Lecture 13
CIS 341: COMPILERS

Announcements

- Midterm Exam:
 - Thursday, February 28th
 - In class
 - Examples on the web

DATATYPES IN THE IR

Zdancewic CIS 341: Compilers

Structured Data in LLVM

• LLVM's IR is uses types to describe the structure of data.



- <#elts> is an integer constant >= 0
- Structure types can be named at the top level:

 $T1 = type \{t_1, t_2, ..., t_n\}$

- Such structure types can be recursive

Example LL Types

- An array of 341 integers: [341 x i32]
- A two-dimensional array of integers: [3 x [4 x i32]]
- Structure for representing arrays with their length:

{ i32 , [0 x i32] }

- There is no array-bounds check; the static type information is only used for calculating pointer offsets.
- C-style linked lists (declared at the top level):
 %Node = type { i32, %Node*}
- Structs from the C program shown earlier: %Rect = { %Point, %Point, %Point, %Point } %Point = { i32, i32 }

GetElementPtr

- LLVM provides the getelementptr instruction to compute pointer values
 - Given a pointer and a "path" through the structured data pointed to by that pointer, getelementptr computes an address
 - This is the abstract analog of the X86 LEA (load effective address). It does not access memory.
 - It is a "type indexed" operation, since the sizes computations involved depend on the type

```
insn ::= ...
| %uid = getelementptr t*, %val, t1 idx1, t2 idx2 ,...
```

• Example: access the x component of the first point of a rectangle:

```
%tmp1 = getelementptr %Rect* %square, i32 0, i32 0
%tmp2 = getelementptr %Point* %tmp1, i32 0, i32 0
```

Example*



Final answer: ADDR + sizeof(struct ST) + sizeof(struct RT) + sizeof(int)
+ sizeof(int) + 5*20*sizeof(int) + 13*sizeof(int)

Zdancewic CIS 341: Compile *adapted from the LLVM documentaion: see http://llvm.org/docs/LangRef.html#getelementptr-instruction

Other Adjustments to the IR

• LLVM's alloca instruction accepts a type:

```
%uid = alloca <type>
```

- External linkage to call C functions:
 - Needed for malloc
- Project 4 will provide a simplified interface to getelementptr
 - Only support for arrays (structs aren't needed until Project 5)
- getelementptr in practice:
 - See struct.c and struct.ll
 - Note that we will ignore lots of alignment and other annotations (e.g. inbound) seen in "real" LLVM code
 - We will generate them for you from the LL subset of LLVM.

FIRST-CLASS FUNCTIONS

Zdancewic CIS 341: Compilers

"Functional" languages

- Languages like ML, Haskell, Scheme, Python, C#, (maybe eventually Java?) include *first-class* functions.
- Functions can be passed as arguments (e.g. map or fold)
- Functions can be returned as values (e.g. compose)
- Functions nest: inner function can refer to variables bound in the outer function

```
let add = fun x -> fun y -> x + y
let inc = add 1
let dec = add -1
let compose = fun f -> fun g -> fun x -> f (g x)
let id = compose inc dec
```

• How do we implement such functions?

Free Variables

```
let add = fun x \rightarrow fun y \rightarrow x + y
let inc = add 1
```

- The result of add 1 is a function
- After calling **add**, we can't throw away its argument (or its local variables) because those are needed in the function returned by add.
- We say that the variable x is *free* in fun $y \rightarrow x + y$
 - Free variables are defined in an outer scope
- We say that the variable y is *bound* by "fun y" and its scope is the body "x + y" in the expression fun y -> x + y
- A term with no free variables is called *closed*.
- A term with one or more free variables is called *open*.

Substitution Semantics

• Consider how to evaluate such functions: inc = add 1= (fun x -> fun y -> x + y) 1= fun y -> 1 + y• Similarly dec = fun y $\rightarrow -1 + y$ • So: id = compose inc dec = (fun f \rightarrow (fun g \rightarrow fun x \rightarrow f (g x))) inc dec = $(fun g \rightarrow fun x \rightarrow inc (g x)) dec$ = fun x -> (fun y -> 1 + y) (dec x) = fun x -> (1 + (dec x)) $= fun x \rightarrow (1 + ((fun y \rightarrow -1 + y) x))$ $= fun x \rightarrow (1 + (-1 + x))$

Substitutions Continued

- When we *substitute* a value v for some variable x in an expression e (written subst v x e), we replace all *free occurrences* of x in e by v.
- Function application can be interpreted by substitution:

```
(fun x \rightarrow fun y \rightarrow x + y) 1
```

= subst 1 x (fun y \rightarrow x + y)

$$= (fun y -> 1 + y)$$

(Untyped) Lambda Calculus

- The lambda calculus is a minimal programming language.
 - Note: we're writing (fun x –> e) lambda-calculus notation: $\lambda x. e$
- It has variables, functions, and function application.
 - That's it! (Though for examples, I'll add int and +)
 - It's Turing Complete.
 - It's the foundation for a *lot* of research in programming languages.
 - Basis for "functional" languages like Scheme, ML, Haskell, etc.

Abstract syntax in OCaml:



Concrete syntax:

CIS 341: Compilers

exp ::=		
	x	variables
	fun x -> exp	functions
	$ \exp_1 \exp_2 $	function application
	(exp)	parentheses