CIS 500 — Software Foundations

Midterm I Answer key October 8, 2003

Inductive Definitions

Review: Recall that the function *size*, which calculates the total number of nodes in the abstract syntax tree of a term in the language of arithmetic and boolean expressions, can be written either in standard recursive function notation

$$size(true) = 1$$

$$size(false) = 1$$

$$size(0) = 1$$

$$size(succt_1) = size(t_1) + 1$$

$$size(predt_1) = size(t_1) + 1$$

$$size(iszerot_1) = size(t_1) + 1$$

$$size(ift_1 thent_2 elset_3) = size(t_1) + size(t_2) + size(t_3) + 1$$

or, equivalently, as the least relation closed under the following inference rules:

 $(\texttt{true}, 1) \in size$

 $(false, 1) \in size$

 $(0, 1) \in size$

 $\begin{array}{c} (\texttt{t}_1, \texttt{n}) \in \textit{size} \\ \hline ((\texttt{succ t}_1), (\texttt{n} + 1)) \in \textit{size} \\ \hline (\texttt{t}_1, \texttt{n}) \in \textit{size} \\ \hline ((\texttt{pred t}_1), (\texttt{n} + 1))) \in \textit{size} \\ \hline (\texttt{t}_1, \texttt{n}) \in \textit{size} \end{array}$

$$((\texttt{iszerot}_1), (n+1)) \in size$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\texttt{t}_1,\,\texttt{n}_1) \in \textit{size} & (\texttt{t}_2,\,\texttt{n}_2) \in \textit{size} & (\texttt{t}_3,\,\texttt{n}_3) \in \textit{size} \\ \hline ((\texttt{if}\,\texttt{t}_1\,\texttt{then}\,\texttt{t}_2\,\texttt{else}\,\texttt{t}_3),\,(\texttt{n}_1+\texttt{n}_2+\texttt{n}_3+1)) \in \textit{size} \end{array}$

1. (7 points) Suppose that we define another relation, *weird*, as the least relation closed under the following rules:

$$(true, 1) \in weird$$
 (W1)

 $(0,1) \in weird \tag{W2}$

$$(0, 8) \in weird \tag{W3}$$

$$\frac{(t_1, n) \in weird}{((\texttt{succ } t_1), (n+1)) \in weird}$$
(W4)

$$\frac{(t_1, n) \in weird}{((\texttt{succ } (\texttt{succ } t_1)), (n+2)) \in weird}$$
(W5)

$$\frac{((\texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}t_1)), \texttt{n}) \in weird}{((\texttt{pred}t_1), \texttt{n}) \in weird}$$
(W6)

$$\frac{(\texttt{iszero}(\texttt{iszero}t_1)), \texttt{n}) \in weird}{((\texttt{iszero}t_1), (\texttt{n}+1)) \in weird}$$
(W7)

$$\frac{(t_1, n_1) \in weird \quad (t_2, n_2) \in weird \quad (t_3, n_3) \in weird}{((if t_1 then t_2 else t_3), (n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 1)) \in weird}$$
(W8)

Which of the following pairs are related by weird? Write Yes (if related) or No (if not) next to each pair.

(a) (true, 1) Answer: Yes
(b) ((if true then 0 else 0), 18) Answer: Yes
(c) ((if true then 0 else 0), 11) Answer: Yes
(d) ((pred 0), 3) Answer: Yes
(e) ((succ (succ true)), 3) Answer: Yes
(f) ((iszero 0), 3) Answer: No
(g) ((pred false), 3) Answer: No

Grading scheme: One point for each item.

2. (5 points) Here are the same rules again:

$$(true, 1) \in weird$$
 (W1)

$$(0, 1) \in weird \tag{W2}$$

$$(0, 8) \in weird$$
 (W3)

$$\frac{(t_1, n) \in weird}{((\texttt{succ } t_1), (n+1)) \in weird}$$
(W4)

$$\frac{(t_1, n) \in weird}{((\texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}t_1)), (n+2)) \in weird}$$
(W5)

$$\frac{((\texttt{succ}(\texttt{succ}t_1)), \texttt{n}) \in weird}{((\texttt{pred}t_1), \texttt{n}) \in weird}$$
(W6)

$$\frac{(\text{iszero}(\text{iszero}t_1)), n) \in weird}{((\text{iszero}t_1), (n+1)) \in weird}$$
(W7)

$$\frac{(t_1, n_1) \in weird \quad (t_2, n_2) \in weird \quad (t_3, n_3) \in weird}{((\text{if} t_1 \text{ then} t_2 \text{ else} t_3), (n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + 1)) \in weird}$$
(W8)

Which of these rules can be *dropped* without changing the relation that they define? (I.e., what is the smallest subset of the above rules such that the least relation closed under this subset is the same as the least relation closed under all the rules?)

Write the name(s) of the unnecessary rule(s) here:

Answer: W5 and W7

gradingschemeTwo points off for each incorrect rule; two points off for each missing rule.

Typed Arithmetic Expressions

The full definition of the language of typed arithmetic and boolean expressions is reproduced, for your reference, at the end of the exam. Some properties enjoyed by this language are listed at the bottom of this page.

3. (5 points) Suppose we add a new rule

if true then t_2 else $t_3 \longrightarrow t_3$ (E-FUNNY1)

to the ones given at the end of the exam. Do these properties of the original system continue to hold in the presence of this rule?

For each property that *becomes false* when the proposed rule is added to the system, state the name of the property and give a brief counter-example demonstrating that it does not hold in the presence of the new rule.

Answer:

Determinism: if true then 0 else succ(0) can now evaluate in one step to either 0 or succ 0.

Uniqueness: Same counter-example.

Grading scheme:

- One point off for an "almost correct" but slightly confused counter-example.
- Two points off for completely mangled or incomprehensible counter-example.
- Two points off for missing a property that becomes false.
- Two points off for each property that is incorrectly identified as becoming false.
- -3 for correct answer but no counter-examples
- No credit for no answer.

Properties:

Determinism (of one-step evaluation): if $t \rightarrow t'$ and $t \rightarrow t''$, then t' = t''.

Uniqueness (of normal forms): If $t \longrightarrow^* u$ and $t \longrightarrow^* u'$, where u and u' are both normal forms, then u = u'.

Termination (of evaluation): For every term t there is some normal form t' such that $t \longrightarrow^* t'$.

Progress: If t : T, then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

4. (5 points) Suppose instead that we add this rule:

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t'_2 \text{ else } t_3}$$
(E-FUNNY2)

Answer in the same format as problem 3: For each property that becomes false when the proposed rule is added, write its name and give a brief counter-example. The properties are listed again at the bottom of this page for easy reference.

(N.b.: In this problem, we are considering the effect of adding the rule E-FUNNY2 to the original language of typed arithmetic expressions, *not* including the rule E-FUNNY1 proposed in problem 3.)

Answer:

Determinism: if false then (pred 0) else (succ 0) can now evaluate in one step to either succ 0 or if false then 0 else (succ 0). (There were several other correct sorts of counter-examples for this one.)

Grading scheme: -3 for not identifying determinism as becoming false; -2 for not providing a counter-example (or for a mangled counter-example); -1 for a somewhat-right counter-example. -2 each for incorrectly identifying other properties as becoming false.

Properties:

Determinism (of one-step evaluation): if $t \rightarrow t'$ and $t \rightarrow t''$, then t' = t''.

Uniqueness (of normal forms): If $t \longrightarrow^* u$ and $t \longrightarrow^* u'$, where u and u' are both normal forms, then u = u'.

Termination (of evaluation): For every term t there is some normal form t' such that $t \longrightarrow^* t'$.

Progress: If t : T, then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

5. (5 points) Suppose instead that we add this rule to the original language of typed arithmetic expressions:

pred false \longrightarrow pred (pred false) (E-FUNNY3)

Do the properties of the original system continue to hold in the presence of this rule? Answer in the same format as the previous two problems.

Answer:

Termination: pred false diverges.

Grading scheme: -2 for each property wrong (to a maximum of five); -2 for giving the correct property that changed but not providing a counter-example.

Properties:

Determinism (of one-step evaluation): if $t \rightarrow t'$ and $t \rightarrow t''$, then t' = t''.

Uniqueness (of normal forms): If $t \rightarrow^* u$ and $t \rightarrow^* u'$, where u and u' are both normal forms, then u = u'.

Termination (of evaluation): For every term t there is some normal form t' such that $t \longrightarrow^* t'$.

Progress: If t : T, then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

6. (5 points) Suppose instead that we add this rule to the original languge of typed arithmetic expressions:

0:Bool (T-FUNNY4)

Do the properties of the original system continue to hold in the presence of this rule? Answer in the same format as the previous three problems.

Answer:

Progress: if 0 then true else true has type Bool, is a normal form, and is not a value.

Grading scheme: -3 for saying Preservation fails and Progress is preserved; -2 for for claiming other properies become false; -4 for claiming that no properties become false.

Properties:

Determinism (of one-step evaluation): if $t \rightarrow t'$ and $t \rightarrow t''$, then t' = t''.

Uniqueness (of normal forms): If $t \longrightarrow^* u$ and $t \longrightarrow^* u'$, where u and u' are both normal forms, then u = u'.

Termination (of evaluation): For every term t there is some normal form t' such that $t \longrightarrow^* t'$.

Progress: If t : T, then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

7. (5 points) Suppose instead that we add this rule to the original languge of typed arithmetic expressions:

pred 0:Bool (T-FUNNY5)

Do the properties of the original system continue to hold in the presence of this rule? Answer in the same format as the previous three problems.

Answer:

Preservation: pred 0 has type Bool and evaluates in one step to 0, which does not have type Bool.

Properties:

Determinism (of one-step evaluation): if $t \rightarrow t'$ and $t \rightarrow t''$, then t' = t''.

Uniqueness (of normal forms): If $t \longrightarrow^* u$ and $t \longrightarrow^* u'$, where u and u' are both normal forms, then u = u'.

Termination (of evaluation): For every term t there is some normal form t' such that $t \longrightarrow^* t'$.

Progress: If t : T, then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Untyped lambda-calculus

- 8. (12 points) Write down the normal forms of the following λ-terms, or "none" if a term has no normal form:
 - (λs. λz. s (s z)) (λx. x)
 Answer: λz. (λx. x) ((λx. x) z)
 - (b) (λx. x) (λx. x) (λx. x) (λx. xx) Answer: λx. xx
 - (c) $(\lambda t. \lambda f. t) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f) (\lambda t. \lambda f. t) (\lambda t. \lambda f. f)$ Answer: $\lambda f. f$
 - (d) (λx. x x) (λx. x x) (λx. x) Answer: None
 - (e) λx. (λx. xx) (λx. xx)
 Answer: λx. (λx. xx) (λx. xx)
 - (f) $(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y)) (\lambda x. f (\lambda y. x x y)))$ ($\lambda s. \lambda z. z$) Answer: $\lambda z. z$

Grading scheme: Binary. 2 points each.

9. (6 points) Here are the definitions of the Church numerals and the basic operations over them from Chapter 5 of TAPL:

```
c_0 = \lambda s. \lambda z. z;
c_1 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s z;
c_2 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s (s z);
c_3 = \lambda s. \lambda z. s (s (s z));
scc = \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n \ s \ z);
plus = \lambda m. \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. m s (n s z);
iszro = \lambda m. m (\lambda x. fls) tru;
tru = \lambda t. \lambda f. t
fls = \lambda t. \lambda f. f
and = \lambda b. \lambda c. b c fls;
not = \lambda b. b fls tru
pair = \lambda f.\lambda s.\lambda b. b f s;
fst = \lambda p. p tru;
snd = \lambda p. p fls;
zz = pair c_0 c_0;
ss = \lambda p. pair (snd p) (plus c<sub>1</sub> (snd p));
prd = \lambda m. fst (m ss zz);
```

Use these combinators to fill in the blank in the following definition to yield a function less that, when applied to two church numerals, c_m and c_n , returns tru if m < n and otherwise returns fls. For example, less $c_2 c_4$ should evaluate to tru, while less $c_3 c_1$ and less $c_3 c_3$ should both evaluate to fls.

Your answer should use only the combinators defined above (plus applications and variables). Do not write any explicit λ -abstractions.

Answer:

less = λm . λn . (not (iszro (m prd n)))

Grading scheme: One point off for missing parenthesis or wrong order of evaluation; One point off for swapping m and n; Two points off for neglecting the case of m = n; Three points off for violating the rules (No lambda abstractions).

10. (6 points) Recall the Church encoding of lists from the solution to homework 4.

Fill in the blanks in the following definition to yield a lambda term map that takes a term 1 representing a list and a function f, applies f to each element of 1, and yields a list of the results (just like the List.map function in OCaml). For example,

map scc (cons c_2 (cons c_0 (cons c_1 nil)))

should be equivalent to

 $(\operatorname{cons} c_3 (\operatorname{cons} c_1 (\operatorname{cons} c_2 \operatorname{nil}))).$

Your answer should consist entirely of variables and applications—no lambda-abstractions and no uses of any of the combinators defined above.

Answer:

map = λ l. λ f. λ c. λ n. l (λ h. λ t. c (f h) t) n

Grading scheme: One point off for missing parenthesis or wrong order of evaluation; One point off for each slight mistake if the answer is almost right; Partial credits are generously given if the answer is structurally similar to the correct answer.

Nameless representation of terms

11. (2 points) Suppose we have defined the naming context $\Gamma = a, b, c, d$. Then one possible "named representation" of the deBruijn term λ . 10 (λ . 1) would be λx . d x (λy . x).

Write down a possible named representation for each of the following deBruijn terms.

- (a) λ. λ. 1 3 0 Answer: λx. λy. x c y
 (b) λ. 3 (λ. 2 1 1) 1
 - Answer: $\lambda x. b(\lambda y. dxx) d$

Grading scheme: Binary

12. (3 points) Write down (in deBruijn notation) the normal form of the following deBruijn term.

 $(\lambda. \lambda. 1 (\lambda. 1)) (\lambda. 0)$

Answer: λ . (λ . 0) (λ . 1) Grading scheme: Binary

Behavioral Equivalence

13. (14 points) Recall the definitions of observational and behavioral equivalence from the lecture notes:

- Two terms s and t are *observationally equivalent* iff either both are normalizable (i.e., they reach a normal form after a finite number of evaluation steps) or both are divergent.
- Terms s and t are *behaviorally equivalent* iff, for every finite sequence of values v_1 , v_2 , ..., v_n , the applications

and

 $t v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$

 $s v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$

are observationally equivalent.

Recall, also, the following definitions of lambda-terms from the text:

```
\begin{array}{l} c_0 = \lambda s. \ \lambda z. \ z; \\ c_1 = \lambda s. \ \lambda z. \ s \ z; \\ c_2 = \lambda s. \ \lambda z. \ s \ (s \ z); \\ c_3 = \lambda s. \ \lambda z. \ s \ (s \ (s \ z)); \\ plus = \lambda m. \ \lambda n. \ \lambda s. \ \lambda z. \ m \ s \ (n \ s \ z); \\ fix = \lambda f. \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (\lambda y. \ x \ x \ y)) \ (\lambda x. \ f \ (\lambda y. \ x \ x \ y)); \end{array}
```

For each of the following pairs of terms, write *Yes* if the terms are behaviorally equivalent and *no* if they are not.

(a) tru $\lambda x. \lambda y. (\lambda z. z) x$ Answer: Yes (b) λx. λy. xy $\lambda x. x$ Answer: Yes (c) plus $c_2 c_1$ C3 Answer: Yes (d) $\lambda x. \lambda y. x y$ $\lambda x. \lambda y. x (\lambda z. z) y$ Answer: No (e) $(\lambda x. xx) (\lambda x. xx)$ $(\lambda x. x x x) (\lambda x. x x x)$ Answer: Yes (f) $(\lambda x. xx) (\lambda x. xx)$ $\lambda x. (\lambda x. xx) (\lambda x. xx)$ Answer: No (g) $\lambda f. (\lambda x. f(xx)) (\lambda x. f(xx))$ fix Answer: No

Grading scheme: Binary; each item worth two points.

Syntax		
t ::=	true false iftthentelset 0 succt predt iszerot	<i>terms</i> <i>constant true</i> <i>constant false</i> <i>conditional</i> <i>constant zero</i> <i>successor</i> <i>predecessor</i> <i>zero test</i>
v ::=	true false nv	values true value false value numeric value
nv ::=	0 succ nv	numeric values zero value successor value
т ::=	Bool Nat	types type of booleans type of numbers

For reference: Boolean and arithmetic expressions

Evaluation

(E-IFTRUE)	if true then t_2 else $t_3 \longrightarrow t_2$
(E-IFFALSE)	if false then t_2 else $t_3 \longrightarrow t_3$
(E-IF)	$\begin{array}{c} t_1 \longrightarrow t_1' \\ \\ \hline \texttt{ift}_1 \texttt{ then } \texttt{t}_2 \texttt{ else } \texttt{t}_3 \longrightarrow \texttt{ift}_1' \texttt{ then } \texttt{t}_2 \texttt{ else } \texttt{t}_3 \end{array}$
(E-Succ)	$\frac{\mathtt{t}_1 \longrightarrow \mathtt{t}_1'}{\texttt{succ } \mathtt{t}_1 \longrightarrow \texttt{succ } \mathtt{t}_1'}$
(E-PredZero)	pred 0 \longrightarrow 0
(E-PredSucc)	pred (succ nv_1) \longrightarrow nv_1
(E-Pred)	$\frac{\mathtt{t}_1 \longrightarrow \mathtt{t}_1'}{\mathtt{pred}\mathtt{t}_1 \longrightarrow \mathtt{pred}\mathtt{t}_1'}$
(E-IszeroZero)	iszero 0 \longrightarrow true
(E-IszeroSucc)	iszero (succ nv_1) \longrightarrow false
(E-IsZero)	$\frac{\texttt{t}_1 \longrightarrow \texttt{t}_1'}{\texttt{iszerot}_1 \longrightarrow \texttt{iszerot}_1'}$
continued on next page	

Typing

(T-True)	true : Bool
(T-False)	false : Bool
(T-IF)	$\frac{t_1:Bool t_2:T t_3:T}{\text{if }t_1 \text{ then }t_2 \text{ else }t_3:T}$
(T-Zero)	0 : Nat
(T-Succ)	t_1 : Nat succt ₁ : Nat
(T-Pred)	t_1 : Nat predt ₁ : Nat
(T-IsZero)	t_1 : Nat iszerot ₁ : Bool