

CIS 500
Software Foundations
Fall 2003

1 October

Types

Formal Methods in Computer Science

lightweight formal methods

Type Systems

big topic in PL very successful in practice very active "enabling technology" for all sorts of other things, e.g. language-based security the "skeleton" around which modern programming languages are often designed

Plan

- ◆ For today, we'll go back to the simple language of arithmetic and boolean expressions and show how to give it a (very simple) type system
- ◆ On October 15th (after the midterm and fall break), we'll develop a simple type system for the lambda-calculus
- ◆ We'll spend a good part of the rest of the semester adding features to this type system

Outline

1. begin with a set of terms, a set of values, and an evaluation relation
2. define a set of **types** classifying values according to their "shapes"
3. define a **typing relation** $t : T$ that classifies terms according to the shape of the values that result from evaluating them
4. check that the typing relation is **sound** in the sense that,
 - (a) if $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow^* v$, then $v : T$
 - (b) if $t : T$, then evaluation of t will not get stuck

(N.b.: we actually state #4a in a slightly more general way...)

Arithmetic Expressions - Syntax

$t ::=$	terms
true	constant true
false	constant false
if t then t else t	conditional
0	constant zero
succ t	successor
pred t	predecessor
iszero t	zero test
$v ::=$	values
true	true value
false	false value
nv	numeric value
$nv ::=$	numeric values
0	zero value
succ nv	successor value

Evaluation Rules

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$ (E-IFTRUE)

if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$ (E-IFFALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow nv_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszero } (\text{succ } nv_1) \rightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

Types

In this language, values have two possible “shapes”: they are either booleans or numbers.

$T ::=$ types
Bool type of booleans
Nat type of numbers

Typing Rules

$$\text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-TRUE})$$

$$\text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-FALSE})$$

Typing Rules

$$\text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-TRUE})$$

$$\text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-FALSE})$$

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

Typing Rules

<code>true : Bool</code>	(T-TRUE)
<code>false : Bool</code>	(T-FALSE)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$	(T-IF)
<code>0 : Nat</code>	(T-ZERO)

Typing Rules

<code>true : Bool</code>	(T-TRUE)
<code>false : Bool</code>	(T-FALSE)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$	(T-IF)
<code>0 : Nat</code>	(T-ZERO)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$	(T-SUCC)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$	(T-PRED)

Typing Rules

<code>true : Bool</code>	(T-TRUE)
<code>false : Bool</code>	(T-FALSE)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$	(T-IF)
<code>0 : Nat</code>	(T-ZERO)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$	(T-SUCC)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$	(T-PRED)
$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}}$	(T-ISZERO)

Imprecision of Typing

Like other static program analyses, type systems are generally **imprecise**: they do not predict exactly what kind of value will be returned by every program, but just a conservative (safe) approximation.

$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$	(T-IF)
--	--------

Using this rule, we cannot assign a type to

`if true then 0 else false`

even though this term will certainly evaluate to a number.

Properties of the Typing Relation

Type Safety

The safety (or soundness) of this type system can be expressed by two properties:

1. **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck
If $t : T$, then either t is a value or else $t \rightarrow t'$ for some t' .
2. **Preservation:** Types are preserved by one-step evaluation
If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Typing Derivations

Every pair (t, T) in the typing relation can be justified by a **derivation tree** built from instances of the inference rules.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \qquad \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \qquad \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \\
 \frac{}{\text{iszero } 0 : \text{Bool}} \text{T-ISZERO} \quad \frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO} \quad \frac{}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-PRED} \\
 \hline
 \text{if iszero } 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else pred } 0 : \text{Nat} \quad \text{T-IF}
 \end{array}$$

Proofs of properties about the typing relation often proceed by induction on typing derivations.

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If $\text{true} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
2. If $\text{false} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
3. If $\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$, then $t_1 : \text{Bool}$, $t_2 : R$, and $t_3 : R$.
4. If $0 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$.
5. If $\text{succ } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
6. If $\text{pred } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
7. If $\text{iszero } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If `true : R`, then `R = Bool`.
2. If `false : R`, then `R = Bool`.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3 : R`, then `t1 : Bool`, `t2 : R`, and `t3 : R`.
4. If `0 : R`, then `R = Nat`.
5. If `succ t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
6. If `pred t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
7. If `iszero t1 : R`, then `R = Bool` and `t1 : Nat`.

Proof: ...

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If `true : R`, then `R = Bool`.
2. If `false : R`, then `R = Bool`.
3. If `if t1 then t2 else t3 : R`, then `t1 : Bool`, `t2 : R`, and `t3 : R`.
4. If `0 : R`, then `R = Nat`.
5. If `succ t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
6. If `pred t1 : R`, then `R = Nat` and `t1 : Nat`.
7. If `iszero t1 : R`, then `R = Bool` and `t1 : Nat`.

Proof: ...

This leads directly to a recursive algorithm for calculating the type of a term...

Typechecking Algorithm

```
typeof(t) = if t = true then Bool
           else if t = false then Bool
           else if t = if t1 then t2 else t3 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             let T2 = typeof(t2) in
             let T3 = typeof(t3) in
             if T1 = Bool and T2=T3 then T2
             else "not typable"
           else if t = 0 then Nat
           else if t = succ t1 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
           else if t = pred t1 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
           else if t = iszero t1 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             if T1 = Nat then Bool else "not typable"
```

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If `v` is a value of type `Bool`, then `v` is either `true` or `false`.
2. If `v` is a value of type `Nat`, then `v` is a numeric value

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value

Proof: ...

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof:

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$
 $t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$
 $t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

By the induction hypothesis, either t_1 is a value or else there is some t'_1 such that $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$. If t_1 is a value, then the canonical forms lemma tells us that it must be either `true` or `false`, in which case either E-IFTRUE or E-IFFALSE applies to t . On the other hand, if $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$, then, by E-IF, $t \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: ...