

CIS 500

Software Foundations

Fall 2002

21 October

Administrivia

- ◆ Missing HW5s have been found
- ◆ Notes on exam
 - ◆ Graded exams and answer key available from Christine (in 556)
 - ◆ Rough grade breakdown:
 - 65-80 points: A (32%)
 - 50-64 points: B (35%)
 - 35-49 points: C (19%)
 - ≤ 34 points: D/F (14%)
 - 60+ points is on-target for WPE-I
 - ◆ This exam mostly focused on the more “mechanical” aspects of the material we have seen. Future exams will be more focused on concepts (i.e., there will be more questions like 8, 9, 10, and 12).
- ◆ Grading questions? See your TA.

Sums - example

```
PhysicalAddr = {firstlast:String, addr:String}
```

```
VirtualAddr  = {name:String, email:String}
```

```
Addr         = PhysicalAddr + VirtualAddr
```

```
inl  : “PhysicalAddr → PhysicalAddr+VirtualAddr”
```

```
inr  : “VirtualAddr → PhysicalAddr+VirtualAddr”
```

```
getName = λa:Addr.
```

```
  case a of
```

```
    inl x ⇒ x.firstlast
```

```
  | inr y ⇒ y.name;
```

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$
 $\text{inl } t$
 $\text{inr } t$
 $\text{case } t \text{ of } \text{inl } x \Rightarrow t \mid \text{inr } x \Rightarrow t$

$v ::= \dots$
 $\text{inl } v$
 $\text{inr } v$

$T ::= \dots$
 $T+T$

terms

tagging (left)
tagging (right)
case

values

tagged value (left)
tagged value (right)

types

sum type

New typing rules

 $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 : T_1 + T_2} \quad (\text{T-INL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 : T_1 + T_2} \quad (\text{T-INR})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : T_1 + T_2 \quad \Gamma, x_1 : T_1 \vdash t_1 : T \quad \Gamma, x_2 : T_2 \vdash t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 : T} \quad (\text{T-CASE})$$

New evaluation rules

 $t \longrightarrow t'$

case (inl v_0)
of inl $x_1 \Rightarrow t_1$ | inr $x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$ (E-CASE|NL)
 $\longrightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1$

case (inr v_0)
of inl $x_1 \Rightarrow t_1$ | inr $x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$ (E-CASE|NR)
 $\longrightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2$

$t_0 \longrightarrow t'_0$
----- (E-CASE)
case t_0 of inl $x_1 \Rightarrow t_1$ | inr $x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$
 \longrightarrow case t'_0 of inl $x_1 \Rightarrow t_1$ | inr $x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{inl } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{inl } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-INL})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{inr } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{inr } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-INR})$$

Sums and Uniqueness of Types

Problem:

If t has type T , then $\text{inl } t$ has type $T+U$ for **every** U .

I.e., we've lost uniqueness of types.

Possible solutions:

- ◆ “Infer” U as needed during typechecking
- ◆ Give constructors different names and only allow each name to appear in one sum type (requires generalization to “variants,” which we'll see next) — OCaml's solution
- ◆ Annotate each inl and inr with the intended sum type.

For simplicity, let's choose the third.

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$
 $\text{inl } t \text{ as } T$
 $\text{inr } t \text{ as } T$

$v ::= \dots$
 $\text{inl } v \text{ as } T$
 $\text{inr } v \text{ as } T$

terms

tagging (left)
tagging (right)

values

tagged value (left)
tagged value (right)

New typing rules

 $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_1+T_2 : T_1+T_2} \quad (\text{T-INL})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_1+T_2 : T_1+T_2} \quad (\text{T-INR})$$

Evaluation rules ignore annotations:

$t \longrightarrow t'$

case (inl v_0 as T_0)
of inl $x_1 \Rightarrow t_1$ | inr $x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$ (E-CASE|NL)
 $\longrightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1$

case (inr v_0 as T_0)
of inl $x_1 \Rightarrow t_1$ | inr $x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$ (E-CASE|NR)
 $\longrightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2$

$t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$
----- (E-INL)
inl t_1 as $T_2 \longrightarrow$ inl t'_1 as T_2

$t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$
----- (E-INR)
inr t_1 as $T_2 \longrightarrow$ inr t'_1 as T_2

Variants

Just as we generalized binary products to labeled records, we can generalize binary sums to labeled *variants*.

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$

$\langle l=t \rangle$ as T

case t of $\langle l_i=x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i$ $i \in 1..n$

$T ::= \dots$

$\langle l_i:T_i$ $i \in 1..n \rangle$

terms

tagging

case

types

type of variants

New evaluation rules

 $t \longrightarrow t'$
$$\text{case } (\langle l_j = v_j \rangle \text{ as } T) \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \quad i \in 1..n \quad (\text{E-CASEVARIANT})$$
$$\longrightarrow [x_j \mapsto v_j] t_j$$
$$\frac{t_0 \longrightarrow t'_0}{\text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \quad i \in 1..n \longrightarrow \text{case } t'_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \quad i \in 1..n} \quad (\text{E-CASE})$$
$$\frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i}{\langle l_i = t_i \rangle \text{ as } T \longrightarrow \langle l_i = t'_i \rangle \text{ as } T} \quad (\text{E-VARIANT})$$

New typing rules

 $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_j : T_j}{\Gamma \vdash \langle l_j = t_j \rangle \text{ as } \langle l_i : T_i \text{ }^{i \in 1..n} \rangle : \langle l_i : T_i \text{ }^{i \in 1..n} \rangle} \quad (\text{T-VARIANT})$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \vdash t_0 : \langle l_i : T_i \text{ }^{i \in 1..n} \rangle \\ \text{for each } i \quad \Gamma, x_i : T_i \vdash t_i : T \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \text{ }^{i \in 1..n} : T} \quad (\text{T-CASE})$$

Examples

```
Addr = <physical:PhysicalAddr, virtual:VirtualAddr>;
```

```
a = <physical=pa> as Addr;
```

```
getName =  $\lambda$ a:Addr.
```

```
  case a of
```

```
    <physical=x>  $\Rightarrow$  x.firstlast
```

```
  | <virtual=y>  $\Rightarrow$  y.name;
```


Options

Just like in OCaml...

```
OptionalNat = <none:Unit, some:Nat>;
```

```
Table = Nat → OptionalNat;
```

```
emptyTable = λn:Nat. <none=unit> as OptionalNat;
```

```
extendTable =
```

```
  λt:Table. λm:Nat. λv:Nat.
```

```
    λn:Nat.
```

```
      if equal n m then <some=v> as OptionalNat
```

```
      else t n;
```

```
x = case t(5) of
```

```
  <none=u> ⇒ 999
```

```
  | <some=v> ⇒ v;
```

Enumerations

```
Weekday = <monday:Unit, tuesday:Unit, wednesday:Unit,  
          thursday:Unit, friday:Unit>;
```

```
nextBusinessDay = λw:Weekday.
```

```
  case w of <monday=x>    ⇒ <tuesday=unit> as Weekday  
           | <tuesday=x>  ⇒ <wednesday=unit> as Weekday  
           | <wednesday=x> ⇒ <thursday=unit> as Weekday  
           | <thursday=x> ⇒ <friday=unit> as Weekday  
           | <friday=x>   ⇒ <monday=unit> as Weekday;
```

Terminology: “Union Types”

T_1+T_2 is a **disjoint union** of T_1 and T_2 (the tags `inl` and `inr` ensure disjointness)

We could also consider a non-disjoint union $T_1 \vee T_2$, but its properties are more complex because it induces an interesting **subtype** relation...

General Recursion

- ◆ In λ_{\rightarrow} , all programs terminate. (Cf. Chapter 12.)
- ◆ Hence, untyped terms like `omega` and `fix` are not typable.
- ◆ But we can **extend** the system with a (typed) fixed-point operator...

Example

```
ff = λie:Nat→Bool.
```

```
  λx:Nat.
```

```
    if iszero x then true
```

```
    else if iszero (pred x) then false
```

```
    else ie (pred (pred x));
```

```
iseven = fix ff;
```

```
iseven 7;
```

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$

$\text{fix } t$

terms

fixed point of t

New evaluation rules

$t \longrightarrow t'$

$\text{fix } (\lambda x:T_1. t_2)$
 $\longrightarrow [x \mapsto (\text{fix } (\lambda x:T_1. t_2))]t_2$

(E-FIXBETA)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{fix } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{fix } t'_1}$$

(E-FIX)

New typing rules

 $\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix } t_1 : T_1}$$

(T-FIX)

A more convenient form

`letrec x:T1=t1 in t2` $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ `let x = fix ($\lambda x:T_1.t_1$) in t2`

```
letrec iseven : Nat → Bool =  
   $\lambda x:\text{Nat}.$   
    if iszero x then true  
    else if iszero (pred x) then false  
    else iseven (pred (pred x))  
in  
  iseven 7;
```


Lists

[See book.]

References

Mutability

- ◆ In most programming languages, **variables** are mutable. I.e., a variable provides both
 - ◆ a name that refers to a previously calculated value
 - ◆ the possibility of **overwriting** this value with another (which will be referred to by the same name)
- ◆ In some languages (e.g., OCaml), these two features are kept separate
 - ◆ variables are only for naming — the binding between a variable and its value is immutable
 - ◆ introduce a new class of **mutable cells** or **references**
 - ◆ at any given moment, a reference holds a value (and can be **dereferenced** to obtain this value)
 - ◆ a new value may be **assigned** to a reference

We choose OCaml's style, which is easier to work with formally.

So a variable of type `T` in most languages (except OCaml) will correspond to a `Ref T` (actually, a `Ref(Option T)`) here.

Examples

[...]

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{ref } t_1 : \text{Ref } T_1} \quad (\text{T-REF})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_1}{\Gamma \vdash !t_1 : T_1} \quad (\text{T-DEREF})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 := t_2 : \text{Unit}} \quad (\text{T-ASSIGN})$$