

Sums – motivating example

```
PhysicalAddr = {firstlast:String, addr:String}
VirtualAddr  = {name:String, email:String}
Addr         = PhysicalAddr + VirtualAddr
inl  : "PhysicalAddr → PhysicalAddr+VirtualAddr"
inr  : "VirtualAddr → PhysicalAddr+VirtualAddr"
```

getName = λa:Addr.
case a of
inl x ⇒ x.firstlast
| inr y ⇒ y.name;

New syntactic forms

```
t ::= ...
    inl t
    inr t
    case t of inl x ⇒ t | inr x ⇒ t

v ::= ...
    inl v
    inr v

T ::= ...
    T+T
```

terms
tagging (left)
tagging (right)
case
values
tagged value (left)
tagged value (right)
types
sum type

CIS 500
Software Foundations
Fall 2004
20/27 October

Sums

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(E-INL)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1 \quad \text{inl } t_1 \rightarrow \text{inl } t'_1}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1} \\
 \text{(E-INR)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1 \quad \text{inr } t_1 \rightarrow \text{inr } t'_1}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}
 \end{array}$$

New typing rules

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$$

(T-INL)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 : T_1 + T_2}$$

(T-INR)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 : T_1 + T_2}$$

(T-CASE)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_0 : T_1 + T_2 \quad \Gamma, x_1 : T_1 \vdash t_1 : T \quad \Gamma, x_2 : T_2 \vdash t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 : T}$$

Sums and Uniqueness of Types

Problem:

If t has type T , then $\text{inl } t$ has type $T+U$ for every U .

I.e., we've lost uniqueness of types.

Possible solutions:

♦ “Infer” U as needed during typechecking

♦ Give constructors different names and only allow each name to appear in one sum type (requires generalization to “variants,” which we'll see next)

— OCaml's solution

♦ Annotate each inl and inr with the intended sum type.

For simplicity, let's choose the third.

New evaluation rules

$$\boxed{t \rightarrow t'}$$

(E-CASEINL)

$$\text{case (inl } v_0) \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 \longrightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1$$

(E-CASEINR)

$$\text{case (inr } v_0) \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2 \longrightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2$$

(E-CASE)

$$\frac{\text{case } t_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{t_0 \rightarrow t'_0} \longrightarrow \text{case } t'_0 \text{ of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2$$

Evaluation rules ignore annotations:

$$\boxed{t \rightarrow t'}$$

$$\text{(E-CASEINL)} \quad \frac{\text{case (inl } v_0 \text{ as } T_0) \rightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1 \quad \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{\text{case (inl } v_0 \text{ as } T_0) \rightarrow [x_1 \mapsto v_0]t_1}$$

$$\text{(E-CASEINR)} \quad \frac{\text{case (inr } v_0 \text{ as } T_0) \rightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2 \quad \text{of inl } x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \mid \text{inr } x_2 \Rightarrow t_2}{\text{case (inr } v_0 \text{ as } T_0) \rightarrow [x_2 \mapsto v_0]t_2}$$

$$\text{(E-INL)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1 \quad \text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_2 \rightarrow \text{inl } t'_1 \text{ as } T_2}{\text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_2 \rightarrow \text{inl } t'_1 \text{ as } T_2}$$

$$\text{(E-INR)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1 \quad \text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_2 \rightarrow \text{inr } t'_1 \text{ as } T_2}{\text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_2 \rightarrow \text{inr } t'_1 \text{ as } T_2}$$

Just as we generalized binary products to labeled records, we can generalize binary sums to labeled **variants**.

Variants

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$
 $\text{inl } t \text{ as } T$
 $\text{inr } t \text{ as } T$

values

$\text{tagged value (left)}$
 $\text{tagged value (right)}$

terms

tagging (left)
 tagging (right)

New typing rules

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$$

$$\text{(T-INL)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_1 + T_2 : T_1 + T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inl } t_1 \text{ as } T_1 + T_2 : T_1 + T_2}$$

$$\text{(T-INR)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_1 + T_2 : T_1 + T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{inr } t_1 \text{ as } T_1 + T_2 : T_1 + T_2}$$

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

New typing rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(T-VARIANT)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_j : T_j \quad \Gamma \vdash \langle l_j = t_j \rangle \text{ as } \langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in I \dots n} : \langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in I \dots n}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \text{ }_{i \in I \dots n} : T} \\
 \text{(T-CASE)} \quad \frac{\text{for each } i \quad \Gamma, x_i : T_i \vdash t_i : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_0 : \langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in I \dots n}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \text{ }_{i \in I \dots n} : T}
 \end{array}$$

```

Addr = <physical:PhysicalAddr, virtual:VirtualAddr>;
a = <physical=pa> as Addr;
getName =  $\lambda$ a:Addr.
  case a of
    <physical=x>  $\Rightarrow$  x.firstName
    | <virtual=y>  $\Rightarrow$  y.name;

```

Example

New syntactic forms
 $t ::= \dots$
 $\langle l = t \rangle \text{ as } T$
 tagging
 case
 types
 $\langle l_i : T_i \rangle_{i \in I \dots n}$
 type of variants

New evaluation rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{(E-CASE)} \quad \frac{t_0 \longrightarrow t'_0 \quad \text{case } t_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \text{ }_{i \in I \dots n} \longrightarrow \text{case } t'_0 \text{ of } \langle l_i = x_i \rangle \Rightarrow t_i \text{ }_{i \in I \dots n}}{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i} \\
 \text{(E-VARIANT)} \quad \frac{t_i \longrightarrow t'_i \quad \langle l_i = t_i \rangle \text{ as } T \longrightarrow \langle l_i = t'_i \rangle \text{ as } T}{t \longrightarrow t'}
 \end{array}$$

Terminology: “Union Types”

$T_1 + T_2$ is a **disjoint union** of T_1 and T_2 (the tags **inl** and **inr** ensure disjointness)

(We could also consider a **non-disjoint** union $T_1 \vee T_2$, but its properties are substantially more complex, because it induces an interesting **subtype** relation. We'll come back to subtyping later.)

Options

Just like in OCaml...

```
OptionalNat = <none:Unit, some:Nat>;

Table = Nat → OptionalNat;

emptyTable =  $\lambda n:\text{Nat}.$  <none=unit> as OptionalNat;
extendTable =
   $\lambda t:\text{Table}.$   $\lambda m:\text{Nat}.$   $\lambda v:\text{Nat}.$ 
    if equal n m then <some=v> as OptionalNat
    else t n;
x = case t(5) of
  <none=u>  $\Rightarrow$  999
  | <some=v>  $\Rightarrow$  v;
```

Recursion

Enumerations

```
Weekday = <monday:Unit, tuesday:Unit, wednesday:Unit,
thursday:Unit, friday:Unit>;

nextBusinessDay =  $\lambda w:\text{Weekday}.$ 
  case w of <monday=x>  $\Rightarrow$  <tuesday=unit> as Weekday
  | <tuesday=x>  $\Rightarrow$  <>wednesday=unit> as Weekday
  | <>wednesday=x>  $\Rightarrow$  <thursday=unit> as Weekday
  | <thursday=x>  $\Rightarrow$  <friday=unit> as Weekday
  | <friday=x>  $\Rightarrow$  <monday=unit> as Weekday;
```

New syntactic forms
 $t ::= \dots$
 $\text{fix } t$

terms
fixed point of t

$$t \rightarrow t'$$

$$\text{fix } (\lambda x:T_1. t_2) \rightarrow [x \mapsto (\text{fix } (\lambda x:T_1. t_2))]t_2$$

(E-FIXBETA)

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{fix } t_1 \rightarrow \text{fix } t'_1}$$

(E-FIX)

New typing rules

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } t_1 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t : T}$$

(T-FIX)

- ◆ In $\lambda \rightarrow$, all programs terminate. (Cf. Chapter 12.)
- ◆ Hence, untyped terms like ω and fix are not typable.
- ◆ But we can **extend** the system with a (typed) fixed-point operator...

Recursion in $\lambda \rightarrow$

```
ff = λie:Nat.λx:Nat.
  if iszero x then true
  else if iszero (pred x) then false
  else ie (pred x);
iseven = fix ff;
iseven 7;
```

Example

$t ::= \dots$ terms
 $nil[T]$ empty list
 $cons[T] \ t \ t$ list constructor
test for empty list
head of a list
 $head[T] \ t$
tail of a list
 $tail[T] \ t$

$v ::= \dots$ values
 $nil[T]$ empty list
 $cons[T] \ v \ v$ list constructor

$T ::= \dots$ types
 $list \ T$ type of lists

Lists — syntax

```
letrec iseven : Nat → Bool =  
  λx:Nat.  
    if iszero x then true  
    else if iszero (pred x) then false  
    else iseven (pred x)  
in  
  iseven 7;
```

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{letrec } x:T_1=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 = \text{fix } x = \text{fix } (\lambda x:T_1.t_1) \text{ in } t_2$

A more convenient form

$t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$ (E-CONS1)
 $\frac{cons[T] \ t_1 \ t_2 \rightarrow cons[T] \ t'_1 \ t_2}{t_2 \rightarrow t'_2}$ (E-CONS2)
 $isnil[S] \ (nil[T]) \rightarrow true$ (E-ISNILNIL)
 $isnil[S] \ (cons[T] \ v_1 \ v_2) \rightarrow false$ (E-ISNILCONS)
 $\frac{isnil[T] \ t_1 \rightarrow isnil[T] \ t'_1}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}$ (E-ISNIL)

Lists — evaluation

Lists

Note that evaluation rules do not look at type annotations!

$$\text{(E-HEADCONS)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{head}[S] \text{ (cons}[T] \ v_1 \ v_2) \rightarrow v_1}$$

$$\text{(E-HEAD)} \quad \frac{\text{head}[T] \ t_1 \rightarrow \text{head}[T] \ t'_1}{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}$$

$$\text{(E-TAILCONS)} \quad \text{tail}[S] \text{ (cons}[T] \ v_1 \ v_2) \rightarrow v_2$$

$$\text{(E-TAIL)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{tail}[T] \ t_1 \rightarrow \text{tail}[T] \ t'_1}$$

Lists — typing

$$\text{(T-NIL)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{nil}[T_1] : \text{List } T_1$$

$$\text{(T-CONS)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \text{List } T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{cons}[T_1] \ t_1 \ t_2 : \text{List } T_1}$$

$$\text{(T-ISNIL)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{List } T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{isnil}[T_1] \ t_1 : \text{Bool}}$$

$$\text{(T-HEAD)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{List } T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{head}[T_1] \ t_1 : T_1}$$

$$\text{(T-TAIL)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{List } T_1}{\Gamma \vdash \text{tail}[T_1] \ t_1 : \text{List } T_1}$$