

Parametricity and GADTs

Dimitrios Vytiniotis
Stephanie Weirich

Computer and Information Science Department
University of Pennsylvania

Boston, July 2006

A very simple GADT example

```
data R :: * -> * where
  Rint   :: R Int
  Rbool  :: R Bool

inc :: forall a. R a -> a -> a
inc Rint  x = x + 1
inc Rbool x = True
```

A very simple GADT example

```
inc :: forall a. R a -> a -> a
inc Rint  x = x + 1
inc Rbool x = True
```

This is a strange function:

- ▶ Can't apply inc to all types.
- ▶ The argument of type a is not treated parametrically.
- ▶ So, what does *parametricity* mean in this language?

Overview

1. System F + this GADT
2. Parametricity theorem for this language
3. Free theorems
4. Other GADTs

Overview

1. System F + this GADT
2. Parametricity theorem for this language
3. Free theorems
4. Other GADTs

This is all work in progress.

System F

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \tau, \sigma & ::= & \textit{int} \mid \textit{bool} \mid \alpha \mid \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \mid \forall a. \sigma \\ e & ::= & i \mid b \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \Lambda \alpha. e \mid e[\sigma] \mid \dots \\ v & ::= & i \mid \lambda x. e \end{array}$$

System $F + R$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \tau, \sigma & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \alpha \mid \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \mid \forall a. \sigma \mid R \tau \\ e & ::= & i \mid b \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \Lambda \alpha. e \mid e[\sigma] \mid \dots \\ & | & R_{\text{int}} \mid R_{\text{bool}} \mid \text{case } e \ e_{\text{int}} \ e_{\text{bool}} \\ v & ::= & i \mid \lambda x. e \mid R_{\text{int}} \mid R_{\text{bool}} \end{array}$$

System $F + R$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \tau, \sigma & ::= & \text{int} \mid \text{bool} \mid \alpha \mid \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \mid \forall a. \sigma \mid R \tau \\ e & ::= & i \mid b \mid \lambda x. e \mid e_1 \ e_2 \mid \Lambda \alpha. e \mid e[\sigma] \mid \dots \\ & | & R_{\text{int}} \mid R_{\text{bool}} \mid \text{case } e \ e_{\text{int}} \ e_{\text{bool}} \\ v & ::= & i \mid \lambda x. e \mid R_{\text{int}} \mid R_{\text{bool}} \end{array}$$

$$inc :: \forall a. R \ \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$$

$$inc = \lambda x. \text{case } x \ (\lambda y. y + 1) \ (\lambda z. \text{true})$$

Typing rules

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash R_{int} : R \ int}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash R_{bool} : R \ bool}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash e : R \ \tau \\ \Gamma \vdash e_{int} : \sigma\{int/\alpha\} \\ \Gamma \vdash e_{bool} : \sigma\{bool/\alpha\} \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } e \ e_{int} \ e_{bool} : \sigma\{\tau/\alpha\}}$$

Bigstep, CBN Operational Semantics

$$\overline{v \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \lambda x.e'_1 \quad e'_1\{e_2/x\} \Downarrow v}{e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \Lambda a.e'_1 \quad e'_1\{\sigma/\alpha\} \Downarrow v}{e_1[\sigma] \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow R_{int} \quad e_{int} \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ } e_{int} \text{ } e_{bool} \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow R_{bool} \quad e_{bool} \Downarrow v}{\text{case } e \text{ } e_{int} \text{ } e_{bool} \Downarrow v}$$

Some Definitions

Definition (Typed value relations)

Let $\mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ be the set of relations between closed values of closed type τ_1 and τ_2 .

Some Definitions

Definition (Typed value relations)

Let $\mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ be the set of relations between closed values of closed type τ_1 and τ_2 .

Definition (Type substitution)

A type substitution η is a map from type variables to (τ_1, τ_2, r) where τ_1 and τ_2 are closed types and $r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$. If $\eta(\alpha) = (\tau_1, \tau_2, r)$, then let $\eta_1(\alpha) = \tau_1$, $\eta_2(\alpha) = \tau_2$ and $\eta_r(\alpha) = r$.

Some Definitions

Definition (Typed value relations)

Let $\mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$ be the set of relations between closed values of closed type τ_1 and τ_2 .

Definition (Type substitution)

A type substitution η is a map from type variables to (τ_1, τ_2, r) where τ_1 and τ_2 are closed types and $r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$. If $\eta(\alpha) = (\tau_1, \tau_2, r)$, then let $\eta_1(\alpha) = \tau_1$, $\eta_2(\alpha) = \tau_2$ and $\eta_r(\alpha) = r$.

Definition (Computational closure)

If $r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, then define r° as

$$\{(e_1, e_2) \mid \emptyset \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \wedge \emptyset \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 \wedge e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \wedge e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \wedge (v_1, v_2) \in r\}.$$

Logical Relation (System F)

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \text{int} \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \{(i, i)\} \\ \llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \{(b, b)\} \\ \llbracket \sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2 \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \{(v_1, v_2) \mid \\ &\quad \emptyset \vdash v_1 : \eta_1(\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \wedge \emptyset \vdash v_2 : \eta_2(\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \\ &\quad \forall (e_1, e_2) \in \llbracket \sigma_1 \rrbracket_{\eta}^{\circ} \Rightarrow \\ &\quad (v_1 \ e_1, v_2 \ e_2) \in \llbracket \sigma_2 \rrbracket_{\eta}^{\circ}\} \\ \llbracket \forall \alpha. \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \{(v_1, v_2) \mid \emptyset \vdash v_1 : \eta_1(\forall \alpha. \sigma) \wedge \emptyset \vdash v_2 : \eta_2(\forall \alpha. \sigma) \\ &\quad \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ &\quad (v_1[\tau_1], v_2[\tau_2]) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta, \alpha \rightarrow (\tau_1, \tau_2, r)}^{\circ}\} \\ \llbracket \alpha \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \eta_r(\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

Definition (Related substitution)

Let γ be a mapping from term variables to pairs of closed expressions. Say $\Gamma, \eta \vdash \gamma$ iff $\forall x : \sigma \in \Gamma, (\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_\eta^\circ$.

Parametricity Theorem

Definition (Related substitution)

Let γ be a mapping from term variables to pairs of closed expressions. Say $\Gamma, \eta \vdash \gamma$ iff $\forall x : \sigma \in \Gamma, (\gamma_1(x), \gamma_2(x)) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_\eta^\circ$.

Theorem (Fundamental theorem)

If $\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma$ and $ftv(\Gamma, e, \sigma) = dom(\eta)$ and $\Gamma, \eta \vdash \gamma$ then $(\gamma_1(e), \gamma_2(e)) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_\eta^\circ$.

Relation for R types

$$[\![R \ int]\!]_{\eta} = \{(R_{int}, R_{int})\}$$

$$[\![R \ bool]\!]_{\eta} = \{(R_{bool}, R_{bool})\}$$

$$[\![R\alpha]\!]_{\eta} = \begin{cases} [\![R\tau]\!]_{\emptyset} & \text{when } \eta_1(\alpha) = \eta_2(\alpha) = \tau \\ & \text{and } \eta_r(\alpha) = [\![\tau]\!]_{\emptyset} \\ & \text{and } \tau \text{ is a closed monotype} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[\![R \ \tau]\!]_{\eta} = \emptyset \text{ otherwise}$$

Relation for R types

$$[\![R \ int]\!]_{\eta} = \{(R_{int}, R_{int})\}$$

$$[\![R \ bool]\!]_{\eta} = \{(R_{bool}, R_{bool})\}$$

$$[\![R\alpha]\!]_{\eta} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}[\![R\tau]\!] & \text{when } \eta_1(\alpha) = \eta_2(\alpha) = \tau \\ & \text{and } \eta_r(\alpha) = \mathcal{C}[\![\tau]\!] \\ & \text{and } \tau \text{ is a closed monotype} \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$[\![R \ \tau]\!]_{\eta} = \emptyset \text{ otherwise}$$

Closed relation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}[\![\text{int}]\!] &= \{(i, i)\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![\text{bool}]\!] &= \{(b, b)\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2]\!] &= \{(v_1, v_2) \mid \\ &\quad \emptyset \vdash v_1 : \eta_1(\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \wedge \emptyset \vdash v_2 : \eta_2(\sigma_1 \rightarrow \sigma_2) \\ &\quad \forall (e_1, e_2) \in \mathcal{C}[\![\sigma_1]\!]^\circ \Rightarrow \\ &\quad (v_1 \ e_1, v_2 \ e_2) \in \mathcal{C}[\![\sigma_2]\!]^\circ\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![R \text{ int}]\!] &= \{(R_{\text{int}}, R_{\text{int}})\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![R \text{ bool}]\!] &= \{(R_{\text{bool}}, R_{\text{bool}})\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![\sigma]\!] &= \emptyset \text{ otherwise}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

If τ is a closed monotype then $[\![\tau]\!]_\emptyset = \mathcal{C}[\![\tau]\!]$

A free theorem

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1]x, f[\tau_2]y) \in r^\circ \end{aligned}$$

A free theorem

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1]x, f[\tau_2]y) \in r^\circ \end{aligned}$$

We can use this theorem to show that forall values v of type τ ,
 $f[\tau]v \Downarrow v$.

A free theorem

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1]x, f[\tau_2]y) \in r^\circ \end{aligned}$$

We can use this theorem to show that forall values v of type τ ,
 $f[\tau]v \Downarrow v$.

Let r be the relation $\{(v, v)\}$.

A free theorem

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1]x, f[\tau_2]y) \in r^\circ \end{aligned}$$

We can use this theorem to show that forall values v of type τ ,
 $f[\tau]v \Downarrow v$.

Let r be the relation $\{(v, v)\}$.

Now, $\forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau]x, f[\tau]y) \in r^\circ$.

A free theorem

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1]x, f[\tau_2]y) \in r^\circ \end{aligned}$$

We can use this theorem to show that forall values v of type τ ,
 $f[\tau]v \Downarrow v$.

Let r be the relation $\{(v, v)\}$.

Now, $\forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau]x, f[\tau]y) \in r^\circ$.

So $(v, v) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau]v, f[\tau]v) \in r^\circ$.

Free theorem for inc

Now consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.

Free theorem for inc

Now consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.

$$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2),$$

Free theorem for inc

Now consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & (\tau_1 = \tau_2 \wedge r = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow \forall (v, w) \in \llbracket R\tau \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ, \\ & \quad \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1] \nu x, f[\tau_2] \nu y) \in r^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

Free theorem for inc

Now consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & (\tau_1 = \tau_2 \wedge r = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow \forall (v, w) \in \llbracket R\tau \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ, \\ & \quad \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1] \vee x, f[\tau_2] \wedge y) \in r^\circ) \\ & \wedge (\tau_1 \neq \tau_2 \vee r \neq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow \forall (v, w) \in \emptyset^\circ, \\ & \quad \forall (x, y) \in r^\circ, (f[\tau_1] \vee x, f[\tau_2] \wedge y) \in r^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

You get what you pay for

Now consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha \rightarrow R\alpha$, which *is* an identity function.

The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & (\tau_1 = \tau_2 \wedge r = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \forall (x, y) \in \llbracket R\tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ, (f[\tau_1] x, f[\tau_2] y) \in \llbracket R\tau \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ) \\ & \wedge (\tau_1 \neq \tau_2 \vee r \neq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \forall (x, y) \in \emptyset^\circ, (f[\tau_1] x, f[\tau_2] y) \in \emptyset^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

This theorem is also uninteresting—all it says is that when given equal arguments, f will produce equal results.

Not always useless

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

Not always useless

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2),$$

Not always useless

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & (\tau_1 = \tau_2 \wedge r = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1], f[\tau_2]) \in \llbracket R\tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

Not always useless

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & (\tau_1 = \tau_2 \wedge r = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1], f[\tau_2]) \in \llbracket R\tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ) \\ & \wedge (\tau_1 \neq \tau_2 \vee r \neq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1], f[\tau_2]) \in \emptyset^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

Not always useless

Consider a closed expression f of type $\forall \alpha. R\alpha$. The free theorem for this type is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, r \in \mathcal{V}(\tau_1, \tau_2), \\ & (\tau_1 = \tau_2 \wedge r = \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1], f[\tau_2]) \in \llbracket R\tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ) \\ & \wedge (\tau_1 \neq \tau_2 \vee r \neq \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_\emptyset^\circ \Rightarrow (f[\tau_1], f[\tau_2]) \in \emptyset^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

By this theorem, $(f[int], f[bool]) \in \emptyset^\circ$. So there cannot be any such f .

Alternative reasoning

Lemma (Canonical forms)

1. If $\emptyset \vdash v : R \text{ int}$ then $v = R_{\text{int}}$.
2. If $\emptyset \vdash v : R \text{ bool}$ then $v = R_{\text{bool}}$.
3. There are no closed values of type $R \sigma$, when σ is not int or bool.

Lemma (Canonical forms)

1. If $\emptyset \vdash v : R \text{ int}$ then $v = R_{\text{int}}$.
2. If $\emptyset \vdash v : R \text{ bool}$ then $v = R_{\text{bool}}$.
3. There are no closed values of type $R \sigma$, when σ is not int or bool.

Using this this lemma, we can show that if $f : \forall \alpha. R\alpha \rightarrow R\alpha$ then for all $\emptyset \vdash v : R\tau$, $f[\tau] v \Downarrow v$.

Vector GADT

Consider another GADT.

```
data Z :: *
data S :: * -> *

data Vec :: * -> * -> * where
  Nil  :: Vec Z a
  Cons :: a -> Vec n a -> Vec (S n) a
```

More formally

$$\Gamma \vdash Nil : \forall \alpha. Vec Z \alpha$$
$$\Gamma \vdash Cons : \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha \rightarrow Vec \beta \alpha \rightarrow Vec (S \beta) \alpha$$
$$\Gamma \vdash e : Vec \sigma_{ind} \sigma$$
$$\Gamma \vdash e_n : \sigma' \{Z/\alpha\}$$
$$\Gamma \vdash e_c : \forall \beta. \sigma \rightarrow \sigma' \{\beta/\alpha\} \rightarrow \sigma' \{S \beta/\alpha\}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash case \ e \ e_n \ e_c : \sigma' \{n/\alpha\}$$

Logical relation

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket Z \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \emptyset \\ \llbracket S\sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \emptyset \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } Z \ \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \{(Nil, Nil)\} \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } (S \ \sigma_i) \ \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \{(\text{Cons}[\eta_1(\sigma)][\eta_1(\sigma_i)] \ x_1 \ y_1, \\ &\quad \text{Cons}[\eta_2(\sigma)][\eta_2(\sigma_i)] \ x_2 \ y_2) \mid \\ &\quad (x_1, x_2) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta}, \quad (y_1, y_2) \in \llbracket \text{Vec } \sigma_i \ \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta}\} \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } \alpha \ \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \begin{cases} \llbracket \text{Vec } \tau \ \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} & \text{when } \eta_1(\alpha) = \eta_2(\alpha) = \tau \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } \sigma_i \ \sigma \rrbracket_{\eta} &= \emptyset \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Logical relation

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket Z \rrbracket_\eta &= \emptyset \\ \llbracket S\sigma \rrbracket_\eta &= \emptyset \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } Z \ \sigma \rrbracket_\eta &= \{(Nil, Nil)\} \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } (S \ \sigma_i) \ \sigma \rrbracket_\eta &= \{(\text{Cons}[\eta_1(\sigma)][\eta_1(\sigma_i)] \ x_1 \ y_1, \\ &\quad \text{Cons}[\eta_2(\sigma)][\eta_2(\sigma_i)] \ x_2 \ y_2) \mid \\ &\quad (x_1, x_2) \in \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_\eta, \quad (y_1, y_2) \in \llbracket \text{Vec } \sigma_i \ \sigma \rrbracket_\eta\} \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } \alpha \ \sigma \rrbracket_\eta &= \begin{cases} \llbracket \text{Vec } \tau \ \sigma \rrbracket_\eta & \text{when } \eta_1(\alpha) = \eta_2(\alpha) = \tau \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \llbracket \text{Vec } \sigma_i \ \sigma \rrbracket_\eta &= \emptyset \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Note: Because the index type is empty, don't need to restrict $\eta_r(\alpha)$.

Where to next?

- ▶ More free theorems.
- ▶ Leave the “pure” world.
- ▶ Parametricity for general GADTs.
- ▶ Mechanize everything in a theorem prover. Dimitrios has a good start in Isabelle/HOL.