# A Mechanized Framework for Aspects in Isabelle/HOL

#### Florian Kammüller and Henry Sudhof

Institut für Softwaretechnik und Theoretische Informatik



WMM, 4 October 2007

## Motivation and Background

- ⇒ Verification of object-oriented paradigms
  - Aspect-oriented programming (AOP)
  - Distributed objects (ASP)
  - Isabelle/HOL
    - · Isabelle: generic interactive theorem prover
    - Embedding: types, constants, and definitions constitute object logic (theory)
    - Isabelle/HOL: instance for classical HOL
    - Many applications for programming language semantics, e.g. Java,
    - also specification languages: CSP, TLA, Object-Z, ...





2 The Theory of Objects in Isabelle/HOL

#### **3** A Theory of Aspects



# Aspect-Oriented Programing (AOP)

- Idea: Weave Advice into OO-Program
- Advice = code fragments
- Pointcuts: points at which advice is woven in
- Aspect = Advice + Pointcut-definition
- Weave produces
   combination
- AOP-language: base language (programs and advice)+ Pointcut definition language



## **AOP-Constructs**

- Pointcut selection
  - call: syntactic selection of method calls e.g., all methods whose name contains "set"
  - cflow: selection of control flow points e.g., from entry to exit of method x
- Advice insertion
  - before, after
  - around: instead of selected command,
  - or around with proceed: before/after original command
- ⇒ Change of semantics
- ⇒ Endangers properties of programs

## Foundations of AOP

- Formalization of AOP in Isabelle/HOL
- Idea: simple, functional calculus
- Represent pointcuts by labels, e.g.

 $\langle L, \lambda | \mathbf{x}. | \mathbf{e} \rangle \Downarrow v_1 + l_1(v_2) \xrightarrow{l_1 \in L} v_1 + \mathbf{e}[v_2/x]$ 

with poincuts *L*, advice  $\lambda x$ . *e*, and weaving operator  $\Downarrow$ 

- Based on object calculus (Theory of Objects ς)
- Advanced features: type preserving compilation

### Theory of Objects: *ς*-calculus

- Terms in the ς-calculus: "labelled lists" of methods/fields
  - Objects:  $[l_1 = \varsigma(x_0)b_0, \dots, l_n = \varsigma(x_n)b_n]$  where  $x_j$  "self"-parameter
  - Method call/ field selection:  $a.l_j$  where  $j \in 1..n$
  - Update of method/field:  $a.l_j := \varsigma(x)b$  where  $j \in 1..n$
- Semantics: reduction relation →<sub>β</sub>
- Substitution of formal parameter with a it "self"

 $a \equiv [l_j = \varsigma(x_j)b_j]^{j \in 1..n}$  $a.l_j \rightarrow_\beta b_j[a/x_j] \qquad j \in 1..n$ 

#### First step: $\varsigma$ -calculus in Isabelle/HOL

- Formalization of finite maps L  $\rightarrow$  T
- Simple datatype for (de Bruijn) object terms

```
datatype term =
Var nat
| Obj Label → term
| Call term Label
| Upd term Label term
```

- Definition of substitution on de Bruijn terms t [s / k]
- Reduction relation  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{inductive beta} \\ \text{intros} \\ \text{beta: } 1 \in \text{dom } f \Longrightarrow \text{Call (Obj f) } 1 \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{the}(f \ 1) [(\text{Obj f})/0] \\ \text{upd } : 1 \in \text{dom } f \Longrightarrow \text{Upd (Obj f) } 1 \ a \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{Obj (f (1 \mapsto a))} \\ \text{sel } : s \rightarrow_{\beta} t \Longrightarrow \text{Call } s \ 1 \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{Call } t \ 1 \\ \text{updL: } s \rightarrow_{\beta} t \Longrightarrow \text{Upd } s \ 1 \ u \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{Upd } t \ 1 \ u \\ \text{updR: } s \rightarrow_{\beta} t \Longrightarrow \text{Upd } u \ 1 \ s \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{Upd } u \ 1 \ t \\ \text{obj } : \ [s \rightarrow_{\beta} t; \ 1 \in \text{dom } f] \Longrightarrow \text{Obj}(f(1 \mapsto s)) \rightarrow_{\beta} \text{Obj}(f(1 \mapsto t)) \end{array}
```

## Confluence and Type Safety for $\varsigma$ -calculus

Confluence (diamond property)



- If a term *M* can be reduced in *n* ≥ 0 reduction steps to terms *N*<sub>0</sub> and *N*<sub>1</sub>, then there exists *L* such that *N*<sub>0</sub> and *N*<sub>1</sub> can be reduced to *L*.
- We define simple type system for *ς*-calculus,

 $E \vdash t : T$ 

i.e., term t has type T in type environment E

We prove type safety for first-order type system of ς

Theorem (preservation)

 $[| t \rightarrow^*_\beta t'; E \vdash t : T |] \Longrightarrow E \vdash t' : T$ Theorem (progress)

 $[\mid \ [] \ \vdash \ \texttt{t} \ : \ \texttt{A}; \ \not\exists \ \texttt{c} \ . \ \texttt{t} = \texttt{Obj } \texttt{c} \ \mid] \implies \exists \ \texttt{b} \ . \ \texttt{t} \rightarrow_\beta \texttt{b}$ 

#### **Aspects**

• Extend terms t by (aspect-)labelled terms, e.g.  $I\langle t \rangle$ 

datatype term = Var nat
 | Obj label → term
 | Call term label
 | Upd term label term
 | Asp Label term ("\_ ⟨\_⟩")

 Aspect = ( pointcut (set of Labels), advice (term function) ) datatype aspect = Aspect (Label set) term ("(\_, \_)")

## Weaving

 Idea of weaving: replace existing labels in program with advice

```
weave :: [ term, aspect ] \Rightarrow term ("\Downarrow")
```

• For example, central rule now:

$$\begin{split} & |\langle t\rangle \Downarrow a \ = \ \text{if} \ l \ \in \ \text{pct} \ a \ \text{then} \ |\langle adv \ a \ [t/0] \rangle \ \text{else} \ |\langle t\rangle \\ & \text{where} \ \text{pct} \ \langle L, \ a \rangle \text{=} \ L \ \text{and} \ adv \ \langle L, \ a \rangle \text{=} \ a \end{split}$$

# Typing of Aspects

- Problem: AOP not type safe in general
- Example: around advice exchanges return value [Kammüller, Vösgen: FOAL06]
- Type system to exclude pathological cases:
  - Extend previous type relation by labels L

E,  $L \vdash t : T$ 

i.e., term t has type T in type/label environment E, L

- Idea: label types represent "legal" advice
- Define well-formedness of program t wrt set of aspects A (wf t A)
- Goal: prove that weaving preserves type relation.

#### Theorem

 $[\![\texttt{wf t A; [], L \vdash t : T}]\!] \Longrightarrow [], L \vdash Weave t A: T$ 

# Summary

- [1] L. Henrio, F. Kammüller. A Mechanized Model of the Theory of Objects. FMOODs'07.
  - Labels representing pointcuts in programs
  - Definition of weaving function
  - Typing of advice and labels :
- ⇒ type safety for aspects in Isabelle/HOL

## Discussion

- Nominal Techniques vs HOAS vs de Bruijn
- Code extraction
- Structural vs Nominal Type Systems
- Is <-calculus unrealistic (type preserving compilations)?