

BIAS OF REGRESSION ESTIMATOR FOR RHO

To establish that the regression estimator, $\hat{\rho}$, of ρ is biased, suppose that the actual residual values, u , in the relation,

$$(1) \quad u = \rho Wu + \varepsilon$$

are *observable*. Then we can estimate ρ by a (no-intercept) regression to obtain,

$$(2) \quad \hat{\rho} = \frac{u'W'u}{u'W'Wu}$$

But from (1) it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \hat{\rho} &= \frac{u'W'(\rho Wu + \varepsilon)}{u'W'Wu} = \frac{\rho \cdot u'W'Wu}{u'W'Wu} + \frac{u'W'\varepsilon}{u'W'Wu} \\ &= \rho + \frac{u'W'\varepsilon}{u'W'Wu} = \rho + \frac{(Wu)'\varepsilon}{\|Wu\|^2} = \rho + \frac{(Wu)'\varepsilon}{\|Wu\|\|\varepsilon\|} \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|}{\|Wu\|} \right) \\ &= \rho + \text{corr}(Wu, \varepsilon) \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|}{\|Wu\|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now if $\rho > 0$ then it is clear from (1) that $\text{corr}(u, \varepsilon) > 0$ and $\text{corr}(u, Wu) > 0$, so that one must almost surely have $\text{corr}(Wu, \varepsilon) > 0$ [which can in fact be shown]. Hence by the positivity of the ratio, $\|\varepsilon\| / \|Wu\|$, it follows that that $E(\|\varepsilon\| / \|Wu\|) > 0$, so that:

$$(4) \quad E(\hat{\rho}) = \rho + \text{corr}(Wu, \varepsilon) E\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|}{\|Wu\|}\right) > \rho$$

Thus $\hat{\rho}$ is *biased upwards*. Moreover, this bias does not disappear even for large sample sizes, so that this result also shows that $\hat{\rho}$ is *not even a consistent estimator* of ρ . Finally, since $\hat{\rho}$ tends to make ρ look *too large* (for $\rho > 0$), it tends to inflate the significance of spatial autocorrelation (the *worst* of all possible cases!)

But Moran's I tends to *mitigate* this effect. To see this, note first that

$$(5) \quad I = \frac{u'W'u}{\|u\|^2} = \frac{u'W'u}{\|Wu\|^2} \left(\frac{\|Wu\|^2}{\|u\|^2} \right) = \hat{\rho} \left(\frac{\|Wu\|^2}{\|u\|^2} \right)$$

Next recall that *row normalized* matrices, W , act to *average* the components of u , and thus tend to reduce their variance, so that

$$(6) \quad E(\|Wu\|^2) < E(\|u\|^2)$$

This in turn can be shown to imply that $E(\|Wu\|^2 / \|u\|^2) < 1$, and hence that

$$(7) \quad E(I) = E \left[\hat{\rho} \left(\frac{\|Wu\|^2}{\|u\|^2} \right) \right] < E(\hat{\rho})$$

Hence Moran's I tends to *reduce* the inflated values of $\hat{\rho}$, and thus provides a *more stable* indicator of spatial autocorrelation.