

CIS 500

Software Foundations

Fall 2005

19 October, 2005

Midterm Exam

Midterm Exam

- ◆ Exam solutions on web page.
- ◆ Look at your exam in Cheryl Hickey's office.
- ◆ Submit regrade request (in writing) before October 26.
- ◆ You can pick up your exam from Cheryl after October 26.

Types

Type Systems

- ◆ currently, active and successful topic in PL research
- ◆ “light-weight” formal methods
- ◆ “enabling technology” for all sorts of other things, e.g. language-based security
- ◆ the “skeleton” around which modern programming languages are often designed

Approaches to Typing

◆ A **strongly typed** language prevents programs from accessing private data, corrupting memory, crashing the machine, etc.

◆ A **weakly typed** language does not.

◆ A **statically typed** language performs type-consistency checks at when programs are first entered.

◆ A **dynamically typed** language delays these checks until programs are executed.

Weak		Strong	
Dynamic	Lisp, Scheme, Perl, Python, Smalltalk	Static	C, C++ ML, ADA, Java*

*Strictly speaking, Java should be called “mostly static”

Plan

- ◆ For today, we'll go back to the simple language of arithmetic and boolean expressions and show how to give it a (very simple) type system
- ◆ Next week, we'll develop a simple type system for the lambda-calculus, following TAPL Ch.9.
- ◆ We'll spend a good part of the rest of the semester adding features to this type system

Outline

1. begin with a set of terms, a set of values, and an evaluation relation
2. define a set of **types** classifying values according to their “shapes”
3. define a **typing relation** $t : T$ that classifies terms according to the shape of the values that result from evaluating them
4. check that the typing relation is **sound** in the sense that, if $t : T$, then evaluation of t will not get stuck

Arithmetic Expressions – Syntax

<i>terms</i>	<code>t ::= true false if t then t else t 0 succ t pred t iszero t</code>
<i>values</i>	<code>v ::= true false nv</code>
<i>numeric values</i>	<code>nv ::= 0 succ nv</code>
<i>successor value</i>	
<i>zero value</i>	
<i>constant true</i>	
<i>constant false</i>	
<i>conditional</i>	
<i>constant zero</i>	
<i>successor</i>	
<i>predecessor</i>	
<i>zero test</i>	

Evaluation Rules

(E-IFTRUE) if true then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_2$

(E-IFFALSE) if false then t_2 else $t_3 \rightarrow t_3$

(E-IF)
$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3}$$

$$(E\text{-Succ}) \quad \frac{t_1 \leftarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \rightarrow \text{succ } t'_1}$$

$$(E\text{-PredZero}) \quad \text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0$$

$$(E\text{-PredSucc}) \quad \text{pred (succ } nV_1) \rightarrow nV_1$$

$$(E\text{-Pred}) \quad \frac{t_1 \leftarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \rightarrow \text{pred } t'_1}$$

$$(E\text{-IsZeroZero}) \quad \text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true}$$

$$(E\text{-IsZeroSucc}) \quad \text{iszero (succ } nV_1) \rightarrow \text{false}$$

$$(E\text{-IsZero}) \quad \frac{t_1 \leftarrow t'_1}{\text{iszero } t_1 \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'_1}$$

Types

In this language, values have two possible “shapes”: they are either booleans or numbers.

T ::= =

Bool

Nat

types

type of booleans

type of numbers

Typing Rules

true : Bool

(T-TRUE)

false : Bool

(T-FALSE)

Typing Rules

true : Bool

(T-TRUE)

false : Bool

(T-FALSE)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$$

(T-IF)

Typing Rules

0 : Nat

(T-ZERO)

Typing Rules

$0 : \text{Nat}$

(T-ZERO)

$t_1 : \text{Nat}$

$\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}$

(T-Succ)

Typing Rules

(T-ZERO)

$$0 : \text{Nat}$$

(T-Succ)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$

(T-PRED)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$

Typing Rules

(T-ZERO)

$$0 : \text{Nat}$$

(T-SUCC)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$

(T-PRED)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}}$$

(T-ISZERO)

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}}$$

Typing Derivations

Every pair (t, Γ) in the typing relation can be justified by a **derivation tree** built from instances of the inference rules.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-PRED} \quad \frac{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-IF}}{\text{if iszero 0 then 0 else pred 0 : Nat}} \text{T-IF}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-PRED} \quad \frac{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-IF}}{\text{iszero 0 : Bool}} \text{T-ISZERO}}{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-ZERO}}{\frac{}{0 : \text{Nat}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-ZERO}} \text{T-ZERO}$$

Proofs of properties about the typing relation often proceed by induction on typing derivations.

Imprecision of Typing

Like other static program analyses, type systems are generally **imprecise**: they do not predict exactly what kind of value will be returned by every program, but just a conservative (safe) approximation.

$$\frac{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}$$

(T-IF)

Using this rule, we cannot assign a type to

```
if true then 0 else false
```

even though this term will certainly evaluate to a number.

Properties of the Typing Relation

Type Safety

Type Safety Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow^* t'$ and $t' \not\rightarrow$ then t' is a value.
We usually prove type safety by showing the following two properties:

1. **Progress:** A well-typed term is not stuck
If $t : T$, then either t is a value or else $t \rightarrow t'$ for some t' .
2. **Preservation:** Types are preserved by one-step evaluation
If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If $\text{true} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
2. If $\text{false} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
3. If $\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$, then $t_1 : \text{Bool}$, $t_2 : R$, and $t_3 : R$.
4. If $0 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$.
5. If $\text{succ } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
6. If $\text{pred } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
7. If $\text{iszero } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If $\text{true} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
2. If $\text{false} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
3. If $\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$, then $t_1 : \text{Bool}$, $t_2 : R$, and $t_3 : R$.
4. If $0 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$.
5. If $\text{succ } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
6. If $\text{pred } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
7. If $\text{iszero } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.

Proof: ...

Inversion

Lemma:

1. If $\text{true} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
2. If $\text{false} : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$.
3. If $\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : R$, then $t_1 : \text{Bool}$, $t_2 : R$, and $t_3 : R$.
4. If $0 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$.
5. If $\text{succ } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
6. If $\text{pred } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Nat}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.
7. If $\text{iszero } t_1 : R$, then $R = \text{Bool}$ and $t_1 : \text{Nat}$.

Proof: ...

This leads directly to a recursive algorithm for calculating the type of a term...

Typechecking Algorithm

```
typeof(t) = if t = true then Bool
           else if t = false then Bool
           else if t = if t1 then t2 else t3 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             let T2 = typeof(t2) in
             let T3 = typeof(t3) in
             if T1 = Bool and T2=T3 then T2
             else "not typable"
           else if t = 0 then Nat
           else if t = succ t1 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             if T1 = Nat then Nat else "not typable"
           else if t = iszero t1 then
             let T1 = typeof(t1) in
             if T1 = Nat then Bool else "not typable"
```

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value

Canonical Forms

Lemma:

1. If v is a value of type `Bool`, then v is either `true` or `false`.
2. If v is a value of type `Nat`, then v is a numeric value

Proof: ...

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

Proof:

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \longrightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$

$t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a well-typed term (that is, $t : T$ for some T). Then either t is a value or else there is some t' with $t \rightarrow t'$.

Proof: By induction on a derivation of $t : T$.

The T-TRUE, T-FALSE, and T-ZERO cases are immediate, since t in these cases is a value.

Case T-IF: $t = \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$

$t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T$

By the induction hypothesis, either t_1 is a value or else there is some t'_1 such that $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$. If t_1 is a value, then the canonical forms lemma tells us that it must be either **true** or **false**, in which case either E-IFTRUE or E-IFFALSE applies to t . On the other hand, if $t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$, then, by E-IF,

$t \rightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3$.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Preservation

Theorem: If $t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $t' : T$.

Proof: ...