Programming Languages and Techniques (CIS120)

Lecture 5
January 25th, 2016

Nested Pattern Matching
Datatypes

What is the type of this expression?

```
[ (1,true); (0, false) ]
```

- 1. int * bool
- 2. int list * bool list
- 3. (int * bool) list
- 4. (int * bool) list list
- 5. none (expression is ill typed)

What is the type of this expression?

```
(1 :: [], 2 :: [], 3 :: [])
```

- 1. int
- 2. int list
- 3. int list list
- 4. int list * int list * int list
- 5. int * int list * int list list
- 6. (int * int * int) list
- 7. none (expression is ill typed)

Announcements

- Submit HW1 by midnight Tuesday
 - Late policy: 10pt penalty for up to 24 hours
 20pt penalty for 24-48 hours
- Register your clicker ID number on course website
 - You should start seeing "Quizzes" on the submission page
 - Name of quiz is lecture date: TP160125 is Today
 - If you have "Not submitted" then we don't have an ID number for your data
 - No way for me to "excuse" absences in the system. But, send CAR anyways.
- Read Chapters 5 and 6 of the course notes

Nested Patterns

So far, we've seen simple patterns:

```
[ ] matches empty list
x::tl matches nonempty list
(a,b) matches pairs (tuples with 2 elts)
(a,b,c) matches triples (tuples with 3 elts)
```

• Like expressions, patterns can *nest*:

```
x::[] matches lists with 1 element
[x] matches lists with 1 element
x::(y::tl) matches lists of length at least 2
(x::xs, y::ys) matches pairs of non-empty lists
```

```
let l = [1; 2] in
begin match 1 with
  l x :: y :: t -> 1
  | x :: [] -> 2
| x :: t -> 3
end
         let l = 1 :: 2 :: [] in
         begin match 1 with
             x :: y :: t -> 1
            x :: [] -> 2
            x :: t -> 3
             end
                 begin match 1::2::□ with
                   | x :: y :: t -> 1
                   | x :: [] -> 2
                   | x :: t -> 3
                                -> 4
                 end
                           1
```

What is the value of this expression?

Programming with Lists and Tuples

see zip.ml

Wildcard Pattern

- A wildcard pattern indicates that the value of the corresponding subcomponent is irrelevant.
 - And hence needs no name.

Unused Branches

- The branches in a match expression are considered in order from top to bottom.
- If you have "redundant" matches, then some later branches might not be reachable.
 - OCaml will give you a warning

Exhaustive Matches

- Pattern matching is exhaustive if there is a pattern for every possible value
- Example of a *non-exhaustive* match:

```
let sum_two (l : int list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | x::y::_ -> x+y
  | _ -> failwith "l must have >= 2 elts"
  end
```

- OCaml will give you a warning and show an example of what isn't covered by your cases
- The wildcard pattern and failwith are useful tools for ensuring match coverage

Recursive Function Pattern

Recursive function patterns

Recursive functions over lists follow a general pattern:

```
let rec length (l : string list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | ( x :: rest ) -> 1 + length rest
  end
```

```
let rec contains (l:string list) (s:string) : bool =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> false
  | ( x :: rest ) -> s = x || contains rest s
  end
```

Structural Recursion Over Lists

Structural recursion builds an answer from smaller components:

```
let rec f (l : ... list) ... : ... =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> ...
  | ( hd :: rest ) -> ... f rest ...
  end
```

The branch for [] calculates the value (f []) directly.

this is the base case of the recursion

```
The branch for hd::rest calculates

(f(hd::rest))given hd and (f rest).

- this is the inductive case of the recursion
```

Design Pattern for Recursion

- Understand the problem
 What are the relevant concepts and how do they relate?
- 2. Formalize the interface
 How should the program interact with its environment?
- 3. Write test cases
 - If the main input to the program is an immutable list, make sure the tests cover both empty and non-empty cases
- 4. Implement the required behavior
 - If the main input to the program is an immutable list, look for a recursive solution...
 - Is there a direct solution for the empty list?
 - Suppose someone has given us a partial solution that works for lists up to a certain size. Can we use it to build a better solution that works for lists that are one element larger?

Example: zip

 zip takes two lists of the same length and returns a single list of pairs:

```
zip [1; 2; 3] ["a"; "b"; "c"] \Rightarrow [(1,"a"); (2,"b"); (3,"c")]
```