ABSTRACT
The purpose of your progress report is to inform us (Insup and the TAs), how your research/implementation is proceeding. At times, your progress report may be similar to your proposal (i.e., introduction, related work). However, we also expect significant additions to be made, elaborating on what has been done, and how your anticipated approach has been refined – all with a heightened level of technical detail.
Everything noted in the proposal specification still applies. In this document, we only focus on how sections should be extended to reflect your progress. Do not be afraid to break from our suggested organization as your project may require.

1. INTRODUCTION
Just as with your proposal, introduce your topic and the associated key concepts. Motivate your ideas, summarize what has/will be done, and outline how the rest of the paper will proceed. Projects operating in complex or unfamiliar domains may be well served by adding a background section.

2. RELATED WORK
Similarly, related work should proceed as previously. Use respected and academic resources whenever possible – make sure they are relevant to your project domain. Add or remove resources as additional reading has deemed necessary.

3. SYSTEM MODEL
Here you need to answer the what and why about your system. Impart a high-level intuition about what you are doing and why it will work. Include a block diagram of your system workflow. Cover each component of your system in a technical manner, but do not get caught up in low-level details. Abstract out the general system behavior.

4. SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION
Here is where you answer the how. How did you implement the system described in Sec. 3? Justify your design decisions (e.g., performance vs. efficiency). Indeed, your implementation is probably not yet complete, but you should be speculative about the direction your group plans to take. This is the only section where things like DB-choice, programming language, etc. should be discussed.

5. SYSTEM PERFORMANCE
Here you need to show that the implementation of Sec. 4 succeeds in leveraging the properties discussed in Sec. 3. Being that your implementation is incomplete, robust performance statistics are probably not possible. However, you need to demonstrate you are on the right track. Extrapolate from naïve tests to predict full-fledged performance. Visualize performance (i.e., graphs, tables) whenever possible. Also report on auxiliary measures (e.g., accuracy may your primary goal, but efficiency statistics are also interesting).

6. REMAINING WORK
Some remaining tasks have likely been discussed prior to this point – now succinctly aggregate them all here. Also provide an honest assessment of your completion percentage.

7. REFERENCES
[1] Wikibooks. LaTeX. http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/LaTeX. Note: Students should not cite Wikis!

APPENDIX

A. PROPOSAL ISSUES
Overall, we were pleased with the quality of the (revised) proposals. However, there were a few common errors:
• Projects should have a title. That title should not be, “CIS 400/401 Project Proposal Specification.”
• There were many spelling errors. Install spell-check in your text-editor of choice, or view the final report in a word processor before turn-in.
• Vertical margin issues? Run texconfig and verify your default paper is letter-size instead of A4 (default).
• Citations. The proper format is: “... end of sentence [1].” Notice the citation is, (1) inside the period, (2) inside the quotations, and (3) a space from the last word.
• The word ‘I’ should never be used – use ‘we’ sparingly. Similarly, try to limit usage of “this project.”
• Make sure opening quotation marks face the right direction. “Quote like this” – “not like this.”
• Use more figures/graphics. When you do, make sure they are vector-based and original works.

B. REPORT PARAMETERS
Progress reports should be 6–8 pages in length. There is no fixed requirement on the number of resources – use good judgement. Poor reports will require revision, re-submission, and be penalized. Again, do not plagiarize.