1. Reasoning about Program Behavior (12 points)

Multiple choice: For each of the following (well-typed) programs, check the box for the value computed for `ans`, or mark “infinite loop” if the program loops.

*Grading: 4 points each, no partial credit.*

**a.**
```
let x : int = 3
let f (y:int) : int =
    let x = y + y in x
let ans : int = f x
```

ans =  

☐ 3  ☒ 6

☐ 12  ☐ infinite loop

**b.**
```
let rec f (l:int list) : (int * int) list =
    begin match l with
    | [] -> [(0,0)]
    | x::xs -> (x,x)::(f l)
    end
let ans : int list = f [1;2]
```

ans =  

☐ [(0,0)]

☐ [(0,0); (1,1); (2,2)]  ☒ infinite loop

**c.**
```
let rec f (l: (int -> int) list) : int -> int =
    begin match l with
    | [] -> fun x -> x
    | g::gs -> fun x -> g (f gs x)
    end
let ans : int = f [(fun x -> x + 1); (fun x -> x * 2)] 3
```

ans =  

☐ 6  ☐ 8

☒ 7  ☐ infinite loop
2. Program Design (28 points)

In this problem, we will use the design process to implement an abstract type of *cycles*, which act like a kind of infinitely long lists. Intuitively, a cycle is some finite sequence of elements that is repeated forever. We can create a cycle from a (non-empty) list using the `cycle_of_list` operation:

```ml
let cyc123 : int cycle = cycle_of_list [1;2;3]
```

Here, we intend for `cyc123` to represent the infinite repeating sequence `1 2 3 1 2 3 ....`

We can get the first element and the rest of a cycle using the `hd_and_rest` operation, for example:

```ml
let (hd, rest) : int * int cycle = hd_and_rest cyc123
```

After this declaration, `hd = 1` (the first element of `cyc123`) and `rest` would represent the remaining infinite cycle `2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 ....` Note that this remainder is still a cycle generated from the list `[2;3;1]`.

Finally, we can test two cycles for equality using `equals`. Note that two cycles can be equal even if they are created from different lists. For example, the following expression evaluates to `true`:

```ml
equals (cycle_of_list [1;2]) (cycle_of_list [1;2;1;2])
```

whereas the one below evaluates to `false` (because the head elements differ):

```ml
equals (cycle_of_list [1;2]) (cycle_of_list [2;1])
```

One snag is that there is no good way to create a cycle from an empty list. We therefore expect `cycle_of_list` to be undefined in that case. For the purposes of this problem we will simply have `cycle_of_list fail` if it is called on an empty list.

(0 points) Step 1 is understanding the problem. You don’t have to do anything for this part—your answers below will demonstrate whether or not you succeed in Step 1.

(6 points) Step 2 is formalizing the interface. Complete the following interface definition, by filling in appropriate types for the missing blanks:

```ml
module type CYCLE = sig
  type 'a cycle
  val cycle_of_list : 'a list -> 'a cycle
  val hd_and_rest : 'a cycle -> 'a * 'a cycle
  val equals : 'a cycle -> 'a cycle -> bool
end
```

*Grading guide: 2 points each*
Step 3 is **writing test cases.** Given the interface, we can now write some test cases that will help our understanding of the problem and aid in debugging. The problem description above implicitly describes several such tests, which are partially specified below. Complete the code so that it matches the problem description. We have done the first one for you (be sure you understand it!). For test (c), you need to complete the test and the name; it should not be redundant. For good measure, we have added an additional test (d), not described above—you should be able to complete it too.

**Grading guide:** 2 points per blank. -1 point for using `=` instead of `equals`. -1 point for redundant test.

```ocaml
let cyc123 : int cycle = cycle_of_list [1;2;3]

let test () : bool = cycle_of_list [] = cycle_of_list []
;; run_failing_test "no empty cycle" test

let test () : bool = let (hd, _) = hd_and_rest cyc123 in hd = 1
;; run_test "correct hd for cyc123" test

let test () : bool = let (_, rest) = hd_and_rest cyc123 in equals rest (cycle_of_list [2;3;1])
;; run_test "correct rest for cyc123" test

let test () : bool = equals (cycle_of_list [1;2]) (cycle_of_list [1;2;1;2])
;; run_test "nontrivial equality" test

let test () : bool = let cyc = cycle_of_list [true] in
let (hd, rest) = hd_and_rest cyc in
hd = true && equals cyc rest
;; run_test "surprising equality" test
```
(12 points) Step 4 is implementing the program. We can implement the CYCLE interface in a module, using an ordinary list as the concrete representation. For example, 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 ... can be represented by either the list [1;2;3] or the list [1;2;3;1;2;3]. There is a simple invariant, justified by the lack of an “empty” cycle: the list is not [].

Complete the implementation below so that all of the tests pass, matching the behavior described in the problem statement. Note that we have marked some of the type annotations with ?? so as not to give away the answers to Step 2.

- You will need to use failwith in two places: once to mark a situation that is impossible given that the invariant holds, and once to establish the invariant. Call failwith on the strings "IMPOSSIBLE" and "ESTABLISHING INVARIANT" to mark them accordingly.
- You may use the operation l1 @ l2, which appends the two lists l1 and l2.
- Note that the helper function in equals can mention c1 and c2, if needed.

```ocaml
module Cycle : CYCLE = struct
(* INVARIANT: the list is not [] *)

type 'a cycle = 'a list

let cycle_of_list (l : ??) : 'a cycle =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> failwith "ESTABLISHING INVARIANT"
  | x::tl -> l
  end

let hd_and_rest (l : ??) : ?? =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> failwith "IMPOSSIBLE"
  | x::tl -> (x, tl @ [x])
  end

let equals (c1: ??) (c2: ??) : ?? =
  let rec helper l1 l2 =
    begin match (l1, l2) with
    | ([], []) -> true
    | (_, []) -> helper l1 c2
    | ([], _) -> helper c1 l2
    | (x::xs, y::ys) -> x = y && helper xs ys
    end
  in
  helper c1 c2
end
```
Grading guide:

- 1 point for each base case
- cycle_of_list: 1 point for returning
- hd_and_rest: 1 point for using tuple; 2 points for correct values; 1 point penalty for incorrect list construction (cons instead of append)
- helper: 3 points for the two unequal length cases: 1 for using helper and 2 for correct arguments; 2 points for last case: 1 point for \( x = y \), 1 point for correct recursive call, 1 point for using \&
3. Types (16 points)

For each OCaml value below, fill in the blank with the appropriate type annotation or write “ill typed” if there is a type error on that line. Your answer should be the most specific type possible, i.e. `int list` instead of `'a list`. We have done the first one for you.

Some of the definitions refer to the `MyMap` module, which satisfies the following interface:

```ocaml
module type MAP = sig
  type ('k,'v) map
  val empty : ('k,'v) map
  val add : 'k -> 'v -> ('k,'v) map -> ('k,'v) map
  val remove : 'k -> ('k,'v) map -> ('k,'v) map
  val mem : 'k -> ('k,'v) map -> bool
  val get : 'k -> ('k,'v) map -> 'v option
  val entries : ('k,'v) map -> ('k * 'v) list
  val equals : ('k,'v) map -> ('k,'v) map -> bool
end

module MyMap : MAP = struct ...
  ...
end
```

Grading guide: 2 points each, partial credit for nearly correct answers.

```ocaml
;; open MyMap

let x : __________ (int, string) map __________ = add 120 "is fun" empty

let a : _________ bool list * int list ________ = ([true], [3])

let b : __________ ill typed ________________ = [1;2;3];:[4;5;6]

let c : __________ ill typed ________________ = entries [(1, "uno"); (2, "dos")]

let d : __________ string option ___________ = get 3 (add 1 "uno" empty)

let e : __________ (int -> int) -> int ________ = fun (g:int -> int) -> g 3

let f : _______ 'v -> (int * 'v) list ________ = fun (x:'v) ->
  entries (add 3 x empty)

let g : __________ ill typed ________________ = if get 3 empty then 3 else 4

let h : ((int, int) map -> (int, int) map) list = [add 1 2; remove 3]
```
4. Binary Trees (20 points)

Below is the code for our standard definition of the type of generic binary trees, along with a new function called `tree_transform`, which transforms a given tree in the same way that the list transform function we saw in lecture and HW3 transforms a list.

```camelot
let rec tree_transform (f:'a -> 'b) (t:'a tree) : 'b tree =
begin match t with
| Empty -> Empty
| Node(lt, x, rt) -> Node(tree_transform f lt, f x, tree_transform f rt)
end
```

Consider the tree `t`, shown below (note that, as usual, the picture omits the `Empty` parts).

```
let t : int tree = ...
```

For each of the four programs (a) – (d) below, draw the tree `ans` that is obtained by applying the given function to the tree `t` pictured above.

Grading guide: for the tree pictures. 4 points per diagram. -1 for simple errors like forgetting to add 1 in one node; -2 for one-off-error on pattern match; -3 for worse mistakes; -4 for completely incorrect.

**Diagram for (a):**
```
let f1 : int tree -> int tree =
  tree_transform (fun x -> x + 1)

let ans : int tree = f1 t
```

**Diagram for (b):**
```
let f2 : int tree -> bool tree =
  tree_transform (fun x -> x > 2)

let ans : bool tree = f2 t
```
(c) let f3 (t : 'a tree) : 'a tree =
begin match t with
| Empty -> Empty
| Node(Empty, x, rt) -> Node(Empty, x, rt)
| Node(Node(llt, y, lrt), x, rt) -> Node(llt, y, Node(lrt, x, rt))
end

let ans : int tree = f3 t

```
(  2)
(  1  4)
(  3  7)
(    6)
```

(d) let rec f4 (t : 'a tree) : 'a tree =
begin match t with
| Empty -> Empty
| Node(left, x, right) -> Node(f4 right, x, f4 left)
end

let ans : int tree = f4 t

```
(  4)
(  7  2)
(    1)
(  6  3)
```

(4 points) Which of the functions f1 through f4 
**preserve** the binary search tree invariant? (For all inputs, not just the examples shown). That is, assuming that the input is a BST, the output is guaranteed to be a BST. Circle each such function.

```
  f1  f2  f3  f4
```

Grading guide: 1 point per function
5. List Processing and Higher-order Functions (24 points)

Recall the higher-order list processing functions as defined below:

```
let rec transform (f: 'a -> 'b) (l: 'a list): 'b list =
begin match l with
  | [] -> []
  | h :: t -> (f h) :: (transform f t)
end

let rec fold (combine: 'a -> 'b -> 'b) (base:'b) (l : 'a list) : 'b =
begin match l with
  | [] -> base
  | h :: t -> combine h (fold combine base t)
end

let rec filter (pred: 'a -> bool) (l: 'a list) : 'a list =
begin match l with
  | [] -> []
  | hd :: tl -> if pred hd then hd :: (filter pred tl) else filter pred tl
end
```

a. Use one of `transform`, `fold`, or `filter`, along with suitable anonymous function(s), to implement a function that retains only those pairs of a list whose first element is greater than its second. For example, the call `largest_first [(1,2); (4,3); (5,5); (6,0)]` evaluates to the list `[(4,3); (6,0)]`.

```
let largest_first (l: (int * int) list) : (int * int) list =
filter (fun (x, y) -> x > y) l
```

b. Use one of `transform`, `fold`, or `filter`, along with suitable anonymous function(s), to implement the list reverse function. Recall that `reverse [1;2;3]` evaluates to `[3;2;1]`. You may use the operation `l1 @ l2`, which appends the two lists `l1` and `l2`.

```
let reverse (l:'a list) : 'a list =
fold (fun x acc -> acc @ [x]) [] l
```
Grading guide for both (a) and (b):

- 1 pt for recognizing which HOF to use
- 1 pt for the base case / input list
- 1 pt for the args to the anonymous function
- 3 pts for the implementation of the anonymous function
- 1 pt for code that typechecks

Part (a)

- 2 inputs instead of tuple: -1
- wrong order of elements: -1
- recursive solution: 2/7 points

Part (b)

- argument to append not a list -1
- hd::tail
- -2 for incorrect order of args to append
The somewhat clunky code below implements a function called `suffixes` using `fold`. This function computes a list of all the suffixes of a given list. Recall that a suffix of `l` is a contiguous sub-list starting from the end of `l`. For example, `suffixes [1;2;3]` evaluates to `[[1;2;3]; [2;3]; [3]; []].

```
let suffixes (l:'a list) : 'a list list =
  fold (fun (x:'a) (acc:'a list) ->
     begin match acc with
     | ls::rest -> (x::ls)::acc
     | _ -> failwith "impossible"
     end) [[]] l
```

Fill in the two cases below to re-implement `suffixes` without using `fold`. Your code should be much simpler than that above. (This example illustrates why just because it is possible to use `fold` it is not always a good idea.)

```
let rec suffixes (l:'a list) : 'a list list =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> _____[[[]]__________________
  | x::tl -> ____l::(suffixes tl)_________________________________
  end
```

Grading guide: 1 pt for the base case; 1 pt for the `l::_` and 1 pt for the recursive call.

d. Having implemented `reverse` and `suffixes`, we can now use them to conveniently implement `prefixes`, which computes the list of all prefixes of a given list. Recall that a prefix of `l` is a contiguous sub-list starting from the beginning of `l`. For example, `prefixes [1;2;3]` evaluates to `[[1;2;3]; [1;2]; [1]; []].

Complete the implementation of `prefixes` below. To get full credit, you may not use recursion, pattern matching, or anonymous functions. Instead, simply call (some of) `transform`, `fold`, `filter`, `reverse`, and `suffixes` on appropriate arguments.

```
let prefixes (l:'a list) : 'a list list =
  transform reverse (suffixes (reverse l))
```

Grading guide: 2 pts for the correct order of elements `transform reverse _`, 4 pts for the correct elements (`(suffixes reverse l)`), 1 pt for correctly combining them. Special cases:

- `forgetting l -1 pt`
- `reverse (suffixes (reverse l)) is 5 points`
- `suffixes (reverse l) is 4 points`
- `transform reverse (suffixes l) is 4 points`
- `reverse (suffixes l) is 2 points`
- `reverse l is 1 point`