Type-based Optimization for Regular Patterns

Michael Y. Levin and Benjamin C. Pierce
University of Pennsylvania

High Performance XML Workshop, 2004

What is it all about?

XDUCE [Hosoya, Pierce, Vouillon]: an experimental language with "native XML support" in the form of regular types and regular pattern matching

XTATIC project: a full-blown OO language (C[‡]) integrated with regular types and regular pattern matching; compiled into pure C[‡]

This talk: introduction to regular types and patterns; type-based optimization technique for pattern compilation; and its relation to compilation of XPATH

Background



Regular Types and Patterns

Key observations:

- XML schema languages are based on regular tree grammars (a simple extension of familiar regular expressions on strings); usually schemas are used for dynamic validation of documents
- Regular types are like schemas; they are used statically by typechecking to ensure a program's assumptions about XML fragments it operates on
- Regular patterns are like regular types; they are used dynamically to match XML fragments of certain shape and extract their subparts

XML Values

Syntax

$$extstyle v ::= () \ extstyle el_1 \dots el_k$$

Representing XML

Regular Types

```
T ::= ()
                      empty sequence
                      element labeled 1
          1[T]
          T_1, T_2
                      concatenation
          T_1 \mid T_2
                      alternation (union)
                      repetition
          T*
                      any sequence of trees
          Any
                      type definition
          X
   d := def X = T type definition
        def Caption = caption[]
        def Cell = td[]
E.g.
        def Row = tr[Cell+]
        def Table = table[()|Caption, Row+]
```

Using Regular Types

Consider the following function skeleton:

```
T_2 transform (T_1 x) { ... }
```

Typechecking ensures that transform is always called with arguments conforming to T_1 and that it always returns values conforming to T_2 .

Regular Patterns

```
empty sequence
                    element labeled 1
       1[p]
                    concatenation
       \mathtt{p}_1 , \mathtt{p}_2
       p_1 | p_2
                    alternation (union)
                    repetition
       p*
                    any sequence of trees
       Any
                    pattern name
       X
                    binding
       p x
d ::= def X = p pattern definition
         def Caption = caption[]
         def Cell = td[]
E.g.
         def Row = tr[Cell+]
         table[Caption x, Row, Any y]
```

Source Language (XDUCE)

XDUCE contains:

- Conventional functional language elements: top-level function definitions; function calls; value constructors
- Pattern matching construct

```
egin{array}{lll} 	ext{match x with} & & & & & & \ 	ext{l} & & & & & \ 	ext{t}_1 & & & & \ 	ext{t}_1 & & & & \ 	ext{t}_k & & \ 	ext{t}_k & & & \ 	ext{t}_k & &
```

Target Language

- Has the same constructs as the source language
- But features only basic patterns

```
	exttt{t}::= \dots \ 	exttt{case x of} \ 	exttt{l} p_1 
ightarrow 	exttt{t}_1 \ 	exttt{...} \ 	exttt{l} p_k 
ightarrow 	exttt{t}_k \ 	exttt{else t}
```

Type-based Pattern Compilation



Goals of Translation

The **goal** is to convert complicated regular patterns into a collection of nested case expressions each examining the tag of one element in the input

The **challenge** is to minimize the number of tests performed during pattern matching

Type-based optimization is a crucial technique that helps produce efficient and compact target language code

```
Any f(Any x) =

match x with

| Any, a[] \rightarrow 1

| Any \rightarrow 2
```

```
Any f(Any x) =

match x with

| Any, a[] \rightarrow 1

| Any \rightarrow 2
```

```
Any f(Any x) =
  case x of
     | () \rightarrow 2
     | a[x], y \rightarrow
       case x of
          | () \rightarrow
            case y of
               | () \rightarrow 1
               else f(y)
          else f(y)
     [ \ \ \ \ \ \ ],y \rightarrow f(y)
```

$$T = a[], (a[]|b[])$$

```
Any f(T x) =

match x with

| Any, a[] \rightarrow 1

| Any \rightarrow 2
```

$$T = a[], (a[]|b[])$$

Any f(T x) =

match x with

| Any, a[]
$$\rightarrow$$
 1

| Any \rightarrow 2

Any
$$f(T x) =$$

case x of

 $| ~[_], y \rightarrow$

case y of

 $| a[_], _ \rightarrow 1$

else 2

Idea 1: Intersecting with Input Type

$$T = a[], (a[]|b[])$$

Idea 2: Exhaustiveness Optimizations

Idea 3: Maximal Branching Factor Heuristic

```
fun f(T x): Any = case x of  |a[z],y \rightarrow case y of \\ |a[_],_ \rightarrow 1 \\ |() \rightarrow 2
```

```
fun f(T x) : Any =

case x of

|a[z],y \rightarrow

case z of

|b[\_],\_ \rightarrow

case y of

|a[\_],\_ \rightarrow 1

|() \rightarrow 2

else 2
```

Optimizing XPATH



Idea

Apply type-based optimization to XPATH by:

- Translating an XPATH query into a regular pattern
- Performing type-based optimization
- Translating the result back into XPATH

XPATH vs. Regular Patterns

- Some XPATH queries involving backward axes cannot be directly converted into regular patterns
- XPATH patterns return multiple answers; regular patterns return true or false and possibly bind a collection of variables

XPATH Example

```
def auctions = auctions[auction?]
def auction = auction[item, annot?]
def annot = annot[author, hap]
//auction[annot[hap]/author="John"]
```

Translation of the Example's Query

```
//auction[annot[hap]/author="John"]
def p = auction[Any,
                annot[Any, hap_auth, Any],
                Any]
      | Any, ~[Any, p, Any], Any
def hap_auth = hap[Any], Any, author["John"]
             | author["John"], Any, hap[Any]
```

Optimized Regular Pattern

```
def p' = [[Any],
                             // item
            ~[~["John"],  // author
              Any],
                         // hap
            Any],
                             // ()
          Any],
                             // ()
                             // ()
        Any
*/*[*[2]/*[1]="John"]
```

Questions?



http://www.cis.upenn.edu/~bcpierce/xtatic