ESE532: System-on-a-Chip Architecture

Day 12: October 16, 2023
Vitis OpenCL
Data Transfer Model

Previously

- Value of small, local memories
- Tune local memories in C
- DMA as data-movement threads

Today

Vitis/OpenCL Interface

- Execution Model (Part 1)
- Host-Side Programming (Part 2)
- FPGA-Side Programming (Part 3)

Message

- Vitis uses an explicit DMA transfer interface
- Can build our streaming model on top of primitives offered
- Will need to tune parameters and use carefully to get maximize performance
  - Good and bad → largely exposed

Execution Model(s)

Part 1

Model

- Host and Accelerator
- Host control
  - Set accelerator arguments
  - DMA bulk data to and from accelerator
  - Tell it to start
  - DMA, Accelerator runs as independent thread
  - Synchronize on data return



Remember: Preclass 4a

```
P1:  for(i=0;i<MAX;i++) Astream.write(a[i]);
```

Where do we set DMA arguments?




```
int *p;
P1:  for(p=&(a[0]);p<&(a[MAX]);p++) Astream.write(*p);
```


Remember: Preclass 4a

```
P1:  for(i=0;i<MAX;i++) Astream.write(a[i]);
```

Set arguments to accelerator

Accelerator Uses to grab inputs




```
int *p;
P1:  for(p=&(a[0]);p<&(a[MAX]);p++) Astream.write(*p);
```


Programmable SoC



UG1085 Xilinx UltraScale Zynq TRM (p27)

Function Call

- Host and Accelerator
- Host control
  - Set accelerator arguments
  - DMA bulk data to accelerator
  - Tell it to start
  - DMA result back
  - Host program wait on accelerator completion



Separate Accelerator Thread

- Host and Accelerator
- Host control
  - Set accelerator arguments
  - Tell it to start
    - accelerator
      - DMA bulk data to accelerator
      - DMA result back
    - Host: execute something else
  - Host program synchronize before use accelerator result



PS<->PL Interface

Part 2



Part 3

Xilinx UG1393, Fig. 2 (Ch. 3)

Minimal

- Simple case one host process, one accelerator



Accelerator Stream

- Dataflow stream accelerators on host side



Streaming

- Map general streaming
- Use multiple threads
  - Even processors



Streaming

- Map general streaming
- Use multiple threads
  - Even processors
- … and multiple accelerators
- Given space, any streaming case
  - DMA buffers cross PS<->PL



Data Movement (Options)

- Access from global memory
- Configure DMA/accelerator with main-memory pointer



- DMA copy into BRAM memories
  - Built on top of other with DMA copy loop



Data Movement (Options)

- How copy loop improve performance?
  - Assume dataflow streaming between copy loop and accelerator computation?





Remember: Preclass 4a

```
P1:  for(i=0;i<MAX;i++) Astream.write(a[i]);
```




```
int *p;
P1:  for(p=&(a[0]);p<&(a[MAX]);p++) Astream.write(*p);
```


Copy Loop Benefits

- Run concurrently – improve throughput
  - Overlap compute and communication
- Hide latency of read



Host-Side Programming

Part 2

Outline

- Platform
- Device
- Context
- Command Queue
- Load FPGA
- Buffers and Transfer
- Kernel Execution
- Synchronize on Result
- Overlap Data and Compute
- Running Multiple Kernels

Platform

```
cl_platform_id platform_id;         // platform id

err = clGetPlatformIDs(16, platforms, &platform_count);

// Find Xilinx Platform
for (unsigned int iplat=0; iplat<platform_count; iplat++) {
  err = clGetPlatformInfo(platforms[iplat],
    CL_PLATFORM_VENDOR,
    1000,
    (void *)cl_platform_vendor,
    NULL);

  if (strcmp(cl_platform_vendor, "Xilinx") == 0) {
  // Xilinx Platform found
  platform_id = platforms[iplat];
  }
}
```

- For general case where have multiple OpenCL platforms
- Silly for Ultra96 SoC
  - and, looks like we can partially hide

Device

```
cl_device_id devices[16];  // compute device id
char cl_device_name[1001];

err = clGetDeviceIDs(platform_id, CL_DEVICE_TYPE_ACCELERATOR,
  16, devices, &num_devices);

printf("INFO: Found %d devices\n", num_devices);

//iterate all devices to select the target device.
for (uint i=0; i<num_devices; i++) {
  err = clGetDeviceInfo(devices[i], CL_DEVICE_NAME, 1024, cl_device_name,
0);
  printf("CL_DEVICE_NAME %s\n", cl_device_name);
}
```

- For case of multiple FPGAs → which FPGA
- Also somewhat silly for Ultra96 with single FPGA (PL)
- Amazon F1.x16large has multiple FPGAs

Device

- Our Utilities.cpp,h – hide Platform

```
std::vector<cl::Device> devices =
get_xilinx_devices();
devices.resize(1);
cl::Device device = devices[0];
```

- Get away with simplification
  - Know want only Xilinx platform
  - Know only one device (Ultra96)

Context

```
context = clCreateContext(0, 1, &device_id, NULL, NULL, &err);
```

- Context for device
  - Not sure what flexibility/differentiation this is giving
    - maybe for DFX overlay shells
  - Supports some error callback

Command Queue

```
// Out-of-order Command queue
commands = clCreateCommandQueue(context, device_id,
CL_QUEUE_OUT_OF_ORDER_EXEC_MODE_ENABLE, &err);

// In-order Command Queue
commands = clCreateCommandQueue(context, device_id, 0, &err);
```

- Creates a queue that holds commands
- Commands govern host and kernel interactions
- Commands in the queue run in separate thread than main host code.
  - Commands don't necessarily execute immediately after they are placed into the queue

Command Queue (cont.)

- Two types of queues: in-order and out-of-order.
  - In order queues execute commands in the order that they are placed into the queue. Next command waits until previous command finishes.
  - Out-of-order queues execute commands in the queue in an order that is constrained by synchronization flags. Commands don't need to wait before previous commands finish.

Load Bitstream on FPGA

```
int size=load_file_to_memory(xclbin, (char **) &kernelbinary);
size_t size_var = size;

cl_program program = clCreateProgramWithBinary(context, 1, &device_id,
                     &size_var,(const unsigned char **) &kernelbinary,
                     &status, &err);
```

- Where we actually reconfigure FPGA
  - Not really for our current platform
    - But confirms bitstream using
    - …and needs read some information from xclbin
  - For Partial Reconfiguration (DFX) platform
    - Option to choose which to load
    - Option to reconfigure during operation under host control
  - not one we'll exercise this term

Kernels

```
kernel1 = clCreateKernel(program, "<kernel_name_1>", &err);
kernel2 = clCreateKernel(program, "<kernel_name_2>", &err);
```

- Setup the actual accelerators to call
  - Extract handle to reference them from the loaded bitstream (program)
  - Just naming them at this point

Preclass 1



- Kernel with
  - 10ms input transfer
  - 30ms compute
  - 5ms outputs
- Latency?
- Throughput if must serialize?
- Throughput if overlap data transfers and computation?

Preclass 1





Host and Device Memory View



- Host pointers not necessarily meaningful to device
  - Need to map
  - Different memories? (depend on platform)
    - Embedded incl. Ultra96 (same), data-center (may be different)
  - Virtual vs. Physical addresses?
  - Same memory, different address map?

Buffers and Transfer

```
// Two cl_mem buffer, for read and write by kernel
cl_mem dev_mem_read_ptr = clCreateBuffer(context,
                          CL_MEM_READ_ONLY,
                          sizeof(int) * number_of_words, NULL, NULL);

cl_mem dev_mem_write_ptr = clCreateBuffer(context,
                           CL_MEM_WRITE_ONLY,
                           sizeof(int) * number_of_words, NULL, NULL);


// Setting arguments
clSetKernelArg(kernel, 0, sizeof(cl_mem), &dev_mem_read_ptr);
clSetKernelArg(kernel, 1, sizeof(cl_mem), &dev_mem_write_ptr);

// Get Host side pointer of the cl_mem buffer object
auto host_write_ptr =
clEnqueueMapBuffer(queue,dev_mem_read_ptr,true,CL_MAP_WRITE,0,bytes,0,nullptr,nullptr,&err);
auto host_read_ptr =
clEnqueueMapBuffer(queue,dev_mem_write_ptr,true,CL_MAP_READ,0,bytes,0,nullptr,nullptr,&err);

// Fill up the host_write_ptr to send the data to the FPGA

for(int i=0; i< MAX; i++) {
    host_write_ptr[i] = <.... >
}

// Migrate
cl_mem mems[2] = {host_write_ptr,host_read_ptr};
clEnqueueMigrateMemObjects(queue,2,mems,0,0,nullptr,&migrate_event));

// Schedule the kernel
clEnqueueTask(queue,kernel,1,&migrate_event,&enqueue_event);

// Migrate data back to host
clEnqueueMigrateMemObjects(queue, 1, &dev_mem_write_ptr,
                           CL_MIGRATE_MEM_OBJECT_HOST,1,&enqueue_event,
&data_read_event);
clWaitForEvents(1,&data_read_event);
```

Create Buffers

Set kernel pointers

Host side pointers

Fill with host data

Launch data transfe (enqueue)

Remember: Preclass 4a

```
P1:  for(i=0;i<MAX;i++) Astream.write(a[i]);
```

clSetKernelArg

Use dev_mem_ptr




```
int *p;
P1:  for(p=&(a[0]);p<&(a[MAX]);p++) Astream.write(*p);
```


Movement Options

- clEnqueueReadBuffer (WriteBuffer)
  - Not guarantee timing of transfer
- clEnqueueMigrateObjects
  - Supports overlap of compute and communicate
  - recommended

Remap Device Pointer



- Migration remap dev_mem_ptr
- (don't know if this is happening this way)

Kernel Invocation

```
err = clEnqueueTask(commands, kernel, 0, NULL, NULL);
```

- After
  - Kernel arguments set
  - Buffer transfers have been enqueued
- Causes to actual run on data transferred
- Commands – command queue
- Kernel – naming of kernel to run

Synchronization

- Synchronization needed between main host code thread and the command queue which operates asynchronous
- Also needed to constrain command execution order in out-of-order command queue

Synchronization (cont. 1)

- Synchronization utilizes OpenCL event object:

```
// single event flag
cl::Event flag;

// vector of event flags
std::vector<cl::Event> wait_list;

// To add an event flag to the wait_list, do:
wait_list.push_back(flag);
```


Synchronization (cont. 2)

- Commands can be issued without synchronization, like so:

```
q.enqueueMigrateMemObjects({in1_buf, in2_buf}, 0);
q.enqueueTask(krnl_mmult);
```


Synchronization (cont. 3)

- Or with synchronization:

```
cl::event flag0;
cl::event flag1;
std::vector<cl::event> wait_list;

// Move memory to FPGA, and signal fla0g event when done.
q.enqueueMigrateMemObjects({in1_buf, in2_buf}, 0, NULL, &flag0);

// Add the flag to the wait_list
wait_list.push_back(flag);

// Run the kernel only after all the events in the wait list have triggered
// and trigger &flag1 when done
q.enqueueTask(krnl_mmult, &wait_list, &flag1);

// Make the host code wait here until flag1 is triggered.
clWaitForEvents(1, (const cl_event *)&flag1);
```

Preclass 2a

- Break kernel into three 10ms pieces and use dataflow between them
  - 10ms input transfer
  - 10ms compute x 3
  - 5ms output transfer
- Throughput?

Preclass 1 and 2



Dataflow: Host-to-kernel

- Create a dataflow stream between host process and accelerator
  - Like DATAFLOW pragma
  - Control on FPGA/kernel side (coming up)
- Overlap next computation (setup) on host with FPGA kernel
- UG1393 says embedded platforms not support?
  - …but maybe not need since single global memory

Preclass 2b

- How many additional inputs send before get associated output? (pipeline depth)



Kernel Completion/ Synchronization



- Don't have to synchronize right after an operation
- Can have several in flight (in pipeline)
- Synchronize only after sending several (pipeline depth) inputs

Overlapping Data and Compute

- Use control of when synchronize to overlap data and compute
- Start (queue up) next data transfer and invocation before dataflow pipeline finishes
- Need to reason about (or experiment with) how many to in flight
  - When to synchronize

Running Multiple Kernel Instances

- Can have multiple instances of same kernel on FPGA
- Dispatch from Out-of-Order command queue
- Will distribute among identical kernels
- Out-of-Order queue
  - May also help with compute/communication overlap for single kernel?

Parallelism

- Pipeline/Dataflow
  - With Host Dataflow
  - DMA transfers and compute
  - Within compute
- Thread Parallelism
  - Launch multiple accelerator
- Data Parallelism
  - Define multiple instances of one accelerator and enqueue work for

Cleanup

```
clReleaseCommandQueue(Command_Queue);
clReleaseContext(Context);
clReleaseDevice(Target_Device_ID);
clReleaseKernel(Kernel);
clReleaseProgram(Program);
free(Platform_IDs);
free(Device_IDs);
```

- Need to release/free resources setup

FPGA-Side Programming

Part 3

Interface Pragmas

- Assign AXI
  - Bundles
- Host-to-Kernel
- Burst read
- Interface width

Programmable SoC



UG1085
Xilinx
UltraScale
Zynq
TRM
(p27)

Programmable SoC



AXI Channels 128b wide @ 333 MHz =5.3GB/s

AXI Channels

```
void cnn( int *pixel, // Input pixel
  int *weights, // Input Weight Matrix
  int *out, // Output pixel
  ... // Other input or Output ports

#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=pixel offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=weights offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=out offset=slave bundle=gmem
```

- Can tell it to use AXI Channels
- By default (as shown above) all share same channel

AXI Channels

```
void cnn( int *pixel, // Input pixel
  int *weights, // Input Weight Matrix
  int *out, // Output pixel
  ... // Other input or Output ports

#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=pixel offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=weights offset=slave bundle=gmem1
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=out offset=slave bundle=gmem
```

- Separate names to use different
- Note, not naming to match ZCU3EG names
  - Portability across targets

Programmable SoC



AXI Channels 128b wide @ 333 MHz =5.3GB/s

Older and Defaults

- 2019.2:

```
#pragma HLS INTERFACE s_axilite port = in1 bundle = control
#pragma HLS INTERFACE s_axilite port = in2 bundle = control
#pragma HLS INTERFACE s_axilite port = out_r bundle = control
#pragma HLS INTERFACE s_axilite port = size bundle = control
#pragma HLS INTERFACE s_axilite port = return bundle = control
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=in1  offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=in2  offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=out_r  offset=slave bundle=gmem
```

- 2020.1:

```
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=in1 bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=in2 bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=out_r bundle=gmem
```


Remember: Preclass 4a

```
P1:  for(i=0;i<MAX;i++) Astream.write(a[i]);
```

S-AXI Control – load pointers

M-AXI transfer Using pointers




```
int *p;
P1:  for(p=&(a[0]);p<&(a[MAX]);p++) Astream.write(*p);
```

Host-to-kernel Dataflow

```
void kernel_name( int *inputs,
                  ...          )// Other input or Output ports
{
#pragma HLS INTERFACE  .....   // Other interface pragmas
#pragma HLS INTERFACE ap_ctrl_chain port=return bundle=control
```

- ap_ctrl_chain

Burst Transfer

```
hls::stream<datatype_t> str;

INPUT_READ: for(int i=0; i<INPUT_SIZE; i++) {
  #pragma HLS PIPELINE
  str.write(inp[i]); // Reading from Input interface
}
```

- Where have we seen this before?

Remember: Preclass 4a

```
P1:  for(i=0;i<MAX;i++) Astream.write(a[i]);
```




```
int *p;
P1:  for(p=&(a[0]);p<&(a[MAX]);p++) Astream.write(*p);
```


Burst Transfer

```
top_function(datatype_t * m_in, // Memory data Input
  datatype_t * m_out, // Memory data Output
  int inp1,     // Other Input
  int inp2) {   // Other Input
#pragma HLS DATAFLOW

hls::stream<datatype_t> in_var1;   // Internal stream to transfer
hls::stream<datatype_t> out_var1;  // data through the dataflow region

read_function(m_in, inp1, in_var1); // Read function contains pipelined for
loop
                                    // to infer burst

execute_function(in_var1, out_var1, inp1, inp2); // Core compute function

write_function(out_var1, m_out); // Write function contains pipelined for
loop
                                 // to infer burst
}
```

- Use Dataflow to setup as separate threads on accelerator side
- Believe this is way to burst copy into local memory

Data Movement (Options)

- Access from global memory
- Configure DMA/accelerator with main-memory pointer



- DMA burst copy into BRAM memories
  - Built on top of other with DMA copy loop



Preclass 3, 4

- 333MHz cycle time on bus
- Peak bandwidth if transfer per cycle
  - 32b
  - 64b
  - 128b
- Memory at 4 GB/s
  - Which widths make bus the bottleneck?
  - Memory?

Width

```
void cnn( ap_uint<512> *pixel, // Input pixel
  int *weights, // Input Weight Matrix
  ap_uint<512> *out, // Output pixel
  ... // Other input or output ports

#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=pixel offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=weights offset=slave bundle=gmem
#pragma HLS INTERFACE m_axi port=out offset=slave bundle=gmem
```

- Will transfer at width of data type give
- May need to pack and unpack data to exploit full width

Big Ideas

- Data between PS and PL managed as DMA
- Can exploit familiar parallelism
  - Thread, Data, Streaming Dataflow
  - Overlap compute and communicate
- Must manage some pieces pretty explicitly

Admin

- Feedback
- Reading for Wednesday on web
- HW6
  - Due on Friday