

Chapter 10

Listable Sets and Diophantine Sets; Hilbert's Tenth Problem

10.1 Diophantine Equations and Hilbert's Tenth Problem

There is a deep and a priori unexpected connection between the theory of computable and listable sets and the solutions of polynomial equations involving polynomials in several variables with integer coefficients.

These are polynomials in $n \geq 1$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ which are finite sums of *monomials* of the form

$$ax_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n},$$

where $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ are nonnegative integers, and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer (possibly negative).

The natural number $k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ is called the *degree* of the monomial $ax_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$.

For example, if $n = 3$, then

1. $5, -7$, are monomials of degree 0.
2. $3x_1, -2x_2$, are monomials of degree 1.
3. $x_1x_2, 2x_1^2, 3x_1x_3, -5x_2^2$, are monomials of degree 2.
4. $x_1x_2x_3, x_1^2x_3, -x_2^3$, are monomials of degree 3.
5. $x_1^4, -x_1^2x_3^2, x_1x_2^2x_3$, are monomials of degree 4.

Definition 10.1. A *polynomial* $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with integer coefficients is a finite sum of monomials of the form $ax_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$. The maximum of the degrees $k_1 + \cdots + k_n$ of the monomials $ax_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$ is called the *total degree* of the polynomial $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The set of all such polynomials is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Sometimes, we write P instead of $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We also use variables x, y, z *etc.* instead of $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$

For example, $2x - 3y - 1$ is a polynomial of total degree 1, $x^2 + y^2 - z^2$ is a polynomial of total degree 2, and $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 29$ is a polynomial of total degree 3.

Mathematicians have been interested for a long time in the problem of solving equations of the form

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

with $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, seeking only *integer solutions* for $x_1, \dots, x_n$.

Diophantus of Alexandria, a Greek mathematician of the 3rd century, was one of the first to investigate such equations.

For this reason, seeking integer solutions of polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is referred to as *solving Diophantine equations*.

This problem is not as simple as it looks. The equation

$$2x - 3y - 1 = 0$$

obviously has the solution $x = 2, y = 1$, and more generally $x = -1 + 3a, y = -1 + 2a$, for any integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The equation

$$x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = 0$$

has the solution $x = 3, y = 4, z = 5$, since $3^2 + 4^2 = 9 + 16 = 25 = 5^2$.

More generally, the reader should check that

$$x = t^2 - 1, y = 2t, z = t^2 + 1$$

is a solution for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The equation

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 29 = 0$$

has the solution $x = 3, y = 1, z = 1$.

What about the equation

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 30 = 0?$$

Amazingly, the only known integer solution is

$$(x, y, z) = (283059965, 2218888517, 2220422932),$$

discovered in 1999 by E. Pine, K. Yarbrough, W. Tarrant, and M. Beck, following an approach suggested by N. Elkies.

And what about solutions of the equation

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 - 33 = 0?$$

Well, nobody knows whether this equation is solvable in integers!

In 1900, at the International Congress of Mathematicians held in Paris, the famous mathematician David Hilbert presented a list of ten open mathematical problems.

Soon after, Hilbert published a list of 23 problems. The tenth problem is this:

Hilbert's tenth problem (H10)

Find an algorithm that solves the following problem:

Given as input a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with integer coefficients, return YES or NO, according to whether there exist integers $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $P(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$; that is, the Diophantine equation $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ has a solution.

It is important to note that at the time Hilbert proposed his tenth problem, a rigorous mathematical definition of the notion of algorithm did not exist.

In fact, the machinery needed to even define the notion of algorithm did not exist.

It is only around 1930 that precise definitions of the notion of computability due to Turing, Church, and Kleene, were formulated, and soon after shown to be all equivalent.

So to be precise, the above statement of Hilbert's tenth should say: find a RAM program (or equivalently a Turing machine) that solves the following problem: ...

In 1970, the following somewhat surprising resolution of Hilbert's tenth problem was reached:

Theorem (Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich)

Hilbert's tenth problem is undecidable; that is, there is no algorithm for solving Hilbert's tenth problem.

Even though Hilbert's tenth problem turned out to have a negative solution, the knowledge gained in developing the methods to prove this result is very significant.

What was revealed is that polynomials have considerable expressive powers.

10.2 Diophantine Sets and Listable Sets

We begin by showing that if we can prove that the version of Hilbert's tenth problem *with solutions restricted to belong to $\mathbb{N}$* is undecidable, then Hilbert's tenth problem (with solutions in $\mathbb{Z}$ is undecidable).

Proposition 10.1. *If we had an algorithm for solving Hilbert's tenth problem (with solutions in $\mathbb{Z}$), then we would have an algorithm for solving Hilbert's tenth problem *with solutions restricted to belong to $\mathbb{N}$* (that is, nonnegative integers).*

In fact, the Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich theorem establishes the undecidability of the version of Hilbert's tenth problem restricted to solutions in $\mathbb{N}$.

From now on, we restrict our attention to this version of Hilbert's tenth problem.

A key idea is to use Diophantine equations with parameters, to *define* sets of numbers.

For example, consider the polynomial

$$P_1(a, y, z) = (y + 2)(z + 2) - a.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, the equation

$$a = (y + 2)(z + 2)$$

has a solution with $y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ iff a is composite.

If we now consider the polynomial

$$P_2(a, y, z) = y(2z + 3) - a,$$

for $a \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, the equation

$$a = y(2z + 3)$$

has a solution with $y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ iff a is not a power of 2.

For a slightly more complicated example, consider the polynomial

$$P_3(a, y) = 3y + 1 - a^2.$$

We leave it as an exercise to show that the natural numbers a that satisfy the equation

$$a^2 = 3y + 1$$

are of the form $a = 3k + 1$ or $a = 3k + 2$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the first case, if we let S_1 be the set of composite natural numbers, then we can write

$$S_1 = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists y, z)((y + 2)(z + 2) - a = 0)\},$$

where it is understood that the existentially quantified variables y, z take their values in $\mathbb{N}$.

In the second case, if we let S_2 be the set of natural numbers that are not powers of 2, then we can write

$$S_2 = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists y, z)(y(2z + 3) - a = 0)\}.$$

In the third case, if we let S_3 be the set of natural numbers that are congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3, then we can write

$$S_3 = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists y)(3y + 1 - a^2 = 0)\}.$$

A more explicit Diophantine definition for S_3 is

$$S_3 = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists y)((a - 3y - 1)(a - 3y - 2) = 0)\}.$$

The natural generalization is as follows.

Definition 10.2. A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers is *Diophantine* (or *Diophantine definable*) if there is a polynomial $P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[a, x_1, \dots, x_n]$, with $n \geq 0$ ¹ such that

$$S = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists x_1, \dots, x_n)(P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)\},$$

where it is understood that the existentially quantified variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ take their values in $\mathbb{N}$.

At first glance it is not obvious how to “convert” a conjunction of Diophantine definitions into a single Diophantine definition, but we can do this using the following trick: given any finite number of Diophantine equations in the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$,

$$P_1 = 0, P_2 = 0, \dots, P_m = 0, \tag{*}$$

observe that (*) has a solution $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, which means that $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, iff the single equation

$$P_1^2 + P_2^2 + \dots + P_m^2 = 0 \tag{**}$$

also has the solution $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

¹We have to allow $n = 0$. Otherwise singleton sets would not be Diophantine.

How extensive is the family of Diophantine sets?

The remarkable fact proved by Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich is that they coincide with the listable sets (the recursively enumerable sets). This is a highly non-trivial result.

The easy direction is the following result.

Proposition 10.2. *Every Diophantine set is listable (recursively enumerable).*

The main theorem of the theory of Diophantine sets is the following deep result.

Theorem 10.3. (*Davis-Putnam-Robinson-Matiyasevich, 1970*) *Every listable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is Diophantine.*

Theorem 10.3 is often referred to as the *DPRM theorem*.

As noted by Martin Davis, although the proof is certainly long and nontrivial, it only uses elementary facts of number theory, nothing more sophisticated than the Chinese remainder theorem.

Nevertheless, the proof is a tour de force.

Using some results from the theory of computation it is now easy to deduce that Hilbert's tenth problem is undecidable.

To achieve this, recall that there are listable sets that are not computable.

For example, it is shown in Section 8.3 that $K = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid \varphi_x(x) \text{ is defined}\}$ is listable but not computable.

Since K is listable, by Theorem 10.3, it is defined by some Diophantine equation

$$P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0,$$

which means that

$$K = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists x_1 \dots, x_n)(P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)\}.$$

We have the following strong form of the undecidability of Hilbert's tenth problem, in the sense that it shows that Hilbert's tenth problem is already undecidable for a fixed Diophantine equation in one parameter.

Theorem 10.4. *There is no algorithm which takes as input the polynomial $P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ defining K and any natural number $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and decides whether*

$$P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

Consequently, Hilbert's tenth problem is undecidable.

It is an open problem whether Hilbert's tenth problem is undecidable if we allow *rational solutions* (that is, $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{Q}$).

10.3 Some Applications of the DPRM Theorem

The first application of the DPRM theorem is a particularly striking way of defining the listable subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ as the nonnegative ranges of polynomials with integer coefficients.

This result is due to Hilary Putnam.

Theorem 10.5. *For every listable subset S of $\mathbb{N}$, there is some polynomial $Q(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with integer coefficients such that*

$$S = \{Q(a, b_1, \dots, b_n) \mid Q(a, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}, \\ a, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Proof idea. By the DPRM theorem (Theorem 10.3), there is some polynomial $P(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with integer coefficients such that

$$S = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists x_1, \dots, x_n)(P(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0)\}.$$

Let $Q(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be given by

$$Q(x, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x + 1)(1 - P^2(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)) - 1.$$

We claim that Q satisfies the statement of the theorem. $\square$

Remark: It should be noted that in general, the polynomials Q arising in Theorem 10.5 may take on negative integer values, and to obtain all listable sets, we must restrict ourself to their nonnegative range.

As an example, the set S_3 of natural numbers that are congruent to 1 or 2 modulo 3 is given by

$$S_3 = \{a \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists y)(3y + 1 - a^2 = 0)\}.$$

so by Theorem 10.5, S_3 is the nonnegative range of the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x, y) &= (x + 1)(1 - (3y + 1 - x^2)^2) - 1 \\ &= -(x + 1)((3y - x^2)^2 + 2(3y - x^2)) - 1 \\ &= (x + 1)(x^2 - 3y)(2 - (x^2 - 3y)) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $Q(x, y)$ takes on negative values. For example, $Q(0, 0) = -1$.

Also, in order for $Q(x, y)$ to be nonnegative, $(x^2 - 3y)(2 - (x^2 - 3y))$ must be positive, but this can only happen if $x^2 - 3y = 1$, that is, $x^2 = 3y + 1$, which is the original equation defining S_3 .

There is no miracle. The nonnegativity of $Q(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ must subsume the solvability of the equation $P(x, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$.

A particularly interesting listable set is the set of primes.

By Theorem 10.5, in theory, the set of primes is the positive range of some polynomial with integer coefficients.

Remarkably, some explicit polynomials have been found.

This is a nontrivial task. In particular, the process involves showing that the exponential function is definable, which was the stumbling block of the completion of the DPRM theorem for many years.

To give the reader an idea of how the proof begins, observe by the Bezout identity, if $p = s+1$ and $q = s!$, then we can assert that p and q are relatively prime ($\gcd(p, q) = 1$) as the fact that the Diophantine equation

$$ap - bq = 1$$

is satisfied for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, it is not hard to see that $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is prime iff the following set of equations has a solution for $a, b, s, r, q \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= s + 1 \\ p &= r + 2 \\ q &= s! \\ ap - bq &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The problem with the above is that the equation $q = s!$ is not Diophantine.

The next step is to show that the factorial function is Diophantine, and this involves a lot of work.

One way to proceed is to show that the above system is equivalent to a system allowing the use of the exponential function.

The final step is to show that the exponential function can be eliminated in favor of polynomial equations.

Here is a polynomial of total degree 25 in 26 variables (due to J. Jones, D. Sato, H. Wada, D. Wiens) which produces the primes as its positive range:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (k+2) \left[1 - ([wz + h + j - q]^2 \right. \\
& + [(gk + 2g + k + 1)(h + j) + h - z]^2 \\
& + [16(k+1)^3(k+2)(n+1)^2 + 1 - f^2]^2 \\
& + [2n + p + q + z - e]^2 + [e^3(e+2)(a+1)^2 + 1 - o^2]^2 \\
& + [(a^2 - 1)y^2 + 1 - x^2]^2 + [16r^2y^4(a^2 - 1) + 1 - u^2]^2 \\
& + [((a + u^2(u^2 - a))^2 - 1)(n + 4dy)^2 + 1 - (x + cu)^2]^2 \\
& + [(a^2 - 1)l^2 + 1 - m^2]^2 \\
& + [ai + k + 1 - l - i]^2 + [n + l + v - y]^2 \\
& + [p + l(a - n - 1) + b(2an + 2a - n^2 - 2n - 2) - m]^2 \\
& + [q + y(a - p - 1) + s(2ap + 2a - p^2 - 2p - 2) - x]^2 \\
& \left. + [z + pl(a - p) + t(2ap - p^2 - 1) - pm]^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Around 2004, Nachi Gupta, an undergraduate student at Penn, and I, tried to produce the prime 2 as one of the values of the positive range of the above polynomial.

It turns out that this leads to values of the variables that are so large that we never succeeded!