ESE534: Computer Organization

Day 4: January 25, 2012
Sequential Logic
(FSMs, Pipelining, FSMD)

Previously

• Boolean Logic
• Gates
• Arithmetic
• Complexity of computations
  – E.g. area and delay for addition

Today

• Sequential Logic
  – Add registers, state
  – Finite-State Machines (FSM)
  – Register Transfer Level (RTL) logic
  – Datapath Reuse
  – Pipelining
  – Latency and Throughput
  – Finite-State Machines with Datapaths (FSMD)

Preclass

• Can we solve the problem entirely using Boolean logic functions?

Latches, Registers

• New element is a state element.
• Canonical instance is a register:
  – remembers the last value it was given until
told to change
  – typically signaled by clock

Why Registers?

• Why do we need registers?
Reuse

- In general, we want to reuse our components in time
  - not disposable logic
- How do we guarantee disciplined reuse?

To Reuse Logic...

- Make sure all logic completed evaluation
  - Outputs of gates are valid
    - Meaningful to look at them
  - Gates are "finished" with work and ready to be used again
- Make sure consumers get value
  - Before being overwritten by new calculation (new inputs)

Synchronous Logic Model

- Data starts
  - Inputs to circuit
  - Registers
- Perform combinational (boolean) logic
- Outputs of logic
  - Exit circuit
  - Clocked into registers
- Given long enough clock
  - Think about registers getting values updated by logic on each clock cycle

Issues of Timing...

- ...many issues in detailed implementation
  - glitches and hazards in logic
  - timing discipline in clocking
  - ...
- We’re going to (mostly) work above that level this term.
  - Will talk about the delay of logic between registers
- Watch for these details in ESE370/570

Preclass

- How do we build an adder for arbitrary input width?

Preclass

- What did the addition of state register(s) do for us?
Added Power

• Process *unbounded* input with finite logic
  – Ratio input: gates → arbitrarily large

• State is a *finite* (bounded) representation of what’s happened before
  – finite amount of stuff can remember to synopsize the past

• State allows behavior to depend on past (on context)

Finite-State Machine (FSM)

(Finite Automata)

• Logic core
• Plus registers to hold state

 FSM Model

• FSM – a model of computations
• More powerful than Boolean logic functions
• Both
  – Theoretically
  – practically

 FSM Abstraction

• Implementation vs. Abstraction
  – Nice to separate out
    • The abstract function want to achieve
    • The concrete implementation
  – Saw with Boolean logic
    • There are many ways to implement function
    • Want to select the concrete one that minimizes costs

• FSMs → also separate out
  “desired function” from “implementation”

Finite State Machine

• Informally:
  – Behavior depends not just on input
    • (as was the case for combinational logic)
  – …also depends on state
  – Can be completely different behavior in each state
  – Logic/output now depends on both
    • state and input

 Specifying an FSM

• Logic becomes:
  – if (state=s1)
    • boolean logic for state 1
      – (including logic for calculate next state)
  – else if (state=s2)
    • boolean logic for state2
  – …
  – if (state=sn)
    • boolean logic for state n
Specifying FSM

- What's your favorite way to specify an FSM?

- Another reason we need to separate the abstract operation from the
  - Specification
  - Implementation

FSM Specification

- Could be:
  - behavioral language (Verilog, VHDL, Bluespec)
  - computer language (C)
  - state-transition graph
  - extract from gates + registers

FSM Equivalence

- Harder than Boolean logic
- Doesn't have unique canonical form
- Consider:
  - state encoding not change behavior
  - two “equivalent” FSMS may not even have the same number of states
  - can deal with infinite (unbounded) input
  - ...so cannot enumerate output in all cases
  - No direct correspondence of a truth table

FSM Equivalence

- What matters is external observability
  - FSM outputs same signals in response to every possible input sequence
- Is it possible to check equivalence over an infinite number of input sequences?
- Possible?
  - Finite state suggests there is a finite amount of checking required to verify behavior

State Encoding

- States not (necessarily) externally visible
- We have freedom in how to encode them
  - assign bits to states
- Usually want to exploit freedom to minimize implementation costs
  - area, delay, energy
- (there are algorithms to attack – ESE535)
FSM Equivalence Flavor

• Given two FSMs A and B
  – consider the composite FSM AB
  – Inputs wired together
  – Outputs separate
• Ask:
  – is it possible to get into a composite state in which A and B output different symbols?
• There is a literature on this

Systematic FSM Design

• Start with specification
• Can compute Boolean logic for each state
  – if conversion...
  – including next state translation
  – Keep state symbolic (s1, s2…)
• Assign state encodings
• Then have combinational logic
  – has current state as part of inputs
  – produces next state as part of outputs
• Design comb. logic and add state registers

RTL

• Register Transfer Level description
• Registers + Boolean logic
• Most likely: what you’ve written in Verilog, VHDL

Datapath Reuse

• Use registers and timing for orderly progression of data

Reuse: “Waiting” Discipline

• How fast can we clock this?
• Min Clock Cycle: 8 gates A, B to S3

Example: 4b Ripple Adder
Can we do better?

- Can we clock faster, reuse elements sooner?

Stagger Inputs

- Correct if expecting A,B[3:2] to be staggered one cycle behind A,B[1:0]
- …and succeeding stage expects S[3:2] staggered from S[1:0]

Align Data / Balance Paths

Good discipline to line up pipe stages in diagrams.

Speed

How fast can we clock this?

Assuming we clock that fast, what is the delay from A,B to S3?

Pipelining and Timing

- Once introduce pipelining
  - Clock cycle = rate of reuse
  - Is not the same as the delay to complete a computation

Pipelining and Timing

- Throughput
  - How many results can the circuit produce per unit time
  - If can produce one result per cycle, Reciprocal of clock period
- Throughput of this design?
Pipelining and Timing

- **Latency**
  - How long does it take to produce one result
  - Product of:
    - clock cycle
    - number of clocks between input and output
- Latency of this design?

Example: 4b RA pipe 2

Latency and Throughput:
- Latency: 8 gates to S3
- Throughput: 1 result / 4 gate delays max

Throughput vs. Latency

- Examples where throughput matters?
- Examples where latency matters?

Deeper?

- Can we do it again?
- What’s our limit?
- Why would we stop?

More Reuse

- Saw could pipeline and reuse FA more frequently
- Suggests we’re **wasting** the FA part of the time in non-pipelined
  - What is FA3 doing while FA0 is computing?

More Reuse (cont.)

- If we’re willing to take 8 gate-delay units, do we need 4 FAs?
Ripple Add (pipe view)

Can pipeline to FA.

What if don’t need the throughput?

If don’t need throughput, reuse FA on SAME addition.

Bit Serial Addition

Assumes LSB first ordering of input data.

Bit Serial Addition: Pipelining

• Latency and throughput?
  • Latency: 8 gate delays – 10 for 5th output bit
  • Throughput: 1 result / 10 gate delays
  • Registers do have time overhead – setup, hold time, clock jitter

Multiplication

• Can be defined in terms of addition
  • Ask you to play with implementations and tradeoffs in homework 2

Compute Function

• Compute:
  \[ y = Ax^2 + Bx + C \]
  • Assume
    – \( D(Mpy) > D(Add) \)
      • E.g. \( D(Mpy)=24, D(Add)=8 \)
    – \( A(Mpy) > A(Add) \)
      • E.g. \( A(Mpy)=64, A(Add)=8 \)
Spatial Quadratic

- D(Quad) = 2*D(Mpy)+D(Add) = 56
- Throughput 1/(2*D(Mpy)+D(Add)) = 1/56
- A(Quad) = 3*A(Mpy) + 2*A(Add) = 208

Pipelined Spatial Quadratic

- D(Quad) = 3*D(Mpy) = 72
- Throughput 1/D(Mpy) = 1/24
- A(Quad) = 3*A(Mpy) + 2*A(Add)+6A(Reg) = 232

Quadratic with Single Multiplier and Adder?

- We’ve seen reuse to perform the same operation
  - pipelining
  - bit-serial, homogeneous datapath
- We can also reuse a resource in time to perform a different role.

Repeated Operations

- What operations occur multiple times in this datapath?
  - x*x, A*(x*x), B*x
  - (Bx)+c, (A*x*x)+(Bx+c)

Quadratic Datapath

- Start with one of each operation
- (alternatives where build multiply from adds...e.g. homework)

Quadratic Datapath

- Multiplier serves multiple roles
  - x*x
  - A*(x*x)
  - B*x
- Will need to be able to steer data (switch interconnections)
Quadratic Datapath

- Multiplier serves multiple roles
  - \( x^2 \)
  - \( A(x^2) \)
  - \( Bx \)
- Inputs
  a) \( x, x^2 \)
  b) \( x, A, B \)

Quadratic Datapath

- Adder serves multiple roles
  - \((Bx)+C\)
  - \((A\times x^2)+(Bx+C)\)
- Inputs
  - one always mpy output
  - \( C, Bx+C \)

Quadratic Datapath

- Add input register for \( x \)

Quadratic Datapath

- Multiplier serves multiple roles
  - \( x^2 \)
  - \( A(x^2) \)
  - \( B^2 \)
- Inputs
  a) \( x, x^2 \)
  b) \( x, A, B \)

Quadratic Control

- Now, we just need to control the datapath
- What control?
  - Control:
    - LD \( x \)
    - LD \( x^2 \)
    - MA Select
    - MB Select
    - AB Select
    - LD \( Bx+C \)
    - LD \( Y \)
FSMD

• FSMD = FSM + Datapath
• Stylization for building controlled datapaths such as this (a pattern)
• Of course, an FSMD is just an FSM
  – it’s often easier to think about as a datapath
  – synthesis, place and route tools have been notoriously bad about discovering/exploiting datapath structure

Quadratic FSMD Control

• S0: if (go) LD_X; goto S1
  – else goto S0
• S1: MA_SEL=x, MB_SEL[1:0]=x, LD_x*x
  – goto S2
• S2: MA_SEL=x, MB_SEL[1:0]=B
  – goto S3
• S3: AB_SEL=C, MA_SEL=x*x, MB_SEL=A
  – goto S4
• S4: AB_SEL=Bx+C, LD_Y
  – goto S0

Quadratic FSM

• D(mux3)=D(mux2)=1
• A(mux2)=2
• A(mux3)=3
• A(QFSM) ~= 10
• Latency/Throughput/Area?
• Latency: 5*D(MPY) = 125
• Throughput: 1/Latency = 1/125
• Area: A(Mpy)+A(Add)+5*A(Reg)+2*A(Mux2)+A(Mux3)+A(QFSM) = 109

Big Ideas

[MSB Ideas]

• Registers allow us to reuse logic
• Can implement any FSM with gates and registers
• Pipelining
  – increases parallelism
  – allows reuse in time (same function)
• Control and Sequencing
  – reuse in time for different functions
• Can tradeoff Area and Time
Big Ideas
[MSB-1 Ideas]
• RTL specification
• FSMD idiom

Admin: Reminder
• HW1 due today (10pm)
• HW2 due next Wednesday
• Reading for next week online