Programming Languages and Techniques (CIS120)

Lecture 9

September 16th, 2015

HOF patterns: transform & fold

Announcements

- Homework 3 is available
 - Due THURSDAY, September 24th at 11:59:59pm
 - Practice with BSTs, generic functions, HOFs and abstract types
- If you added CIS 120 recently, make sure that you can see your scores online.
 - If you get feedback about your scores, you are in our database.
 - If not, please send mail to <u>tas120@lists.seas.upenn.edu</u>
 - If you see unsubmitted "quizzes", you may need to register your clicker
- Read chapters 9 & 10 of the lecture notes

First-class Functions

Higher-order Programs

or

How not to repeat yourself, Part II.

First-class Functions

You can pass a function as an argument to another function:

```
let twice (f:int -> int) (x:int) : int =
  f (f x)

let add_one (z:int) : int = z + 1
let add_two (z:int) : int = z + 2
let y = twice add_one 3
let w = twice add_two 3
function type: argument of type int
and result of type int
```

You can return a function as the result of another function.

```
let make_incr (n:int) : int -> int =
   let helper (x:int) : int =
        n + x
   in
   helper
let y = twice (make_incr 1) 3
```

First-class Functions

You can store functions in data structures

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = x+1
let add_two (x:int) : int = x+2
let add_three (x:int) : int = x+3

let func_list : (int -> int) list =
    [ add_one; add_two; add_three ]
A list of (int -> int) functions.
```

```
let func_list1 : (int -> int) list =
  [ make_incr 1; make_incr 2; make_incr 3 ]
```

Simplifying First-Class Functions

```
let twice (f:int -> int) (x:int) : int =
  f (f x)
let add_one (z:int) : int = z + 1
```

```
twice add_one 3

\mapsto add_one (add_one 3) substitute add_one for f, 3 for x

\mapsto add_one (3 + 1) substitute 3 for z in add_one

\mapsto add_one 4 3+1\Rightarrow 4

\mapsto 4 + 1 substitute 4 for z in add_one

\mapsto 5 4+1\Rightarrow 5
```

Evaluating First-Class Functions

```
let make_incr (n:int) : int -> int =
  let helper (x:int) : int = n + x in
  helper
```

Evaluating First-Class Functions

```
let make_incr (n:int) : int -> int =
  let helper (x:int) : int = n + x in
  helper
```

```
make_incr 3

substitute 3 for n
```

 \mapsto let helper (x:int) = 3 + x in helper

 \mapsto fun (x:int) -> 3 + x

Anonymous function value

keyword "fun"

"->" after arguments no return type annotation

Named function values

A standard function definition:

```
let add_one (x:int) : int = x+1
```

really has two parts:

```
let add_one : int -> int = fun (x:int) -> x+1
```

define a name for the value

create a function value

Both definitions have the same type and behave exactly the same.

Anonymous functions

```
let add_one (z:int) : int = z + 1
let add_two (z:int) : int = z + 2
let y = twice add_one 3
let w = twice add_two 3
```

```
let y = twice (fun (z:int) \rightarrow z+1) 3
let w = twice (fun (z:int) \rightarrow z+2) 3
```

Multiple Arguments

We can decompose a standard function definition:

```
let sum (x : int) (y:int) : int : x + y
```

into two parts:

```
let sum = fun (x:int) \rightarrow fun (y:int) \rightarrow x + y
```

define a variable with that value

create a function value

Both definitions have the same interface and behave exactly the same:

```
let sum : int -> int -> int
```

Partial Application

```
let sum (x:int) (y:int) : int = x + y
```

```
sum 3

\mapsto (fun (x:int) -> fun (y:int) -> x + y) 3 definition

\mapsto fun (y:int) -> 3 + y substitute 3 for x
```

What is the value of this expresssion?

```
let f (x:bool) (y:int) : int =
   if x then 1 else y in
f true
```

- 1.1
- 2. true
- 3.fun (y:int) -> if true then 1 else y
- 4. fun (x:bool) -> if x then 1 else y

What is the value of this expression?

```
let f (g : int -> int) (y: int) :int =
    g 1 + y in

f (fun (x:int) -> x + 1) 3
```

- 1.1
- 2.2
- 3.3
- 4.4
- 5.5

What is the type of this expression?

```
let f (g : int -> int) (y: int) : int =
    g 1 + y in

f (fun (x:int) -> x + 1)
```

- 1. int
- 2. int -> int
- 3. int -> int -> int
- 4.(int -> int) -> int -> int
- 5. ill-typed

What is the type of this expresssion?

```
[ (fun (x:int) -> x + 1);
 (fun (x:int) -> x - 1) ]
```

```
1. int
```

- 2. int -> int
- 3.(int -> int) list
- 4. int list -> int list
- 5. ill typed

List transformations

A fundamental design pattern using first-class functions

Phone book example

```
type entry = string * int
let phone_book = [ ("Stephanie", 2155559092), ... ]
let rec get_names (p : entry list) : string list =
  begin match p with
  | ((name, num)::rest) -> name :: get_names rest
  | [] -> []
  end
let rec get_numbers (p : entry list) : int list =
  begin match p with
  | ((name, num)::rest) -> num :: get_numbers rest
  | [] -> []
  end
```

Can we use first-class functions to refactor code to share common structure?

Refactoring

```
let rec helper (f:entry -> 'b) (p:entry list) : 'b list =
  begin match p with
  | (entry::rest) -> f entry :: helper f rest
  | [] -> []
  end

let get_names (p : entry list) : string list =
  helper fst p
let get_numbers (p : entry list) : int list =
  helper snd p
```

fst and snd are functions that access the parts of a tuple: let fst (x,y) = xlet snd (x,y) = y

The argument f controls what happens with the binding at the head of the list

Going even more generic

```
let rec helper (f:entry -> 'b) (p:entry list) : 'b list =
  begin match p with
  | (entry::rest) -> f entry :: helper f rest
  | [] -> []
  end

let get_names (p : entry list) : string list =
  helper fst p
let get_numbers (p : entry list) : int list =
  helper snd p
```

Now let's make it work for *all* lists, not just lists of entries...

Going even more generic

```
let rec helper (f:'a -> 'b) (p:'a list) : 'b list =
  begin match p with
  | (entry::rest) -> f entry :: helper f rest
  | [] -> []
  end

let get_names (p : entry list) : string list =
  helper fst p
let get_numbers (p : entry list) : int list =
  helper snd p
```

'a stands for (string*int)
'b stands for int

snd : (string*int) -> int

Transforming Lists

```
let rec transform (f:'a -> 'b) (l:'a list) : 'b list =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> []
  | h::t -> (f h)::(transform f t)
  end
```

List transformation (a.k.a. "mapping a function across a list"*)

- foundational function for programming with lists
- occurs over and over again
- part of OCaml standard library (called List.map)

Example of using transform:

```
transform is_engr ["FNCE";"CIS";"ENGL";"DMD"] =
    [false;true;false;true]
```

^{*}confusingly, many languages (including OCaml) use the terminology "map" for the function that transforms a list by applying a function to each element. Don't confuse List.map with "finite map".

```
let rec transform (f:'a -> 'b) (l:'a list) : 'b list =
  begin match l with
  | [] -> []
  | h::t -> (f h)::(transform f t)
  end
```

What is the value of this expresssion?

```
transform String.uppercase ["a";"b";"c"]
```

- 1. []
- 2. ["a"; "b"; "c"]
- 3. ["A"; "B"; "C"]
- 4. runtime error

What is the value of this expresssion?

```
transform (fun (x:int) -> x > 0)
[0; -1; 1; -2]
```

- 1. [0; -1; 1; -2]
- 2. [1]
- 3. [0; 1]
- 4. [false; false; true; false]
- 5. runtime error

List processing

The 'fold' design pattern

Refactoring code, again

Is there a pattern in the definition of these two functions?

```
let rec exists (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match 1 with
     [] -> false ←
   | h :: t -> h || exists t
                                                     base case:
                                                     Simple answer when
   end
                                                     the list is empty
let rec acid_length (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match 1 with
                                                     combine step:
   I Γ̄ ¬> 0 <
                                                     Do something with
   \mid h :: t \rightarrow 1 + acid_length t
                                                     the head of the list
   end
                                                     and the recursive call
```

Can we factor out that pattern using first-class functions?

Abstracting with respect to Base

```
let rec helper (base : bool) (l : bool list) : bool =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> h || helper base t
   end

let exists (l : bool list) = helper false l
```

```
let rec helper (base : int) (l : acid list) : int =
   begin match l with
   | [] -> base
   | h :: t -> 1 + helper base t
   end

let acid_length (l : acid list) = helper 0 l
```

Abstracting with respect to Combine

```
let rec helper (combine : bool -> bool -> bool)
              (base : bool) (l : bool list) : bool =
  begin match 1 with
   I h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
let exists (l : bool list) =
  helper (fun (h:bool) (acc:bool) -> h || acc) false l
let rec helper (combine : acid -> int -> int)
              (base : int) (l : acid list) : int =
  begin match 1 with
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
 let acid_length (l : acid list) =
   helper (fun (h:acid) (acc:int) -> 1 + acc) 0 l
```

Making the Helper Generic

```
let rec helper (combine : 'a -> 'b -> 'b)
               (base : 'b) (l : 'a list) : 'b =
  begin match 1 with
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
let exists (l : bool list) =
  helper (fun (h:bool) (acc:bool) -> h || acc) false l
let rec helper (combine : 'a -> 'b -> 'b)
               (base : 'b) (l : 'a list) : 'b =
  begin match 1 with
   | \Gamma \rangle base
   | h :: t -> combine h (helper combine base t)
  end
let acid_length (l : acid list) =
   helper (fun (h:acid) (acc:int) -> 1 + acc) 0 l
```

List Fold

- fold (a.k.a. Reduce)
 - Like transform, foundational function for programming with lists
 - Captures the pattern of recursion over lists
 - Also part of OCaml standard library (List.fold_right)
 - Similar operations for other recursive datatypes (fold_tree)