

# CIS 580 Spring 2012 - Lecture 20

April 2, 2012

Notes and figures by Matthieu Lecce.

Review:

## Single-view geometry

- We showed how focal length and projection center of a camera could be recovered from the projections of three orthogonal vanishing points on the screen.
- We showed how the camera pose can be recovered from a set of 2D-3D matchings, when  $K$  is unknown (*uncalibrated* pose estimation)
- This time we will cover *calibrated* pose estimation.

## Single-view geometry (continued)

### Pose from a single view, using 3 points

Given  $A, B, C$  in world (object) coordinate system, their projections  $a, b, c$  in calibrated coordinates ( $a \sim K^{-1}a_{\text{pixels}}$ ). We want to recover the camera extrinsics  $(R, T)$ . This problem is very similar to the problem of GPS localization (triangulation).

Here we assume the camera is *calibrated*, i.e.  $K$  is known.

See figure 1. From the law of cosines, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}BC^2 &= d_B^2 + d_C^2 - 2d_B d_C \cos \delta_{BC} \\AC^2 &= d_A^2 + d_C^2 - 2d_A d_C \cos \delta_{AC} \\AB^2 &= d_A^2 + d_B^2 - 2d_A d_B \cos \delta_{AB}\end{aligned}$$

If we introduce  $u, v$  such that  $d_B = ud_A$  and  $d_C = vd_A$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}BC^2 &= d_A^2(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{BC}) \\AC^2 &= d_A^2(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{AC}) \\AB^2 &= d_A^2(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{AB})\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the following:

$$\frac{BC^2}{(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{BC})} = \frac{AC^2}{(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{AC})}$$
$$\frac{AB^2}{(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{AB})} = \frac{AC^2}{(u^2 + v^2 - 2uv \cos \delta_{AC})}$$

These two equations of second order in  $u, v$  yield one equation of fourth order: we find four solutions for  $u^2$ , which correspond to eight pairs  $(u, v)$ .

Each of the pairs  $(u, v)$  yields a set of  $d_A, d_B, d_C$  values, and finally:

$$\begin{aligned} OA = d_A a &= RA + T \\ OB = d_B b &= RB + T \\ OC = d_C c &= RC + T \end{aligned}$$

(where  $a, b, c$  have been normalized to unit vectors)

*Absolute pose or absolute orientation*

Let's consider two sets of 3D points (as measured by a Kinect sensor for instance), and assume we want to estimate the transformation that maps one set to the other. See figure 2.  $P_1^i = RP_2^i + T$  ( $R \in SO(3), T \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ).

It can be easily shown that the **least-squares** translation estimate between the two point clouds is given by the following formula:

$$T = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{P_1^i} P_1^i - R \frac{1}{n} \sum_{P_2^i} P_2^i = \bar{P}_1 - R\bar{P}_2$$

We now want to find  $R$  verifying the following equation:

$$P_1^i = RP_2^i + \bar{P}_1 - R\bar{P}_2$$

We can rewrite the above equation in the simple form  $P = RQ$ . As usual, this equation cannot generally be solved exactly, so perform a least-squares estimation. The squared Frobenius norm of  $P - RQ$  can be expressed as follows:

$$P = P_1 - \bar{P}_1 + R\bar{P}_2, Q = P_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P - RQ\|_F^2 &= \text{tr}((P - RQ)^T(P - RQ)) \\ &= \text{tr}(P^T P + Q^T \underbrace{R^T R}_I Q - P^T RQ - Q^T R^T P) \\ &= -\text{tr}(P^T RQ + Q^T R^T P) \\ &= -2\text{tr}(R \underbrace{QP^T}_H) \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that  $\text{tr}(A^T) = \text{tr}(A)$  and the trace is invariant to circular permutation of the matrix product

To summarize we are trying to find  $\max_R \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} R & H \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 3}$

**THEOREM:** If  $H$  is symmetric and positive definite, and  $R$  is orthogonal, then:

$$\text{tr}(H) \geq \text{tr}(RH)$$

To maximize  $\text{tr}(RH)$ , we want to find an  $R$  such that  $\text{tr}(RH)$  is positive definite. We can achieve this by performing an SVD decomposition of  $H$ :  $H = USV^T$ , set  $R = VU^T$  then  $RH = VU^T USV^T = VS V^T$  which is symmetric, positive and definite.

Therefore we can use the following recipe to estimate  $R$ :

- take the SVD of  $QP^T = H = USV^T$ ,
- return optimal  $R = VU^T$ .

Is it that simple? **No**, we forgot to take into account that we want  $R \in SO(3)$ . If we set  $R = VU^T$  with  $V^T V = U^T U = I$ , we have  $R^T R = UV^T VU^T = I$ , and  $\det R = 1$  or  $-1$ . Therefore instead of  $R = VU^T$ , we should return the following *normalized* estimate:

$$R = V \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \det(UV^T) \end{bmatrix} U^T$$

### Two views uncalibrated

- 1966 soccer game, goal incorrectly awarded because of perspective error.
- *Goal-directed Video Metrology*, I. Reid and A. Zisserman

*Two views synced* Let's assume that we know the projective transformation of the ground  $x_1 \sim Px_2, b_1 \neq Pb_2$

$l_1 \sim b_1 \times v_1, l_2 \sim b_2 \times v_2, f_1, f_2$  are the back-projections of  $l_1, l_2$  on ground plane,  $f_1, f_2$  have to intersect at  $F$  because both planes  $(l_1, l_2)$  and

If  $x_1 \sim Px_2$  then  $l_1 \sim P^{-T}l'_1, l'_1$  is the projection of  $f_1$  on the second image plane. Then  $f \sim l_1 \times l_2$

We have done all this without any knowledge of the focal length.

### Two views of a plane

See figure 4.  $X_1 = RX_2 + T, x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ y'_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x'_2 \\ y'_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, Z_1 x_1 = RZ_2 x_2 + T$ .

Plane equation w.r.t second camera  $N^T X_2 = d$ , or equivalently  $\frac{N^T X_2}{d} = 1$