Programming Languages and Techniques (CIS120)

Lecture 8

September 14, 2015

BST Delete

Generics

(Chapters 8 & 9)

Announcements

- Read Chapters 8 and 9 of lecture notes
- HW2 due *tomorrow* at midnight
- HW3 will be available later today
  - Due next Thursday, Sept. 24th at midnight

- Clicker attendance data hasn't yet been uploaded
  - Should be available later today

- My office hours: Tues. 3:30 – 5:00 this week

Constructing BSTs

Deleting an element

Binary Search Trees

- A *binary search tree* (BST) is a binary tree with some additional *invariants*:*

  - `Node(lt,x,rt)` is a BST if
    - `lt` and `rt` are both BSTs
    - all nodes of `lt` are `<` `x`
    - all nodes of `rt` are `>` `x`
  - `Empty` is a BST

*An data structure *invariant* is a set of constraints about the way that the data is organized. "types" (e.g. list or tree) are one kind of invariant, but we often impose additional constraints.

Deletion – No Children: `(delete t 3)`



Deletion – No Children: `(delete t 3)`



If the node to be deleted has no children, simply replace it by the Empty tree.

Deletion – One Child: `(delete t 7)`



Deletion – One Child: `(delete t 7)`

If the node to be delete has one child, replace the deleted node by its child.



Deletion – Two Children: `(delete t 5)`



Deletion – Two Children: `(delete t 5)`

If the node to be delete has two children, *promote* the maximum child of the left tree.



Subtleties of the Two-Child Case

- Suppose Node(lt,x,rt) is to be deleted and lt and rt are both themselves nonempty trees.
- Then:
  1. There exists a maximum element, m, of lt (Why?)
  2. Every element of rt is greater than m (Why?)

- To promote m we replace the deleted node by:

  Node(delete lt m, m, rt)

  – I.e. we recursively delete m from lt and relabel the root node m
  – The resulting tree satisfies the BST invariants

How to Find the Maximum Element?



How to Find the Maximum Element?

Just for fun, how do we find the max element of the whole tree?



Tree Max: A *partial** function

```
let rec tree_max (t:tree) : int =
  begin match t with
  | Node(_,x,Empty) -> x
  | Node(_,_,rt) -> tree_max rt
  | _ -> failwith "tree_max called on Empty"
  end
```

- We never call tree_max on an empty tree
  - This is a consequence of the BST invariants and the case analysis done by the delete function
- BST invariant guarantees that the maximum-value node is farthest to the right

* Partial, in this context, means "not defined for all inputs".

Code for BST delete

trees.ml

Deleting From a BST

```
let rec delete (t: tree) (n: int) : tree =
  begin match t with
  | Empty -> Empty
  | Node(lt, x, rt) ->
    if x = n then
      begin match (lt, rt) with
      | (Empty, Empty) -> Empty
      | (Node _, Empty) -> lt
      | (Empty, Node _) -> rt
      | _ -> let m = tree_max lt in
        Node(delete lt m, m, rt)
    end
    else if n < x then Node(delete lt n, x, rt)
  else Node(lt, x, delete rt n)
end
```

If we insert a label n into a BST and then immediately delete n, do we always get back a tree of exactly the same shape?

1. yes
2. no

Answer: no, what if the node is in the tree

If we insert a label n into a BST *that does not already contain n* and then immediately delete n, do we always get back a tree of exactly the same shape?

1. yes
2. no

Answer: yes

If we delete n from a BST (containing n) and then immediately insert n again, do we always get back a tree of exactly the same shape?

1. yes
2. no

Answer: no, what if we delete the root?

Generic Functions and Data

Wow, implementing BSTs took quite a bit of typing...
Do we have to repeat it all again if we want to use
BSTs containing strings, or characters, or floats?

or

How not to repeat yourself, Part I.

Structurally Identical Functions

- Observe: many functions on lists, trees, and other datatypes don't depend on the contents, only on the structure.
- Compare: `length` for "`int list`" vs. "`string list`"

```
let rec length (l: int list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | _::tl -> 1 + length tl
  end
```

```
let rec length (l: string list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | _::tl -> 1 + length tl
  end
```

The functions are *identical*, except for the type annotation.

Notation for Generic Types

- OCaml provides syntax for functions with *generic* types

```
let rec length (l:'a list) : int =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> 0
  | _::tl -> 1 + (length tl)
  end
```

- Notation: `'a` is a *type variable*; the function `length` can be used on a `t list` for *any* type `t`.
- Examples:
  - `length [1;2;3]` use length on an int list
  - `length ["a";"b";"c"]` use length on a string list

Generic List Append

Note that the two input lists must have the *same* type of elements.

The return type is the same as the inputs.

```
let rec append (l1:'a list) (l2:'a list) : 'a list =
  begin match l1 with
  | [] -> l2
  | h::tl -> h::(append tl l2)
  end
```

Pattern matching works over generic types!

In the body of the branch:
- h has type 'a
- tl has type 'a list

Generic Zip

Functions can operate over *multiple* generic types.

```
let rec zip (l1:'a list) (l2:'b list) : ('a*'b) list =
  begin match (l1,l2) with
  | (h1::t1, h2::t2) -> (h1,h2)::(zip t1 t2)
  | _ -> []
  end
```

- Distinct type variables can be instantiated differently:

```
zip [1;2;3] ["a";"b";"c"]
```

- Here, 'a is instantiated to `int`, 'b to `string`
- Result is

```
[(1,"a");(2,"b");(3,"c")]
```

of type `(int * string) list`

User-Defined Generic Datatypes

- Recall our integer tree type:

```
type tree =
| Empty
| Node of tree * int * tree
```

- We can define a generic version by adding a type parameter, like this:



User-Defined Generic Datatypes

- BST operations can be generic too; only change is to the type annotation

```
(* Insert n into the BST t *)

let rec insert (t:'a tree) (n:'a) : 'a tree =
  begin match t with
  | Empty -> Node(Empty,n,Empty)
  | Node(lt,x,rt) ->
      if x = n then t
       else if n < x then Node(insert lt n, x, rt)
       else Node(lt, x, insert rt n)
  end
```

Equality and comparison are generic — they work for *any* type of data too.

Does the following function typecheck?

```
let f (l : 'a list) : 'b list =
begin match l with
| [] -> true::l
| _::rest -> 1::l
end
```

1. yes
2. no

Answer: no, even though the return type is generic, the two branches must agree (so that ‘b can be consistently instantiated).

Does the following function typecheck?

```
let f (x : 'a) : 'a =
  x + 1

;; print_endline (f "hello")
```

1. yes
2. no

Answer: no, the type annotations and uses of f aren't consistent