

Last time we talked about proving type soundness for the simply-typed lambda calculus with booleans. This involved showing:

- ◆ Canonical Forms
- ◆ Inversion Lemmas
- ◆ Progress Theorem
- ◆ Preservation Theorem

Last time

Theorem: If $\vdash t : T$ and $t \rightarrow t'$, then $\vdash t' : T$.

We got to the point where we needed a **substitution lemma** to show the preservation theorem:

If $x : S \vdash t : T$ and $\vdash s : S$, then $\vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$.

Preservation

CIS 500
 Software Foundations
 Fall 2005
 26 October, 2005

Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

Lemmas about the context

Lemma [Permutation]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and Δ is a permutation of Γ then $\Delta \vdash t : T$.

Lemma [Weakening]: If $\Gamma \vdash t : T$ and $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$ then $\Gamma, x : S \vdash t : T$.

Typing rules

(T-TRUE) $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}$

(T-FALSE) $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}$

(T-IF) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_3 : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T}$

(T-VAR) $\frac{x : T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T}$

(T-ABS) $\frac{\Gamma, x : T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : T_1. t_2 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2}$

(T-APP) $\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_2}$

Important points about type soundness for STLC

- ◆ Typing rules need a context to deal with variables.
- ◆ Progress lemma must have an empty context to be true.
- ◆ Preservation lemma need not (see TAPL).
- ◆ Application case of preservation lemma needs substitution lemma.
- ◆ Substitution lemma must be strengthened to non-empty contexts.
- ◆ Substitution lemma cannot be proved by induction on typing derivation.

Strengthened induction hypothesis

Lemma: Types are preserved under substitution.

If $\Gamma, x : S \vdash t : T$ and $\Gamma \vdash s : S$, then $\Gamma \vdash [x \mapsto s]t : T$.

Sequencing

$t ::= \dots$ $t_1; t_2$

terms

Sequencing

$t ::= \dots$ $t_1; t_2$

terms

$$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1; t_2 \rightarrow t'_1; t_2}$$

(E-SEQ)

$$unit; t_2 \rightarrow t_2$$

(E-SEQNEXT)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Unit} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1; t_2 : T_2}$$

(T-SEQ)

On to real programming languages...

The Unit type

$t ::= \dots$	$t ::= \dots$	$t ::= \dots$
<i>terms</i>	<i>unit</i>	<i>unit</i>
	$v ::= \dots$	$v ::= \dots$
	<i>values</i>	<i>values</i>
	$T ::= \dots$	$T ::= \dots$
	<i>types</i>	<i>types</i>
	<i>unit type</i>	<i>unit type</i>

New typing rules

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

$\Gamma \vdash unit : Unit$
 (T-UNIT)

[board]

Equivalence of the two definitions

Let-bindings

New syntactic forms

$t ::= \dots$

$\text{let } x=t \text{ in } t$

New evaluation rules

$\text{let } x=v_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow [x \mapsto v_1]t_2$

$t_1 \rightarrow t'_1$

$\frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\text{let } x=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 \rightarrow \text{let } x=t'_1 \text{ in } t_2}$

New typing rules

$\Gamma, x:T_1 \vdash t_2 : T_2$

$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x=t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1}$

(T-LET)

$\Gamma \vdash t : T$

(E-LET)

(E-LETV)

$t \rightarrow t'$

terms
let binding

Derived forms

One way to extend a programming language is through **derived forms**.

A derived form is a new syntax, operational semantics $t \rightarrow_e t'$ and type

system $\Gamma \vdash_e t : T$ for a language such that there is a function e that translates

the new language into the old language with the following properties:

◆ $t \rightarrow_e t' \text{ iff } e(t) \rightarrow e(t')$.

◆ $\Gamma \vdash_e t : T \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash e(t) : T$.

Derived forms are also called **syntactic sugar**.

Sequencing as a derived form

$t_1; t_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lambda x:\text{Unit}.t_2) t_1$

where $x \notin FV(t_2)$

Typing rules for pairs

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{(T-PAIR)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_1, t_2\} : T_1 \times T_2} \\
 \text{(T-PROJ1)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \times T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.1 : T_1} \\
 \text{(T-PROJ2)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \times T_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1.2 : T_2}
 \end{array}$$

$t ::= \dots$
 $\{t, t\}$
pair
first projection
 $t.1$
second projection
 $t.2$

values
 $v ::= \dots$
 $\{v, v\}$
pair value

types
 $T ::= \dots$
 $T_1 \times T_2$
product type

Pairs

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{(E-PAIRBETA1)} \quad \{v_1, v_2\}.1 \rightarrow v_1 \\
 \text{(E-PAIRBETA2)} \quad \{v_1, v_2\}.2 \rightarrow v_2 \\
 \text{(E-PROJ1)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1.1 \rightarrow t'_1.1} \\
 \text{(E-PROJ2)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{t_1.2 \rightarrow t'_1.2} \\
 \text{(E-PAIR1)} \quad \frac{t_1 \rightarrow t'_1}{\{t_1, t_2\} \rightarrow \{t'_1, t_2\}} \\
 \text{(E-PAIR2)} \quad \frac{t_2 \rightarrow t'_2}{\{v_1, t_2\} \rightarrow \{v_1, t'_2\}}
 \end{array}$$

Evaluation rules for pairs

$t ::= \dots$
 $\{t_1 \mid t_1 \dots t_n\}$
tuple
projection
 $t.i$

$v ::= \dots$
 $\{v_1 \mid v_1 \dots v_n\}$
tuple value
values

$T ::= \dots$
 $\{T_1 \mid T_1 \dots T_n\}$
tuple type
types

Tuples

$t ::= \dots$ terms
 $\{l_i = t_i \mid i \in 1..n\}$ record
 $t.l$ projection
 $v ::= \dots$ values
 $\{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..n\}$ record value
 $T ::= \dots$ types
 $\{l_i : T_i \mid i \in 1..n\}$ type of records

Records

$\{v_i \mid i \in 1..l, t_j, t_k \mid k \in l+1..n\} \rightarrow \{v_i \mid i \in 1..l, t_j, t_k \mid k \in l+1..n\}$
 (E-TUPLE)
 $t_j \rightarrow t'_j$
 $t_l.l \rightarrow t'_l.l$
 (E-PROJ)
 $t_l \rightarrow t'_l$
 $\{v_i \mid i \in 1..n\}.j \rightarrow v_j$
 (E-PROJTUPLE)

Evaluation rules for tuples

$\{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..l, l_j = t_j, l_k = t_k \mid k \in l+1..n\} \rightarrow \{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..l, l_j = t'_j, l_k = t_k \mid k \in l+1..n\}$
 (E-RCD)
 $t_j \rightarrow t'_j$
 $t_l \rightarrow t'_l$
 $t_l.l \rightarrow t'_l.l$
 (E-PROJ)
 $\{l_i = v_i \mid i \in 1..n\}.l_j \rightarrow v_j$
 (E-PROJRCD)

Evaluation rules for records

for each $i \quad \Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i$
 $\Gamma \vdash \{t_i \mid i \in 1..n\} : \{T_i \mid i \in 1..n\}$
 (T-TUPLE)
 $\Gamma \vdash t_1.j : T_j$
 (T-PROJ)

Typing rules for tuples

An **introduction form** for a given type gives us a way of **constructing** elements of this type.
 An **elimination form** for a type gives us a way of **using** elements of this type.
 What typing rules are introduction forms? What are elimination forms?

Intro vs. elim forms

(T-RCD)
$$\frac{\text{for each } i \quad \Gamma \vdash t_i : T_i}{\Gamma \vdash \{t_1=t_1, t_2=t_2, \dots, t_n=t_n\} : \{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n\}}$$

(T-Prod)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1, t_2 : T_1, T_2}{\Gamma \vdash (t_1, t_2) : T_1 \times T_2}$$

Typing rules for records

$$\begin{aligned} \text{erase}(x) &= x \\ \text{erase}(\lambda x:T_1. t_2) &= \lambda x. \text{erase}(t_2) \\ \text{erase}(t_1 \ t_2) &= \text{erase}(t_1) \ \text{erase}(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

Erase

Discussion

Typability

An untyped λ -term m is said to be **typable** if there is some term t in the simply typed lambda-calculus, some type \mathbb{T} , and some context Γ such that $erase(t) = m$ and $\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{T}$.

Cf. **type reconstruction** in OCaml.