# CIS 500 - Software Foundations Midterm II 

Answer key
November 8, 2006

## Instructions

- This is a closed-book exam.
- You have 80 minutes to answer all of the questions. The entire exam is worth 80 points for students in section 002 and 90 points for students in section 001 (there is one PhD-section-only problem).
- Questions vary significantly in difficulty, and the point value of a given question is not always exactly proportional to its difficulty. Do not spend too much time on any one question.
- Partial credit will be given. All correct answers are short. The back side of each page and the companion handout may be used as scratch paper.
- Good luck!


## References

The following problems concern the simply typed lambda calculus with references. This system is summarized on page 4 of the companion handout.

1. (5 points) Give a well-typed term whose evaluation (beginning in the empty store) will produce the following store when evaluation terminates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(l_{1}\right. & \mapsto \lambda \mathrm{x}: \text { Nat. } \\
l_{2} & \left(!l_{2}\right) \mathrm{x}, \\
\mathrm{x}: \text { Nat. } & \left(!l_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Answer:
let $a=\operatorname{ref}(\lambda x: N a t . x)$ in
let $b=\operatorname{ref}(\lambda x: N a t$. (!a) $x$ ) in
$a:=$ ( $\lambda x: N a t$. (!b) $x$ )
Grading scheme: -1 or -2 for small errors: for example, a small fragment was not properly typed. -3 or -4 for more major errors: for example, allocating too many locations or badly mangled syntax for your program.
2. (8 points)
(a) Give a well-typed term whose evaluation (beginning in the empty store) will produce the following store when evaluation terminates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu=\left(l_{1}\right. & \mapsto 5, \\
l_{2} & \mapsto l_{1}, \\
l_{3} & \left.\mapsto l_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Answer:
let $a=\operatorname{ref} 5 \mathrm{in}$
let $b=r e f a i n$
let $c=r e f \quad b i n$
unit
(b) Give a store typing $\Sigma$ corresponding to this store (i.e., such that $\emptyset \mid \Sigma \vdash \mu$ ). Answer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma=\left(l_{1}\right. & \mapsto \text { Nat }, \\
l_{2} & \mapsto \text { Ref Nat, } \\
l_{3} & \mapsto R e f \quad(\text { Ref Nat) })
\end{aligned}
$$

Grading scheme: Part (a):-1 or -2 for small errors: for example, slightly mangled syntax; -2 or -3 for more major errors: for example, not allocating the right number of locations. Part (b):-1 for getting the type of a given location wrong.
3. (8 points) Is there a well-typed term whose evaluation (beginning in the empty store) will produce the following store when evaluation terminates?

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu=\left(l_{1}\right. & \mapsto l_{2}, \\
l_{2} & \mapsto l_{3}, \\
l_{3} & \left.\mapsto l_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If so, give it. If not, explain briefly why no such term exists.
Answer: No such term exists. There are two different ways to see this:

- Suppose it did. Then the preservation theorem would tell us that there is some store typing $\Sigma$ (extending the empty store typing) with respect to which the above store is well typed. But, in such a store typing, we would have to have $\Sigma\left(l_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ref}\left(\Sigma\left(l_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Ref}\left(\operatorname{Ref}\left(\Sigma\left(l_{3}\right)\right)\right)=$ Ref (Ref $\left(\operatorname{Ref}\left(\Sigma\left(l_{1}\right)\right)\right)$ —in other words, the typing assigned to $l_{1}$ by $\Sigma$ would have to include itself as a proper sub-phrase. Since all types are finite in size, this cannot be.
- Observe that the very first reference cell allocated by a well-typed program must be to a non-Ref type, since at that point there are no locations that could be used as the initial value of the cell. Furthermore, the typing rules guarantee that any subsequent assignment to this reference cell must be a value of the same (non-Ref) type. So it is not possible for a well-typed program to produce a final store that does not contain at least one cell storing something other than a location.


## Grading scheme:

- Wrong answer: 0
- Correct answer but completely wrong justification: 2
- Vaguely correct answer... "in the right spirit": 4-5
- Correct answers with small errors: 6-8


## Exceptions

This problem concerns the simply typed lambda calculus with exceptions carrying numeric values-i.e., the system defined in TAPL Section 14.3, where the "exception type" $\mathrm{T}_{\text {exn }}$ is taken to be Nat. This system is summarized on page 1 of the companion handout.
4. (9 points) For each of the following terms, first check whether the term is well typed. If it is, write its type (if the term has multiple types, pick any one of them) and give the final result of evaluating the term (which will be either a value or raise nv for some numeric value nv). If it is not, write ill typed.
(a) raise (if raise 1 then raise 2 else raise 3 )

Answer: We can give this term any type. It evaluates to raise 1.
(b) $\operatorname{try}$
succ (raise 4)
with
( $\lambda \mathrm{x}:$ Nat. true)
Answer: ill-typed
(c) (try
( $\lambda \mathrm{x}:$ Nat. raise 5)
with
( $\lambda \mathrm{x}:$ Nat. x$)$ )
6
Answer: ill-typed
Grading scheme: 3 points for each part. The first part gets 1 point if the result is correct and 2 if the type is correct.

## Subtyping

The following problems concern the simply typed lambda calculus with subtyping (and records and variants). This system is summarized on page 7 of the companion handout.
5. (8 points) Draw a derivation tree for the following subtyping statement:

$$
\{a: T o p, b:\{ \} \rightarrow\{ \}, c:\{x: N a t\}\}<:\{b:\{ \} \rightarrow \text { Top, } c:\{ \}\}
$$

Answer:

$$
\frac{D_{1} D_{3}}{\{a: T o p, b:\{ \} \rightarrow\{ \}, c:\{x: N a t\}\}<:\{b:\{ \} \rightarrow T o p, c:\{ \}\}} \text { S-TrANS }
$$

where derivation $D_{1}$ is
 and where derivation $D_{2}$ is

$$
\overline{\{b:\{ \} \rightarrow\{ \}, c:\{x: \text { Nat }\}, a: T o p\}}<:\{b:\{ \} \rightarrow\{ \}, c:\{x: \text { Nat }\}\} \text { S-RCDWIDTH }
$$

and where derivation $D_{3}$ is

Grading scheme: (Approximately) one point off for each missing/misused rule.
6. (20 points)

Recall the following properties of the simply typed lambda-calculus with subtyping:

- Progress: If $\vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}$, then either t is a value or else $\mathrm{t} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ for some $\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$.
- Preservation: If $\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}$ and $\mathrm{t} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$, then $\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}^{\prime}: \mathrm{T}$.

Each part of this exercise suggests a different way of changing the language. (These changes are not cumulative: each part starts from the original language.) In each part, indicate (by circling TRUE or FALSE) whether each property remains true or becomes false after the suggested change. If a property becomes false, give a counterexample.
(a) Suppose we add the following typing rule:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2} & \mathrm{~S}_{1}<: \mathrm{S}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2}<: \mathrm{S}_{1} & \mathrm{~S}_{2}<: \mathrm{T}_{2} \\
\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Progress: Answer: True
Preservation: Answer: True
(b) Suppose we add the following evaluation rule:

$$
\} \longrightarrow(\lambda x: \text { Top. } x)
$$

Progress: Answer: True
Preservation: Answer: False: for example, \{\} has type \{\} but steps to ( $\lambda x:$ Top. $x)$, which does not have type \{\}.
(c) Suppose we add the following subtyping rule:

$$
\text { <> <: \{\} }
$$

Progress: Answer: True
Preservation: Answer: True
(d) Suppose we add the following subtyping rule:

$$
\}<:<>
$$

Progress: Answer: False: for example, case \{\} of $\langle f \circ \circ=x\rangle \Rightarrow x$ is well typed but stuck. Preservation: Answer: True

Grading scheme: 4 points for the first and third parts, 6 for the second and fourth, evenly divided between progress and preservation.
7. (22 points) Fill in the missing steps in the proof of the subtyping inversion lemma for arrow types from Chapter 15. Your wording does not need to exactly match what is in the book or lecture notes, but every step required in the proof (use of an assumption, use of the induction hypothesis, or use of a subtyping rule) must be mentioned explicitly.

Lemma: If $\mathrm{S}<: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}$, then S has the form $\mathrm{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, with $\mathrm{T}_{1}<: \mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}<: \mathrm{T}_{2}$.
Proof: By induction on subtyping derivations. By inspection of the subtyping rules, it is clear that the final rule in the derivation of $\mathrm{S}<: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ must be S-Refl, S-Trans, or S-Arrow.

Case S-Refl: $\quad \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}$
Answer: Both $T_{1}<: T_{1}$ and $T_{2}<: T_{2}$ follow by reflexivity.
Case S-Trans: $\quad \mathrm{S}<: \mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{U}<: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}$
Answer: If the final rule is S-Trans, then we have subderivations with conclusions $S<: U$ and $U<: T_{1} \rightarrow T_{2}$ for some type $U$. Applying the induction hypothesis to the second subderivation, we see that $U$ has the form $U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$, with $T_{1}<: U_{1}$ and $U_{2}<: T_{2}$. Now, since we know that $U$ is an arrow type, we can apply the induction hypothesis to the first subderivation to obtain $S=S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ with $U_{1}<: S_{1}$ and $S_{2}<: U_{2}$. Finally, we can use S-Trans twice to reassemble the facts we have established, obtaining $T_{1}<: S_{1}\left(\right.$ from $T_{1}<: U_{1}$ and $\left.U_{1}<: S_{1}\right)$ and $S_{2}<: T_{2}$ (from $S_{2}<: U_{2}$ and $U_{2}<: T_{2}$ ).

Case S-Arrow: $\quad \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{S}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1}<: \mathrm{S}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2}<: \mathrm{T}_{2}$
Answer: Immediate.
Grading scheme: 6 points for reflexivity and arrow cases; 10 for transitivity case. 1 or 2 points off for extraneous stuff; 4 for putting the two uses of the IH in the wrong order; 2 for generally correct answers but mangled explanations; 4 or 5 for more serious errors.
8. (10 points) (For students in the PhD section only.)

Section 15.5 in the book discusses two ways of combining subtyping with references. The first uses just the Ref type constructor, with a simple subtyping rule:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{S}_{1}<: \mathrm{T}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1}<: \mathrm{S}_{1}}{\text { Ref } \mathrm{S}_{1}<: \operatorname{Ref} \mathrm{T}_{1}} \tag{S-Ref}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second, more refined, treatment introduces two new type constructors, Source and Sink-intuitively, Source T is thought of as a capability to read values of type T from a cell (but which does not permit assignment), while Sink $T$ is a capability to write to a cell. Ref $T$ is intuitively a combination of these two capabilities, giving permission both to read and to write.
The typing rule for reference creation returns a Ref (it is unchanged from Chapter 13), while the rules for dereferencing and assignment are changed to demand only the appropriate capability. The details of these rules are not important for this question, but they are reproduced, for reference, on 9 of the companion handout.
The subtyping relation is extended with a rules stating that the Source constructor is contravariant, the Sink constructor is covariant, and the Ref constructor can be promoted to either Source or Sink.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{S}_{1}<: \mathrm{T}_{1}}{\text { Source } \mathrm{S}_{1}<: \text { Source } \mathrm{T}_{1}}  \tag{S-Source}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~T}_{1}<: \mathrm{S}_{1}}{\text { Sink } \mathrm{S}_{1}<: \text { Sink } \mathrm{T}_{1}}  \tag{S-Sink}\\
\text { Ref } \mathrm{T}_{1}<: \text { Source } \mathrm{T}_{1}  \tag{S-RefSource}\\
\text { Ref } \mathrm{T}_{1}<\text { : Sink } \mathrm{T}_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

(S-RefSink)
If we know that $\mathrm{S}<: \mathrm{T}$ and we know something about the shape of T , the subtype inversion lemma gives us information about the shape of $S$ and the subtype relationships that must hold between the sub-expressions of S and T. For example, question 7 above asked you to prove the arrow case.

Fill in appropriate statements for the cases of the subtyping inversion lemma for the constructors Ref, Source, and Sink. You do not need to give proofs.
(a) If $\mathrm{S}<$ : Ref $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, then Answer: $S=$ Ref $S_{1}$ for some $S_{1}$ with $S_{1}<$ : $T_{1}$ and $T_{1}<$ : $S_{1}$.
(b) If $\mathrm{S}<$ : Source $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, then Answer: either: (1) $S=$ Ref $S_{1}$ for some $S_{1}$ with $S_{1}<$ : $T_{1}$, or (2) $S=$ Source $S_{1}$ for some $S_{1}$ with $S_{1}<: T_{1}$.
(c) If S <: Sink $\mathrm{T}_{1}$, then Answer: either: (1) $S=$ Ref $S_{1}$ for some $S_{1}$ with $T_{1}<$ : $S_{1}$, or (2) $S=\operatorname{Sink} S_{1}$ for some $S_{1}$ with $T_{1}<: S_{1}$.

Grading scheme: Answers varied considerably, so the grading was basically done on a case by case basis. But here were some common mistakes:

- Missing Ref cases in parts 2 and 3:-3
- Too many constraints in parts 2 and 3:-2


## Companion handout

## Full definitions of the systems used in the exam

## Simply-typed lambda calculus with error handling (and numbers and booleans), using Nat as the $\mathrm{T}_{\text {exn }}$ type

Syntax

```
t ::=
        true
        false
        if t then t else t
        0
        succ t
        pred t
        iszero t
        x
        \lambdax:T.t
        t t
        raise t
        try t with t
v ::=
    true
    false
    nv
    \lambdax:T.t
nv ::=
            0
    succ nv
T ::=
    Bool
    Nat
    T}->\textrm{T
\Gamma ::=
    \emptyset
```

terms
constant true constant false conditional constant zero successor predecessor zero test variable abstraction application raise exception handle exceptions
values
true value
false value numeric value abstraction value
numeric values
zero value successor value
types
type of booleans
type of natural numbers type of functions
type environments empty type env.

## Evaluation

$t \longrightarrow t^{\prime}$
(E-IfTRUE)
(E-IfFALSE)
(E-PredSucc)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}}{\text { pred } \mathrm{t}_{1} \longrightarrow \text { pred } \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}}  \tag{E-Pred}\\
& \text { iszero } 0 \longrightarrow \text { true } \\
& \text { iszero (succ } n v_{1} \text { ) } \longrightarrow \text { false } \\
& \frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{\text { iszero } t_{1} \longrightarrow \text { iszero } t_{1}^{\prime}}  \tag{E-IsZero}\\
& \frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{t_{1} t_{2} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime} t_{2}}  \tag{E-App1}\\
& \frac{t_{2} \longrightarrow t_{2}^{\prime}}{v_{1} t_{2} \longrightarrow v_{1} t_{2}^{\prime}}  \tag{E-App2}\\
& \left(\lambda \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{12}\right) \mathrm{v}_{2} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{x} \mapsto \mathrm{v}_{2}\right] \mathrm{t}_{12}  \tag{E-AppABS}\\
& \text { (raise } \mathrm{v}_{11} \text { ) } \mathrm{t}_{2} \longrightarrow \text { raise } \mathrm{v}_{11}  \tag{E-AppRAISE1}\\
& \mathrm{v}_{1} \text { (raise } \mathrm{v}_{21} \text { ) } \longrightarrow \text { raise } \mathrm{v}_{21} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}}{\text { raise } \mathrm{t}_{1} \longrightarrow \text { raise } \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}}  \tag{E-RAISE}\\
& \text { raise (raise } \mathrm{v}_{11} \text { ) } \longrightarrow \text { raise } \mathrm{v}_{11} \\
& \text { if raise } \mathrm{v}_{11} \text { then } \mathrm{t}_{2} \text { else } \mathrm{t}_{3} \longrightarrow \text { raise } \mathrm{v}_{11} \\
& \text { try } \mathrm{v}_{1} \text { with } \mathrm{t}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{v}_{1} \\
& \text { try raise } \mathrm{v}_{11} \text { with } \mathrm{t}_{2} \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{v}_{11} \\
& \frac{t_{1} \longrightarrow t_{1}^{\prime}}{\operatorname{try} t_{1} \text { with } t_{2} \longrightarrow \text { try } t_{1}^{\prime} \text { with } t_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

(E-IszeroZero)
(E-IszeroSucc)
(E-AppRAISE2)
(E-RAISERAISE)
(E-IfRAISE)
(E-TryV)
(E-TRyRAISE)
(E-TRY)

Typing
$\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Nat }}{\Gamma \vdash \text { pred } \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Nat }}  \tag{T-Pred}\\
& \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Nat }}{\Gamma \vdash \text { iszero } \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Bool }}  \tag{T-IsZero}\\
& \frac{x: T \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x: T}  \tag{T-VAR}\\
& \frac{\Gamma, \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{2}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}}  \tag{T-ABS}\\
& \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{12}}  \tag{T-APP}\\
& \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Nat }}{\Gamma \vdash \text { raise } \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}}  \tag{T-Exn}\\
& \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{Nat} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}}{\Gamma \vdash \operatorname{try} \mathrm{t}_{1} \text { with } \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}} \tag{T-TRY}
\end{align*}
$$

## Simply-typed lambda calculus with references <br> (and Unit, Nat, Bool)

Syntax


$$
\mathrm{t}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}_{2}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-App1}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-App2}\\
& \left(\lambda \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{12}\right) \quad \mathrm{v}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{x} \mapsto \mathrm{v}_{2}\right] \mathrm{t}_{12}\right| \mu  \tag{E-AppABS}\\
& \frac{l \notin \operatorname{dom}(\mu)}{\text { ref } \mathrm{v}_{1}|\mu \longrightarrow l|\left(\mu, l \mapsto \mathrm{v}_{1}\right)}  \tag{E-REFV}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\text { ref } \mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \operatorname{ref} \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-REF}\\
& \frac{\mu(l)=\mathrm{v}}{!l|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{v}| \mu} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{!\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow!\mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-Deref}\\
& l:=\mathrm{v}_{2} \mid \mu \longrightarrow \text { unit } \mid\left[l \mapsto \mathrm{v}_{2}\right] \mu  \tag{E-Assign}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\mathrm{t}_{1}:=\mathrm{t}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{t}_{2}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-Assign1}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\mathrm{v}_{1}:=\mathrm{t}_{2}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{v}_{1}:=\mathrm{t}_{2}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-Assign2}\\
& \text { if true then } t_{2} \text { else } t_{3}\left|\mu \longrightarrow t_{2}\right| \mu  \tag{E-IFTRUE}\\
& \text { if false then } t_{2} \text { else } t_{3}\left|\mu \longrightarrow t_{3}\right| \mu  \tag{E-IFFALSE}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\text { if } \mathrm{t}_{1} \text { then } \mathrm{t}_{2} \text { else } \mathrm{t}_{3} \mid \mu \longrightarrow \text { if } \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime} \text { then } \mathrm{t}_{2} \text { else } \mathrm{t}_{3} \mid \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-IF}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\operatorname{succ} \mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \operatorname{succ} \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-Succ}\\
& \text { pred } 0|\mu \longrightarrow 0| \mu  \tag{E-PREDZERO}\\
& \text { pred }\left(\text { succ } \mathrm{nv}_{1}\right)\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{nv}_{1}\right| \mu  \tag{E-PredSucc}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\text { pred } \mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \operatorname{pred} \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}  \tag{E-Pred}\\
& \text { iszero } 0 \mid \mu \longrightarrow \text { true } \mid \mu
\end{align*}
$$

(E-IszeroZero)
iszero (succ $\left.\mathrm{nv}_{1}\right)|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{false}| \mu$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}\left|\mu \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime}\right| \mu^{\prime}}{\text { iszero } \mathrm{t}_{1} \mid \mu \longrightarrow \text { iszero } \mathrm{t}_{1}^{\prime} \mid \mu^{\prime}} \tag{E-IsZero}
\end{equation*}
$$

(E-IsZEROSUCC)

Typing

$$
\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}
$$

(T-IsZero)

## Simply-typed lambda calculus with subtyping (and records and variants)

Syntax

$\emptyset$
terms variable abstraction application record projection tagging case
values abstraction value record value
types
type of records
maximum type
type of functions type of variants
type environments empty type env.

Evaluation
$t \longrightarrow t^{\prime}$
case $\left(\left\langle l_{j}=\mathrm{v}_{j}\right\rangle\right.$ as $\left.T\right)$ of $\left\langle\mathrm{l}_{i}=\mathrm{x}_{i}\right\rangle \Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n} \longrightarrow\left[\mathrm{x}_{j} \mapsto \mathrm{v}_{j}\right] \mathrm{t}_{j}$
$\frac{\mathrm{t}_{0} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{0}^{\prime}}{\text { case } \mathrm{t}_{0} \text { of }\left\langle\mathrm{l}_{i}=\mathrm{x}_{i}\right\rangle \Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 . . n} \longrightarrow \text { case } \mathrm{t}_{0}^{\prime} \text { of }\left\langle\mathrm{l}_{i}=\mathrm{x}_{i}\right\rangle \Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{t}_{i} \longrightarrow \mathrm{t}_{i}^{\prime}}{\left\langle 1_{i}=\mathrm{t}_{i}\right\rangle \text { as } \mathrm{T} \longrightarrow\left\langle l_{i}=\mathrm{t}_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { as } \mathrm{T}}
$$

(E-VARIANT)

Typing

$$
\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { for each } i \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{i}: \mathrm{T}_{i}}{\Gamma \vdash\left\{\mathrm{I}_{i}=\mathrm{t}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\}:\left\{\mathrm{I}_{i}: \mathrm{T}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\}} \tag{T-RcD}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}:\left\{\mathrm{l}_{i}: \mathrm{T}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{l}_{j}: \mathrm{T}_{j}}  \tag{T-Proj}\\
\frac{\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}}  \tag{T-VAR}\\
\frac{\Gamma, \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{2}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{2}}  \tag{T-ABS}\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{11} \rightarrow \mathrm{~T}_{12} \quad \Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{11}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{12}}  \tag{T-APP}\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{S}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}: \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{T}  \tag{T-Sub}\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{1}}{\Gamma \vdash<\mathrm{l}_{1}=\mathrm{t}_{1}>:<\mathrm{l}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{1}>}  \tag{T-VARIANT}\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{0}:<\mathrm{l}_{i}: \mathrm{T}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}>}{\Gamma \vdash \text { case } \mathrm{t}_{0} \text { of }<\mathrm{l}_{i}=\mathrm{x}_{i}>\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}: \mathrm{T}} \\
\text { for each } i, \mathrm{x}_{i} \vdash \mathrm{t}_{i}: \mathrm{T}  \tag{T-CASE}\\
\hline
\end{gather*}
$$

Subtyping
$\mathrm{S}<: \mathrm{T}$
(S-RcDWIDTH)
(S-RCDDEPTH)
(S-RCDPERM)
(S-VARIANTWIDTH)
(S-VARIANTDEPTH)
$\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{k}_{j}: \mathrm{S}_{j}{ }^{j \in 1 \ldots n}\right\rangle \text { is a permutation of }\left\langle\mathrm{l}_{i}: \mathrm{T}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\mathrm{k}_{j}: \mathrm{S}_{j}{ }^{j \in 1 \ldots n}\right\rangle\left\langle:\left\langle\mathrm{l}_{i}: \mathrm{T}_{i}{ }^{i \in 1 \ldots n}\right\rangle\right.}$

Typing rules for Source, Sink, and Ref constructors

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{1}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{ref}_{1}: \operatorname{Ref} \mathrm{T}_{1}}  \tag{T-REF}\\
\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Source } \mathrm{T}_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash!\mathrm{t}_{1}: \mathrm{T}_{11}}  \tag{T-DEREF}\\
\frac{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}: \text { Sink } \mathrm{T}_{11} \quad \Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{2}: \mathrm{T}_{11}}{\Gamma \mid \Sigma \vdash \mathrm{t}_{1}:=\mathrm{t}_{2}: \text { Unit }} \tag{T-Assign}
\end{gather*}
$$

