `name` to the natural numbers.
- Operators specified in a `SIG` module:
 - ▶ A type `op` for operators, with decidable equality.
 - ▶ Arity functions: for each `op`, how many
 - ★ Bound variables
 - ★ “Non-governed” subterms
 - ★ “Governed” subterms
 - ▶ Annotation type function: for each `op`:
 - ★ a Coq `Set` for annotations
 - ★ decidable equality on those annotations
- Dependent types ensure correct numbers of subterms.

The Coq Definition of `trm`

```
Module TRM(s:SIG)(n:NAMES).
```

```
Export s.
```

```
Export n.
```

```
Inductive trm : Set :=
```

```
  var : name -> trm
```

```
| exp : forall o:op,
```

```
  anno o ->
```

```
  trms (ar_ng o) -> (* not governed *)
```

```
  llist name (ar_b o) -> (* bound variables *)
```

```
  trms (ar_gv o) -> (* governed *)
```

```
  trm
```

```
with trms : nat -> Set :=
```

```
  trmsn : trms 0
```

```
| trmsc : forall n:nat, trm -> trms n -> trms (S n).
```

```
...
```

Example: Simply-Typed Lambda Terms

```
Module ST_SIG <: SIG.  
  Inductive _op : Set :=  
    _arrow : _op  
  | _base : btp -> _op.  
  ...  
End ST_SIG.  
Module ST := TRM ST_SIG NAT_NAMES.  
Definition tp := ST.trm.
```

```
Module LAM_SIG <: SIG.  
  Inductive _op : Set :=  
    _lam : bool -> _op  
  | _app : _op.  
  Definition anno := fun o:op =>  
    match o with  
    | _lam _ => tp  
    | _app => unit  
  end.
```

...

Contextual Term Interpretation

- Define function from term to A by interpretation.
- So $\llbracket t \rrbracket : A$.
- User provides interpretations of operators.
- Library implements homomorphic extension to terms.
- To handle variables, the interpretation uses a context:
 - ▶ $\Gamma \llbracket t \rrbracket : A$.
 - ▶ Γ is a list of pairs of names and elements of A .
 - ▶ Interpret free variables as their values in Γ .
 - ▶ User provides function for free variables not declared in Γ .
- Interpretation of (binding) operator shows how to grow Γ :

$$\Gamma \llbracket f \ d \ \bar{n} \ \bar{x} \ \bar{g} \rrbracket = \llbracket f \rrbracket \ d \ (\Gamma \llbracket \bar{n} \rrbracket) \ (\lambda \bar{a}. (\Gamma, \bar{x} \mapsto \bar{a}) \llbracket \bar{g} \rrbracket)$$

Example: Computing Free Variables

Interpret generic terms into *list name*.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket f \rrbracket &:= \lambda d. \lambda \bar{N}. \lambda B. \\ &\quad (\cup \bar{N}) \cup (\cup (B \bar{nil})) \\ \llbracket x \rrbracket &:= [x] \text{ (for undeclared variables } x) \end{aligned}$$

Example: Computation of Simple Type

Interpret lambda terms into *option tp*.

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket lam\ b \rrbracket &:= \lambda T. \lambda N. \lambda B. \\ &\quad do\ R \leftarrow B\ (Some\ T) \\ &\quad\quad (Some\ (arrow\ T\ R)) \\ \llbracket app \rrbracket &:= \lambda _ . \lambda N. \lambda B. \\ &\quad do\ T_0 \leftarrow N_0 \\ &\quad\quad T_1 \leftarrow N_1 \\ &\quad\quad if\ (T_0 = arrow\ T_1\ R) \\ &\quad\quad\quad then\ (Some\ R) \\ &\quad\quad\quad else\ None \\ \llbracket x \rrbracket &:= None\ (\text{for undeclared variables } x) \end{aligned}$$

CTIs in Coq

```
Definition interp_fv_t(A:Type) := name -> A.
```

```
Definition interp_exp_t(A:Type) :=  
  forall o:op,  
  anno o ->  
  illist A (ar_ng o) ->  
  (illist A (ar_b o) -> illist A (ar_gv o)) ->  
  A.
```

```
Module Type CTI_SIG.  
  Parameter A:Type.  
  Parameter interp_fv : interp_fv_t A.  
  Parameter interp_exp : interp_exp_t A.  
End CTI_SIG.
```

```
Module CTI (u:CTI_SIG).  
  Fixpoint interp(G : ctxt u.A)(t : trm)  
    {struct t} : u.A := ...
```

An Induction Principle

For a CTI into A :

For a predicate $P : \text{ctxt } A \rightarrow \text{trm} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Prop}$:

To prove $\forall (t : \text{trm}) (G : \text{ctxt } A), P G t (G[[t]])$, it suffices to prove:

- $P G x (G[[x]])$, when $x \in \text{dom}(G)$
- $P G x (G[[x]])$, when $x \notin \text{dom}(G)$
- P is preserved from immediate subterms to terms, for any extension of the context.

Alpha-Canonization

- Put generic terms t into α -canonical form from d :

Consecutive bindings on paths from the root of t bind consecutive variables, starting from the d 'th.

- To prevent capture: $d > i, \forall x_i \in FV(t)$.
- Implemented as a CTI into $nat \rightarrow trm$.
- So $acanon\ G\ t\ d : trm$.
- Substitution can be carried out during α -canonization:

$$[M/x]_d N := acanon (\cdot, x \mapsto M) N d$$

CTI Substitution Lemma

Theorem

Let M and N be generic terms, and x a name.

Assume $d > i, \forall x_i \in FV(M)$.

Assume $d > i, \forall x_i \in (FV(N) \setminus \{x\})$.

For any CTI with domain A , and any A -context Γ ,

For any equivalence relation $=_A$ on A , we have

$$\Gamma[[M/x]_d N] =_A (\Gamma, (x \mapsto \Gamma[M]))[N]$$

- Proof by CTI induction (230 lines).
- Stronger induction hypothesis required.
- Proof relies on weakening by a context (275 lines).
- (Weakening, contraction, permutation proved for all CTIs).

Simple Type Preservation

- A small-step evaluation function defined as a CTI:
 - ▶ Interpret into $(bool * nat) \rightarrow trm$.
 - ▶ The bool tells whether or not to reduce β -redexes.
 - ▶ Results are α -canonical from the given nat .
- Computation of simple type (“CST”) defined as a CTI.
- For type preservation:
 - ▶ Prove that evaluation preserves bound on free variables (250 lines).
 - ▶ Need CTI substitution lemma, specialized to FV.
 - ▶ Type preservation proof by CTI induction on CST (225 lines).
 - ▶ Need CTI substitution lemma, specialized to CST.
- Overall development for simple types: 900 lines.

Lessons and Issues

- Getting the right definitions astoundingly hard.
 - ▶ Exact definition of CTI.
 - ▶ Exact form of substitution lemma.
 - ▶ Still have some clutter: context invariants.
- Mixing internal and external verification is helpful:
 - ▶ Dependent type of terms removes need for *option*.
 - ▶ No lemmas about when we get *Some*.
 - ▶ Programming with dependent types is tricky.
 - ▶ Streicher's axiom K needed.
- Small set of concepts helps develop a more complete theory.
- Subtyping not definable by CTI (not recursive in a single term.)
- An issue with the Coq module system?
 - ▶ Datatype definitions are generative.
 - ▶ Modular development must be linearized.

Conclusion and Future Work

- CTI-LIB: PL meta-theory in Coq with concrete names.
- Generic datatype of terms.
- Central idea: contextual term interpretation.
- Generic lemmas available for any function defined by CTI.
- CTI substitution lemma based on alpha-canonical form.
- Current development around 6kloc Coq.
- Some clean-up required and documenting paper, then release.
- Further case studies to drive development.