CIS 500 — Software Foundations

Midterm II Answer key November 12, 2003

Simply typed lambda-calculus

The following questions refer to the simply typed lambda-calculus with booleans and exceptions. The syntax, typing, and evaluation rules for this system are given on page \clubsuit ?? of the companion handout.

1. (4 points) In this question, you can use B as an abbreviation for the type Bool while drawing typing derivation trees. For example, you can draw the derivation tree

$$\frac{1}{1 + \lambda x:Bool \vdash true : Bool} T-TRUE}{T-ABS}$$

as:

$$\frac{1}{x:B \vdash true : B} T-ABS$$

$$\vdash \lambda x:B. true : B \rightarrow B$$

Draw the typing derivation tree for the following lambda-term:

 $\lambda x: Bool \rightarrow Bool. \lambda y: Bool. x y$

Answer:

$$\frac{x:B \rightarrow B \in x:B \rightarrow B, y:B}{x:B \rightarrow B, y:B \vdash x:B \rightarrow B} T-VAR \qquad \frac{y:B \in x:B \rightarrow B, y:B}{x:B \rightarrow B, y:B \vdash y:B} T-VAR \\
\frac{x:B \rightarrow B, y:B \vdash xy:B}{x:B \rightarrow B, y:B \vdash xy:B} T-APP \\
\frac{x:B \rightarrow B \vdash \lambda y:B, xy:B \rightarrow B}{\vdash \lambda x:B \rightarrow B, \lambda y:B, xy:(B \rightarrow B) \rightarrow B \rightarrow B} T-ABS$$

Grading scheme: Three points off for deriving the wrong type, one point off for each tiny error.

2. (5 points) Consider the following terms:

- (a) What type must we put on the binder of z (in place of ____), in order for the whole term to be well typed? *Answer: Bool→Bool*
- (b) What is the type of t? Answer: $Bool \rightarrow (Bool \rightarrow Bool)$
- (c) What does t evaluate to? *Answer: itself*
- (d) What does a evaluate to? Answer: (λy :Bool. true)
- (e) What does b evaluate to? Answer: error

Grading scheme: Binary

References

The following questions refer to the simply typed lambda-calculus with references (and Unit, Nat, Bool, and let). The syntax, typing, and evaluation rules for this system are given on page **A**?? of the companion handout.

3. (9 points) Evaluating the expression

```
let x = ref (\lambdan:Nat. 0) in
let y = ref (\lambdan:Nat. (!x) n) in
let z = ref (\lambdan:Nat. (!y) n) in
(!z) 3
```

beginning in an empty store yields:

Result: 0Store: $l_1 \mapsto \lambda n: Nat. 0$ $l_2 \mapsto \lambda n: Nat. (!l_1) n$ $l_3 \mapsto \lambda n: Nat. (!l_2) n$

Fill in the resulting values and final stores (when started with an empty store) for the following terms:

```
(a)
       let x = ref 0 in
       let y = ref 1 in
       let f = \lambda z:Ref Nat. z := succ(!z) in
        (f y); (!x)
    Answer:
     Result: 0
                               Store: l_1 \mapsto 0
                                       l_2 \mapsto 2
(b)
     let x = ref 5 in
      let y = x in
      let z = ref (\lambdaa:Nat. y := a; pred (!x)) in
      (!z) (!y)
    Answer:
     Result: 4
                               Store: l_1 \mapsto 5
                                        l_2 \mapsto \lambda a: Nat. (l_1 := a; pred(!l_1))
     let f = ref (\lambdan:Nat. ref 999) in
(c)
      f := \lambda n:Nat. if iszero(n) then ref 0
                                        else ref ( !((!f) (pred n)) );
      (!f) 3
    Answer:
     Result: 1<sub>5</sub>
                                 Store: l_1 \mapsto \lambda n: Nat. if iszero(n) then (ref 0)
                                                  else ref(!((!l<sub>1</sub>)(pred n)))
                                         l_2 \mapsto 0
                                         l_3 \mapsto 0
                                         l_4 \mapsto 0
                                         1_5 \mapsto 0
```

Grading scheme: One point for the result, two points for the store.

4. (10 points)

Recall the following notations from the book:

 $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu \text{ iff } dom(\Sigma) = dom(\mu) \text{ and } \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu(l) : \Sigma(l) \text{ for every } l \in \mu.$ $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma' \text{ iff } dom(\Sigma) \subseteq dom(\Sigma') \text{ and we have } \Sigma(l) = \Sigma'(l) \text{ for every } l \in dom(\Sigma).$

State the preservation theorem for the simply typed lambda-calculus with references.

Answer: If

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T \\ & \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu \\ & t \mid \mu \longrightarrow t' \mid \mu' \\ & then, for some \Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma, \\ & \Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash t' : T \end{split}$$

 $\Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash t' : T$ $\Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash \mu'.$

Grading scheme:

- Minus two points if $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$ is missing.
- *Minus for points if heap well-formedness* ($\Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash \mu$) *is ignored or the heap is not part of the evaluation relation.*
- Minus two points if they make up notation and do not define it.
- Minus one point if they misstate the type of quantification or place the quantifiers in the wrong place.
- Minus four points if they are missing the well-formedness of the term.
- Minus one points for smaller notational mistakes (forgetting to put "If" and "then" or missing contexts)
- Minus for points if there is no mention of the evaluation relation.

5. (3 points) Suppose we delete the rule T-REF from the definition of the typing relation. How should the statement of the preservation theorem be changed so that it is true for the modified system?

Answer: No change is needed—the theorem as stated is also true for the new system.

However, if we like, we can make the theorem a bit more precise by replacing μ' with μ , since in the new system there is no way to extend the store by allocating new references.

Grading scheme: Three points for correct answers, one point for "almost correct" answers.

6. (21 points) There are seven mistakes in the following proof of the progress theorem for the simplytyped lambda calculus with references. Circle each mistake and write an appropriate correction beside it. Several cases (T-Var, T-Abs, T-App, etc.) are not shown and do not need correction. The (correct) inversion and canonical forms lemmas are repeated on the next page, for reference.

THEOREM [PROGRESS]: Suppose t is a closed, well-typed term — that is, $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$ for some T and Σ . Then either t is a value or else, for any store μ such that $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$, there are some term t' and store μ' with t $\mid \mu \longrightarrow t' \mid \mu'$. PROOF: By induction on the structure of the term t [should be: the derivation of $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$]:

Case T-UNIT: t = unit T = Unit

Immediate: unit is a value.

Case T-LOC: t = l $\underline{T} = \Sigma(l)$ [should be: $T = \text{Ref } \Sigma(l)$] Can't happen because t is closed. [should be: Immediate: l is a value.]

Case T-REF: $t = reft_1$ $T = RefT_1$ $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : T_1$

By the induction hypothesis, there are two cases to consider:

- (a) t_1 is a value, v_1 . Then we are done, since ref v_1 is a value. [should be: Then rule E-REFV yields ref $v_1 | \mu \longrightarrow l | (\mu, l \mapsto v_1)$, for some $l \notin dom(\mu)$]
- (b) $t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$ [should be: $t_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t'_1 \mid \mu'$]: The result then follows by E-REF.

Case T-DEREF: $t = !t_1 \quad \emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1$: Ref T

By the induction hypothesis, there are two cases to consider:

- (a) t_1 is a value, v_1 : By the <u>inversion</u> [should be: canonical forms] lemma, v_1 must be a location 1. But then, by the <u>canonical forms</u> [should be: inversion] lemma, $l \in dom(\Sigma)$, and, since $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$, we have $l \in dom(\mu)$. The result now follows, since t can make a step by rule E-DEREFLOC.
- (b) $t_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t'_1 \mid \mu'$: The result follows by rule <u>E-ASSIGN</u> [should be: E-DEREF].

Grading scheme: There are actually eight mistakes in the proof–we missed one of them when making the question! As a result, we add three bonus points to this question: you only need to identify any seven of the eight mistakes to have the full score (21 points) for the question.

-3 for missing a mistake; -2 for a wrong reason; and -2 for mis-identifying a correct part as a mistake.

Students should pay attention to details such as when to use IH, what it means by "can t happen," what are the given conditions (e.g. $t_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t'_1 \mid \mu'$), and what are the implicit equalities ($\Gamma = \emptyset$). In particular, E-DEREFLOC is applicable only if $\mu(1) = v$, which is true because $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$.

(Note: there are no mistakes to find in the following lemmas — they are given here for reference only.)

LEMMA [CANONICAL FORMS]

- (a) If v is a value of type Bool, then v is either true or false.
- (b) If v is a value of type Nat, then v is a numeric value.
- (c) If v is a value of type Ref T_1 , then v is a location l.
- (d) If v is a value of type $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$, then v has the form $\lambda x : T_1 . t_2$.

LEMMA [INVERSION]

- (a) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash x : R$, then $x : R \in \Gamma$.
- (b) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \lambda x : T_1 . t_2 : R$, then $R = T_1 \rightarrow R_2$ for some R_2 with $\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : R_2$.
- (c) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 t_2 : R$, then there is some type T_{11} such that $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow R$ and $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$.
- (d) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \text{reft}_1 : R$, then there is some type T_1 such that $R = \text{Ref} T_1$ and $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : T_1$.
- (e) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash !t_1 : R$, then $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : Ref R$.
- (f) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 := t_2 : R$, then R = Unit and there is some type T_{11} such that $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : Ref T_{11}$ and $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}$.
- (g) If $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash l$: R, then there is some type T_1 such that $\Sigma(l) = T_1$ and $R = \text{Ref } T_1$.
- (h) and similar cases for numbers, booleans, let, unit, ...

Subtyping

The following questions refer to the simply typed lambda-calculus with subtyping, records, and variants. The syntax, typing, and evaluation rules for this system are given on page \clubsuit ? of the companion handout.

7. (12 points) For each of the following pairs of types, write "less" if the type on the left is a subtype of that on the right, "greater" greater if the type on the left is a supertype of the type on the right, "equivalent" if each type is a subtype of the other, or "incomparable" if neither is a subtype of the other.

a)	$(\{ \} \rightarrow \{ \}) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ Answer: greater	$ extsf{Top} o extsf{Top}$
b)	$(\texttt{Top} \rightarrow \texttt{Top}) \rightarrow \{\} \rightarrow \{\}$ Answer: less	$(\operatorname{\mathtt{Top}}\to\{\})\to\operatorname{\mathtt{Top}}$
c)	{a:Top, b:{d:Top}, c:Top} Answer: equivalent	{b:{d:Top}, a:Top, c:Top}
d)	$\{g:Top, f:Top\} \rightarrow \{f:Top, g:Top\}$ Answer: incomparable	$\{\texttt{g:Top}\} \rightarrow \{\texttt{f:Top}\}$
e)	<l:top, m:{n:top}=""> \rightarrow {q:Top, p:Top} Answer: less</l:top,>	$\verb+m: \{n: \texttt{Top}, o: \texttt{Top}\} \verb+ \rightarrow \{p: \texttt{Top}\}$
f)	$<> \rightarrow$ Top Answer: incomparable	$\{ \} \rightarrow \texttt{Top}$

Grading scheme: 2 points each. Half credit given for "adjacent" answers (e.g., "equivalent" or "incomparable" instead of "less," etc.)

8. (16 points) Recall the clause of the canonical forms lemma for function types in the simply typed lambda-calculus with subtyping:

If v is a closed value of type $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$, then v has the form $\lambda x : S_1 . t_2$.

Give a detailed proof of the above statement. You may make use of the following property of the subtype relation:

LEMMA [SUBTYPING INVERSION]: If $S \iff T_1 \rightarrow T_2$, then S has the form $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$, with $T_1 \iff S_1$ and $S_2 \iff T_2$.

Answer: By induction a derivation of $\vdash v : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$.

By inspection of the typing rules, the final rule in a derivation of $\vdash v : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ must be either T-ABS or T-SUB. If it is T-ABS, then the desired result is immediate from the premise of the rule.

Suppose, then, that the last rule is T-SUB. From the premises of T-SUB, we have $\vdash v : S$ and $S \leq T_1 \rightarrow T_2$. From the subtyping inversion lemma, we know that S has the form $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$. The result now follows from the induction hypothesis.

Grading scheme:

- No points for completely garbled or incomprehensible answers
- -2 for omitting "by induction on typing derivations" (or something similar)
- -10 for omitting the T-Sub case completely
- -6 for using the induction hypothesis and the subtyping inversion lemma in the wrong order in the T-Sub case
- -6 for including cases for subtyping rules in a proof by induction on the typing relation
- -1 for wrongly implying, in the T-Sub case, that the domain type annotation S_1 is the same as the S_1 appearing in the arrow type in the rule's premise
- -2 for correct proofs with not enough detail provided
- -1 to -4 for various infelicities and confusions
- several people misread the problem and gave proofs of the subtyping inversion lemma (!); these proofs were graded on their own merits, with a maximum score of 6 points.

Companion handout

Full definitions of the systems used in the exam

Syntax	r	
t ::=	=	terms
	error	run-time error
	true	constant true
	false	constant false
	if t then t else t	conditional
	Х	variable
	λx:T.t	abstraction
	tt	application
v ::=	=	values
	true	true value
	false	false value
	λx:T.t	abstraction value
т ::=	=	types
	$T \rightarrow T$	type of functions
	Bool	type of booleans
Г ::=	=	contexts
	Ø	empty context
	Г, х:т	term variable binding
Evalua	ition	$t \longrightarrow t'$
	if true then t_2 else $t_3 \longrightarrow t_3$	E ₂ (E-IFTRUE)
	if false then t_2 else t_3 \longrightarrow	t ₃ (E-IFFALSE)
	$t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1$	
	$\overline{ift_1 thent_2 elset_3} \longrightarrow ift_1' thent_2$	$t_2 \text{ else } t_3$ (E-IF)

 $\text{if error then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow \text{error}$

 $\texttt{error} \ \texttt{t}_2 \longrightarrow \texttt{error}$ $v_1 \operatorname{error} \longrightarrow \operatorname{error}$

 $\frac{\texttt{t}_1 \longrightarrow \texttt{t}_1'}{\texttt{t}_1 \texttt{t}_2 \longrightarrow \texttt{t}_1' \texttt{t}_2}$

 $\frac{\texttt{t}_2 \longrightarrow \texttt{t}_2'}{\texttt{v}_1 \, \texttt{t}_2 \longrightarrow \texttt{v}_1 \, \texttt{t}_2'}$

 $(\lambda x: T_{11}, t_{12}) v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2]t_{12}$

(E-IFERR)

(E-APP1)

(E-APP2)

(E-APPABS)

(E-APPERR1)

(E-APPERR2)

Simply typed lambda calculus with exceptions (and Bool)

Typing

(T-Error)

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}\in\Gamma}{\Gamma\vdash\mathbf{x}:\mathbf{T}}\tag{T-VAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{T}_1 \vdash \mathbf{t}_2 : \mathbf{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{t}_2 : \mathbf{T}_1 \to \mathbf{T}_2}$$
(T-ABS)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_{12}}$$
(T-APP)

$$\Gamma \vdash false: Bool$$
 (T-FALSE)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$$
(T-IF)

Simply typed lambda calculus with references (and Unit, Nat, Bool, and let)

Syntax	
t ::=	terms
х	variable
let x=t in t	let binding
unit	constant unit
λx:T.t	abstraction
tt	application
reft	reference creation
!t	dereference
t:=t	assignment
l	store location
true	constant true
false	constant false
ift then telse t	conditional
0	constant zero
succt	successor
pred t	nredecessor
jszero t	zero test
	2010 1001
v ::=	values
unit	constant unit
λx:T.t	abstraction value
l	store location
true	true value
false	false value
nv	numeric value
т ::=	tupes
Unit	unit type
 Ψ→Ψ	type of functions
RefT	type of functions type of reference cells
Bool	type of hooleans
Nat	tune of natural numbers
Nuc	type of natural numbers
μ ::=	stores
Ø	empty store
μ , $l = v$	location binding
Г ::=	contexts
Ø	empty context
Г, х:т	term variable binding
Σ ::=	store tunings
Ø	empty store tuning
Σ.Ι:Τ	location tuning
,	Sector Spring

nv ::= 0 succ nv

Evaluation

numeric values zero value successor value

 $\texttt{t}\mid \mu \longrightarrow \texttt{t}'\mid \mu'$ let $x=v_1$ in $t_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_1]t_2 \mid \mu$ (E-LETV) $\frac{ \texttt{t}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \texttt{t}_1' \mid \mu'}{\texttt{let } \texttt{x=t}_1 \texttt{ in } \texttt{t}_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \texttt{let } \texttt{x=t}_1' \texttt{ in } \texttt{t}_2 \mid \mu'}$ (E-LET) $\frac{\mathsf{t}_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}{\mathsf{t}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ (E-APP1) $\frac{\mathsf{t}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}{\mathsf{v}_1 \; \mathsf{t}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{v}_1 \; \mathsf{t}'_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ (E-APP2) $(\lambda x:T_{11},t_{12}) v_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2]t_{12} \mid \mu$ (E-APPABS) $\frac{l \notin \mathit{dom}(\mu)}{\operatorname{ref} v_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow l \mid (\mu, l \mapsto v_1)}$ (E-REFV) $\frac{\mathtt{t}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \mathtt{t}'_1 \mid \mu'}{\mathtt{reft}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \mathtt{reft}'_1 \mid \mu'}$ (E-REF) $\frac{\mu(l) = \mathbf{v}}{|l| \mu \longrightarrow \mathbf{v} | \mu}$ (E-DEREFLOC) $\frac{\mathtt{t}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \mathtt{t}'_1 \mid \mu'}{!\mathtt{t}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow !\mathtt{t}'_1 \mid \mu'}$ (E-DEREF) $l:=v_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow unit \mid [l \mapsto v_2]\mu$ (E-ASSIGN) $\frac{\mathsf{t}_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}{\mathsf{t}_1 := \mathsf{t}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_1 := \mathsf{t}_2 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ (E-ASSIGN1) $\frac{t_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t'_2 \mid \mu'}{v_1 := t_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow v_1 := t'_2 \mid \mu'}$ (E-Assign2) if true then t_2 else $t_3 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t_2 \mid \mu$ (E-IFTRUE) if false then t_2 else $t_3 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t_3 \mid \mu$ (E-IFFALSE) $\frac{ t_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t_1' \mid \mu'}{ \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \text{if } t_1' \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \mid \mu'}$ (E-IF) $\frac{\mathsf{t}_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}_1' \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}{\operatorname{succ} \mathsf{t}_1 \mid \boldsymbol{\mu} \longrightarrow \operatorname{succ} \mathsf{t}_1' \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ (E-SUCC) pred $0 \mid \mu \longrightarrow 0 \mid \mu$ (E-PREDZERO) pred (succ nv_1) | $\mu \rightarrow nv_1$ | μ (E-PREDSUCC) $\frac{\mathsf{t}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_1 \mid \mu'}{\mathsf{pred}\,\mathsf{t}_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \mathsf{pred}\,\mathsf{t}'_1 \mid \mu}$ (E-PRED)

iszero 0 $\mid \mu \longrightarrow$ true $\mid \mu$	(E-IszeroZero)
iszero (succ $nv_1) \mu\longrightarrow \texttt{false} \mu$	(E-IszeroSucc)
$\frac{ t_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow t_1' \mid \mu' }{\texttt{iszero} t_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \texttt{iszero} t_1' \mid \mu' }$	(E-IsZero)
	$\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t$: T
$\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash$ unit : Unit	(T-UNIT)
$\frac{\mathbf{x}: \mathtt{T} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \mid \mathtt{\Sigma} \vdash \mathbf{x} : \mathtt{T}}$	(T-VAR)
$\frac{\Gamma, \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{T}_1 \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathbf{t}_2 : \mathbf{T}_2}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \lambda \mathbf{x}: \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{t}_2 : \mathbf{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_2}$	(T-Abs)
$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : \mathtt{T}_{11} \rightarrow \mathtt{T}_{12} \qquad \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_2 : \mathtt{T}_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 t_2 : \mathtt{T}_{12}}$	(T-App)
$\frac{\Sigma(l) = \mathtt{T}_1}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash l : \mathtt{Ref } \mathtt{T}_1}$	(T-Loc)
$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash reft_1 : RefT_1}$	(T-Ref)
$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash !t_1 : T_{11}}$	(T-Deref)
$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_{11} \qquad \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_2 : T_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 := t_2 : \text{Unit}}$	(T-Assign)
Γ⊢true∶Bool	(T-True)
Γ⊢false∶Bool	(T-False)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$	(T-IF)
$\Gamma \vdash 0$: Nat	(T-Zero)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{succ} t_1 : \text{Nat}}$	(T-Succ)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$	(T-Pred)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : Nat}{\Gamma \vdash iszerot_1 : Bool}$	(T-IsZero)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \qquad \Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2}$	(T-Let)

Typing

Simply typed lambda calculus with subtyping (and records and variants)

Syntax

t ::= x $\lambda x:T.t$ tt $\{l_i=t_i^{i\in In}\}$ $t.1$ $$ $case t of < l_i=x_i > \Rightarrow t_i^{i\in In}$	terms variable abstraction application record projection tagging case
v ::=	values
$\lambda x:T.t$	abstraction value
$\{l_i=v_i^{i\in In}\}$	record value
<l=v></l=v>	tagging
T ::= $ \{l_i:T_i^{i\in In}\} $ Top $ T \rightarrow T $ $ $	types type of records maximum type type of functions type of variants
Γ ::=	contexts
Ø	empty context
Γ, x:T	term variable binding

Evaluation

 $t \longrightarrow t^\prime$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 t_2 \longrightarrow t'_1 t_2}$$

$$\frac{t_2 \longrightarrow t'_2}{v_1 t_2 \longrightarrow v_1 t'_2}$$
(E-APP1)
(E-APP2)

$$(\lambda \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{T}_{11} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{12}) \mathbf{v}_2 \longrightarrow [\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{v}_2] \mathbf{t}_{12}$$
(E-APPABS)

$$\{1_{i}=v_{i} \stackrel{i\in I..n}{\longrightarrow} \} . 1_{j} \longrightarrow v_{j}$$
 (E-PROJRCD)

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{t_1 . 1 \longrightarrow t'_1 . 1}$$
(E-PROJ)

$$\frac{\mathsf{t}_{j} \longrightarrow \mathsf{t}'_{j}}{\{\mathsf{l}_{i} = \mathsf{v}_{i}^{i \in \mathbb{I} \dots j - \mathbb{I}}, \mathsf{l}_{j} = \mathsf{t}_{j}, \mathsf{l}_{k} = \mathsf{t}_{k}^{k \in j + \mathbb{I} \dots n}\}} \longrightarrow \{\mathsf{l}_{i} = \mathsf{v}_{i}^{i \in \mathbb{I} \dots j - \mathbb{I}}, \mathsf{l}_{j} = \mathsf{t}'_{j}, \mathsf{l}_{k} = \mathsf{t}_{k}^{k \in j + \mathbb{I} \dots n}\}}$$
(E-RCD)

$$\texttt{case} < \texttt{l}_j = \texttt{v}_j > \texttt{of} < \texttt{l}_i = \texttt{x}_i > \Rightarrow \texttt{t}_i \xrightarrow{i \in I \dots n} \longrightarrow [\texttt{x}_j \mapsto \texttt{v}_j]\texttt{t}_j \tag{E-CASEVARIANT}$$

$$\frac{t_0 \longrightarrow t'_0}{\text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \Rightarrow t_i \xrightarrow{i \in I..n} \longrightarrow \text{case } t'_0 \text{ of } \Rightarrow t_i \xrightarrow{i \in I..n}}$$
(E-CASE)

$$\frac{\mathtt{t}_{i} \longrightarrow \mathtt{t}'_{i}}{<\mathtt{l}_{i}=\mathtt{t}_{i}> \longrightarrow <\mathtt{l}_{i}=\mathtt{t}'_{i}>} \tag{E-VARIANT}$$

Subtyping

Typing