## CIS 500 Software Foundations Fall 2006

October 25

# A Little More on References

## Recap

Last time, we discussed how to formalize languages with mutable state...

## Syntax

We added to  $\lambda_{\rightarrow}$  (with Unit) syntactic forms for creating, dereferencing, and assigning reference cells, plus a new type constructor Ref.

```
terms
                                         unit constant
unit
                                         variable
X
\lambda x:T.t.
                                         abstraction
                                         application
t t
                                         reference creation
ref t
                                         dereference
1±
                                         assignment
t := t
                                         store location
```

#### **Evaluation**

Evaluation becomes a four-place relation: t  $\mid \mu \longrightarrow$  t'  $\mid \mu'$ 

$$\frac{l \notin dom(\mu)}{\text{ref } v_1 \mid \mu \longrightarrow l \mid (\mu, l \mapsto v_1)} \qquad \text{(E-RefV)}$$

$$\frac{\mu(l) = v}{! \mid l \mid \mu \longrightarrow v \mid \mu} \qquad \text{(E-DerefLoc)}$$

$$l := v_2 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \text{unit} \mid [l \mapsto v_2] \mu \qquad \text{(E-Assign)}$$

(Plus several congruence rules.)

## **Typing**

Typing becomes a three-place relation:  $\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$ 

$$rac{\Sigma(I) = T_1}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash I : Ref \ T_1}$$
 (T-Loc)

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \text{ref } t_1 : \text{Ref } T_1}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \text{ref } t_1 : \text{Ref } T_1}$$
 (T-Ref)

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash t_1 : \text{Ref } T_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash !t_1 : T_{11}}$$
 (T-Deref)

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : \mathsf{Ref} \ T_{11} }{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathsf{t}_1 : = \mathsf{t}_2 : \mathsf{Unit}} \qquad \text{(T-Assign)}$$

### Preservation

#### Theorem: If

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T} \\ \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu \\ \mathbf{t} \mid \mu \longrightarrow \mathbf{t}' \mid \mu' \end{array}$$
 then, for some  $\Sigma' \supseteq \Sigma$ , 
$$\Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash \mathbf{t}' : \mathbf{T} \\ \Gamma \mid \Sigma' \vdash \mu'. \end{array}$$

## Progress

Theorem: Suppose t is a closed, well-typed term (that is,  $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash t : T$  for some T and  $\Sigma$ ). Then either t is a value or else, for any store  $\mu$  such that  $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$ , there is some term t' and store  $\mu'$  with  $t \mid \mu \longrightarrow t' \mid \mu'$ .

#### Nontermination via references

There are well-typed terms in this system that are not strongly normalizing. For example:

```
t1 = \lambdar:Ref (Unit\rightarrowUnit).

(r := (\lambdax:Unit. (!r)x);

(!r) unit);

t2 = ref (\lambdax:Unit. x);
```

Applying t1 to t2 yields a (well-typed) divergent term.

#### Recursion via references

Indeed, we can define arbitrary recursive functions using references.

1. Allocate a ref cell and initialize it with a dummy function of the appropriate type:

```
fact_{ref} = ref (\lambda n:Nat.0)
```

2. Define the body of the function we are interested in, using the contents of the reference cell for making recursive calls:

```
fact_{body} = \lambda n: Nat.
if iszero n then 1 else times n ((!fact_{ref})(pred n))
```

3. "Backpatch" by storing the real body into the reference cell:

```
fact_{ref} := fact_{body}
```

4. Extract the contents of the reference cell and use it as desired:

```
fact = !fact<sub>ref</sub>
fact 5
```

Exceptions

#### Motivation

Most programming languages provide some mechanism for interrupting the normal flow of control in a program to signal some exceptional condition.

Note that it is always *possible* to program without exceptions — instead of raising an exception, we return None; instead of returning result x normally, we return Some(x). But now we need to wrap every function application in a case to find out whether it returned a result or an exception.

It is much more convenient to build this mechanism into the language.

#### Varieties of non-local control

There are many ways of adding "non-local control flow"

- ▶ exit(1)
- ► goto
- ► setjmp/longjmp
- raise/try (or catch/throw) in many variations
- callcc / continuations
- more esoteric variants (cf. many Scheme papers)

Let's begin with the simplest of these.

## An "abort" primitive in $\lambda_{\rightarrow}$

First step: raising exceptions (but not catching them).

**Evaluation** 

error 
$$t_2 \longrightarrow error$$
 (E-AppErr1)

$$v_1 = rror \longrightarrow error$$
 (E-AppErr2)

What if we had booleans and numbers in the language?

## **Typing**

**Typing** 

 $\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T$  (T-Error)

## Typing errors

Note that the typing rule for error allows us to give it any type T.

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T$$
 (T-Error)

This means that both

```
if x>0 then 5 else error
```

and

if x>0 then true else error

will typecheck.

## Aside: Syntax-directedness

Note that this rule

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T$$
 (T-Error)

has a problem from the point of view of implementation: it is not syntax directed.

This will cause the Uniqueness of Types theorem to fail.

For purposes of defining the language and proving its type safety, this is not a problem — Uniqueness of Types is not critical. Let's think a little, though, about how the rule might be fixed...

#### An alternative

Can't we just decorate the **error** keyword with its intended type, as we have done to fix related problems with other constructs?

```
\Gamma \vdash (\text{error as } T) : T (T-Error)
```

#### An alternative

Can't we just decorate the **error** keyword with its intended type, as we have done to fix related problems with other constructs?

```
\Gamma \vdash (\text{error as } T) : T (T-Error)
```

No, this doesn't work!

E.g. (assuming our language also has numbers and booleans):

```
succ (if (error as Bool) then 5 else 7) \longrightarrow succ (error as Bool)
```

Exercise: Come up with a similar example using just functions and error.

#### Another alternative

In a system with universal polymorphism (like OCaml), the variability of typing for error can be dealt with by assigning it a variable type!

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : 'a$$
 (T-Error)

In effect, we are replacing the *uniqueness of typing* property by a weaker (but still very useful) property called *most general typing*.

I.e., although a term may have many types, we always have a compact way of *representing* the set of all of its possible types.

#### Yet another alternative

Alternatively, in a system with subtyping (which we'll discuss in the next lecture) and a minimal Bot type, we can give error a unique type:

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : Bot$$
 (T-ERROR)

(Of course, what we've really done is just pushed the complexity of the old error rule onto the Bot type! We'll return to this point later.)

### For now...

Let's stick with the original rule

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{error} : T$$
 (T-Error)

and live with the resulting nondeterminism of the typing relation.

## Type safety

The *preservation* theorem requires no changes when we add error: if a term of type T reduces to error, that's fine, since error has every type T.

## Type safety

The *preservation* theorem requires no changes when we add error: if a term of type T reduces to error, that's fine, since error has every type T.

Progress, though, requires a litte more care.

## **Progress**

First, note that we do *not* want to extend the set of values to include **error**, since this would make our new rule for propagating errors through applications.

$$v_1 = rror \longrightarrow error$$
 (E-AppErr2)

overlap with our existing computation rule for applications:

$$(\lambda x: T_{11}.t_{12}) \quad v_2 \longrightarrow [x \mapsto v_2]t_{12} \quad (E-APPABS)$$

e.g., the term

$$(\lambda x: Nat.0)$$
 error

could evaluate to either 0 (which would be wrong) or error (which is what we intend).

## Progress

Instead, we keep error as a non-value normal form, and refine the statement of progress to explicitly mention the possibility that terms may evaluate to error instead of to a value.

Theorem [Progress]: Suppose t is a closed, well-typed normal form. Then either t is a value or t = error.

## Catching exceptions

**Typing** 

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash try \ t_1 \ with \ t_2 : T}$$
 (T-Try)

## Exceptions carrying values

```
t ::= ... terms raise t raise exception
```

#### **Evaluation**

## **Typing**

To typecheck raise expressions, we need to choose a type — let's call it  $T_{exn}$  — for the values that are carried along with exceptions.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_{exn}}{\Gamma \vdash raise \ t_1 : T}$$
 (T-Exn)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T \qquad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_{exn} \rightarrow T}{\Gamma \vdash try \ t_1 \ \text{with} \ t_2 : T}$$
 (T-Try)

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as  $T_{exn}$ . There are several possibilities.

1. Numeric error codes:  $T_{exn} = Nat$  (as in C)

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as  $T_{exn}$ . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes:  $T_{e\times n} = Nat$  (as in C)
- 2. Error messages:  $T_{exn} = String$

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as  $T_{exn}$ . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes:  $T_{exn} = Nat$  (as in C)
- 2. Error messages:  $T_{exn} = String$
- 3. A predefined variant type:

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as  $T_{exn}$ . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes:  $T_{exn} = Nat$  (as in C)
- 2. Error messages:  $T_{e \times n} = String$
- 3. A predefined variant type:

4. An extensible variant type (as in OCaml)

To complete the story, we need to decide what type to use as  $T_{exn}$ . There are several possibilities.

- 1. Numeric error codes:  $T_{exn} = Nat$  (as in C)
- 2. Error messages:  $T_{e \times n} = String$
- 3. A predefined variant type:

```
T_{exn} = \langle divideByZero: Unit, \\ overflow: Unit, \\ fileNotFound: String, \\ fileNotReadable: String, \\ ... \rangle
```

- 4. An extensible variant type (as in OCaml)
- 5. A class of "throwable objects" (as in Java)