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Equivalence of Algorithmic Markov Conditions
Given strings $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and directed acyclic graph $G$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) Recursive Form (Markov Factorization):

$$
K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(x_{j} \mid p a_{j}^{*}\right)
$$

(2) Local Markov Conditions:

$$
I\left(x_{j}: n d_{j} \mid p a_{j}^{*}\right)=0
$$

(3) Global Markov Conditions:

$$
I(S: T \mid R)=0
$$

if $R$ d-separates $S$ and $T$.

## Algorithmic Model for Causality

Algorithmic Model for Causality
Let $G$ be a DAG formalizing the causal structure among the strings $x 1, \ldots, x n$. Then every $x_{j}$ is computed by a program $q_{j}$ with length $O(1)$ from its parents $p a_{j}$ and an additional independent inputs $n_{j}$

$$
x_{j}=q_{j}\left(p a_{j}, n_{j}\right)
$$

Here, by independence we mean $I\left(n_{j}: n^{-j}\right)=0$.
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Note!
the Turing machine simulating the process would not necessarily halt on all inputs $p a_{j}, n_{j}$.

## Algorithmic model implies Markov

Algorithmic model implies Markov
Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be generated by the algorithmic model. Then they satisfy the algorithmic Markov condition with respect to $G$.
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- Consider genetic sequences $s 1, s 2$ of two persons that are not relatives. There is a significant violation of $I(s 1: s 2)=0$ due to the fact that both genes are taken from humans.
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## Note!

In the statistical version of the link between causality and dependence, the relevance of the background information is less obvious because it is evident that statistical methods are always applied to a given statistical ensemble.

## From Algorithmic to Statistical

- Consider random variables $X$ and $Y$.
- The machine S , generates samples of $X$ according to $P(X)$.
- M that generates y -values according to $P(Y \mid X)$.
- If $I(P(X): P(Y \mid X)) \gg 0$, there must be a causal link that explains the similarities in the design of S and M .
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## Postulate

A causal hypothesis $G$ (i.e., a DAG) is only acceptable if the shortest description of the joint density $P$ is given by a concatenation of the shortest description of the Markov kernels, i.e.

$$
K\left(P\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{j} K\left(P\left(X_{j} \mid P A_{j}\right)\right)
$$
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- Algorithmic causal inference, on the other hand, infers a causal link in both cases because the equality $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}$ requires an explanation.


## Relation between two scenarios

- Switching between (I) and (II) then consists merely in shifting the causal connection to another level:
- In the i.i.d setting, every $x_{j}$ must be causally linked to $y_{j}$.
- In case (II), there must be a connection between the two mechanisms that for instance, be due to the fact that two machines emitting the same string were designed by the same engineer.


$$
I\left(x^{1}: y^{2} \mid x^{2}\right)=0
$$

$$
I\left(x^{2}: y^{1} \mid x^{1}\right)=0
$$

The most remarkable property of this, is that they are asymmetric with respect to exchanging the roles of $X$ and $Y$

