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Simply typed lambda-calculus with booleans

| true : Bool | ( $T$-True) |
| :---: | :---: |
| false: Bool | (T-FALSE) |
| $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ : Bool $\mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{t}_{3}: \mathrm{T}$ |  |
| if $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ then $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ else $\mathrm{t}_{3}: \mathrm{T}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T} \in \Gamma$ | (T-VAR) |
| $\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}$ |  |
| $\Gamma, \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{2}$ |  |
| $\Gamma \vdash \lambda \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ |  |
| $\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{11}$ |  |
| $\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{12}$ |  |

$\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{12}$
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## Administrivia

- Exams will be graded over the weekend

HW5??

The Substitution Lemma
[board]

## Intro vs. elim forms

An introduction form for a given type gives us a way of constructing elements of this type.

An elimination form for a type gives us a way of using elements of this type.
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## Propositions as Types

| LOGIC | PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES |
| :--- | :--- |
| propositions | types |
| proposition $\mathrm{P} \supset \mathrm{Q}$ | type $\mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}$ |
| proposition $\mathrm{P} \wedge \mathrm{Q}$ | type $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{Q}$ |
| proof of proposition P | term t of type P |
| proposition P is provable | type P is inhabited (by some term) |

## The Curry-Howard Correspondence

In constructive logics, a proof of $\mathbf{P}$ must provide evidence for P .

- "law of the excluded middle" - $\mathbf{P} \vee \neg \mathbf{P}$ - not recognized.

A proof of $P \wedge Q$ is a pair of evidence for $P$ and evidence for $Q$.

A proof of $\mathbf{P} \supset \mathbf{Q}$ is a procedure for transforming evidence for $\mathbf{P}$ into evidence for Q .
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| LOGIC | Programming Languages |
| :---: | :---: |
| propositions <br> proposition $\mathbf{P} \supset \mathbf{Q}$ <br> proposition $P \wedge Q$ <br> proof of proposition $\mathbf{P}$ <br> proposition $\mathbf{P}$ is provable <br> proof simplification <br> (cut elimination) | types <br> type $P \rightarrow Q$ <br> type $P \times Q$ <br> term $t$ of type $P$ <br> type $P$ is inhabited (by some term) evaluation |

## Typability

An untyped $\lambda$-term $m$ is said to be typable if there is some term $t$ in the simply typed lambda-calculus, some type $T$, and some context $\Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{erase}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{m}$ and $\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}$ : T .

Cf. type reconstruction in OCaml.


## Base types

Up to now, we've formulated "base types" (e.g. Nat) by adding them to the syntax of types, extending the syntax of terms with associated constants (zero) and operators (succ, etc.) and adding appropriate typing and evaluation rules. We can do this for as many base types as we like.

For more theoretical discussions (as opposed to programming) we can often ignore the term-level inhabitants of base types, and just treat these types as uninterpreted constants.
E.g., suppose $B$ and $C$ are some base types. Then we can ask (without knowing anything more about $B$ or $C$ ) whether there are any types $S$ and $T$ such that the term
( $\lambda \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{S} . \lambda \mathrm{g}: \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{f} \mathrm{g}$ ) ( $\lambda \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{x}$ )
is well typed.
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## The Unit type

```
t ::= ...
    unit
v ::= ...
    unit
T ::= ...
    Unit
```

New typing rules
$\Gamma \vdash$ unit : Unit
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## Equivalence of the two definitions

[board]

## Sequencing as a derived form





## Evaluation rules for pairs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}\right\} .1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{v}_{1} \\
& \text { (E-PAIRBETA1) } \\
& \text { (E-PAIRBETA2) } \\
& \text { (E-PROJ1) } \\
& \text { (E-PRoJ2) } \\
& \text { (E-PAIR1) } \\
& \text { (E-PAIR2) }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Typing rules for pairs  <br>  $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{2}}{\left.\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}, \mathrm{t}_{2}\right\}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}}$ <br>  $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \times \mathrm{T}_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \cdot 1: \mathrm{T}_{11}}$ <br> (T-PAIR)  <br> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \times \mathrm{T}_{12}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \cdot 2: \mathrm{T}_{12}}$ (T-PROJ1) <br> (T-PROJ2)  <br> CIS 500,16 October  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

## Evaluation rules for tuples

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{v_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\} . j \longrightarrow v_{j} \\
& \frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{t_{1} \cdot i \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime} \cdot i} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\underset{\left\{v_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 . . j-1}, t_{j}, t_{k}{ }_{k} \in{ }^{k \in+1 . . n}\right\}}{ } \\
\longrightarrow\left\{v_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 . . j-1}, t_{j}^{\prime}, t_{k}{ }^{k \in j+1 . . n}\right\}
\end{array} \\
& \text { (E-PROJTUPLE) } \\
& \text { (E-PROJ) } \\
& \text { (E-TUPLE) }
\end{aligned}
$$





## Evaluation rules for records

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{l_{i}=v_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\} . l_{j} \longrightarrow v_{j} \\
& \text { (E-PROJRCD) } \\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{t}_{1} \cdot 1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime} \cdot 1} \\
& \text { (E-PROJ) } \\
& \left.\frac{t_{j} \longrightarrow t_{j}^{\prime}}{\left\{l_{i}=v_{i}\right.}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots j-1}, l_{j}=t_{j}, l_{k}=t_{k}{ }^{k \in j+1 \ldots n}\right\} \\
& \text { (E-RCD) }
\end{aligned}
$$
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