Announcements

- Project Milestone 1 due Tonight at 8pm
- Quiz 5 is due tomorrow (Thursday, October 13) at 8pm
 - Quiz 6 posted tomorrow
- HW 3 due Wednesday, October 19
 - Please start early!

Lecture 12: Neural Networks (Part 2)

CIS 4190/5190 Fall 2022

Agenda

Optimization

- Gradient descent
- Backpropagation
- Neural network tips and tricks
- Hyperparameter tuning
- Implementation

Recap: Neural Network Model Family

- Each **layer** is a parametric function $f_{W_j} : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^h$ for some k, h
- Compose sequentially to form model family (a.k.a. architecture):

$$f_W = f_{W_m} \circ \cdots \circ f_{W_1}$$

- Examples:
 - Linear: $f_W(z) = Wz$
 - Activation function: $g(z) = \sigma(z)$
 - Softmax: $f(z) = \operatorname{softmax}(z)$

Recap: Optimization & Backpropagation

- Based on gradient descent, with a few tweaks
 - Note: Loss is nonconvex, but gradient descent works well in practice
- Key challenge: How to compute the gradient?
 - Strategy so far: Work out gradient for every model family
 - **New strategy:** Algorithm for computing gradient of an arbitrary programmatic composition of layers
 - This algorithm is called **backpropagation**

Backpropagation

• Input

- Example-label pair (x_i, y_i)
- Model $f_{W_m} \circ \cdots \circ f_{W_1}$ and loss $L(\hat{y}, y)$
- Derivative $\nabla_{\hat{y}}L$, $\partial_{W_i}f_{W_i}(z)$, and $\partial_z f_{W_i}(z)$ (as functions)
- **Output:** $\nabla_{W_j} L(f_W(x_i), y_i)$

• The **derivative** of f_{β} with respect to z at $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is



• The **derivative** of f_{β} with respect to β at $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is

$$\partial_{\beta} f_{\beta}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{\beta,1}}{\partial \beta_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{\beta,1}}{\partial \beta_{d}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{\beta,h}}{\partial \beta_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{\beta,h}}{\partial \beta_{d}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$$

Recall: Multi-Dimensional Chain Rule

- Consider a function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = f_2(f_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}), \boldsymbol{\beta})$, where
 - $f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{W}) = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z})$
 - $f_2(\mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z}$
- Its derivatives are

 $D_{\beta}f(x,W,\beta) = D_{\beta}f_{2}(f_{1}(x,W),\beta)$ = $\partial_{z}f_{2}(f_{1}(x,W),\beta)D_{\beta}f_{1}(x,W) + \partial_{\beta}f_{2}(f_{1}(x,W),\beta)$ = $\partial_{\beta}f_{2}(f_{1}(x,W),\beta)$

Recall: Multi-Dimensional Chain Rule

- Consider a function $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = f_2(f_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}), \boldsymbol{\beta})$, where
 - $f_1(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{W}) = g(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{z})$
 - $f_2(\mathbf{z},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z}$
- Its derivatives are

$$D_W f(x, W, \beta) = D_W f_2(f_1(x, W), \beta)$$

= $\partial_z f_2(f_1(x, W), \beta) D_W f_1(x, W) + \partial_W f_2(f_1(x, W), \beta)$
= $\partial_z f_2(f_1(x, W), \beta) \partial_W f_1(x, W)$

Backpropagation

• General case: Consider a neural network

$$f_W(x) = f_{W_m} \circ f_{W_{m-1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{W_1}(x)$$

• Forward pass:

$$z^{(j)} = f_{W_j} \circ \cdots \circ f_{W_1}(x)$$

• Backward pass:

$$D_{W_j} f_W(x) = \partial_z f_{W_m} \left(z^{(m-1)} \right) \dots \partial_z f_{W_{j+1}} \left(z^{(j)} \right) \partial_{W_j} f_{W_j} \left(z^{(j-1)} \right)$$

shared across terms

 $\partial_{z} f_{W_{m}}(z) \partial_{z}$ $= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,1}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \end{bmatrix}$

 $\begin{aligned} \partial_{z} f_{W_{m}}(z) \partial_{z} f_{W_{m-1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,1}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \end{aligned} \right| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \end{aligned} \right| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \end{aligned} \right| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,\ell}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,\ell}}}{\partial z_{m}}(z) \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,\ell}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,\ell}}}{\partial z_{m}}(z) \end{bmatrix}$

 $\partial_{z} f_{W_{m}}(z) \partial_{z} f_{W_{m-1}}(z) \cdots \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,1}}(z)}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,1}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m,h}}}{\partial z_{k}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,k}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,k}}}{\partial z_{\ell}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,1}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,k}}}{\partial z_{m}}(z) \\ \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,k}}}{\partial z_{1}}(z) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{W_{m-1,k}}}{\partial z_{m}}(z) \end{bmatrix} \dots$

Backpropagation Algorithm

• Forward pass: Compute forwards from j = 0 to j = m

•
$$z^{(j)} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } j = 0\\ f_{W_j}(z^{(j-1)}) & \text{if } j > 0 \end{cases}$$

• **Backward pass:** Compute backwards from j = m to j = 1

•
$$D^{(j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = m \\ D^{(j+1)} \partial_z f_{W_{j+1}}(z^{(j)}) & \text{if } j < m \end{cases}$$

• $D_{W_j} f_W(x) = D^{(j)} \partial_{W_j} f_{W_j}(z^{(j-1)})$

• Final output: $\nabla_{W_j} L(f_W(x), y)^{\top} = \nabla_{\hat{y}} L(z^{(m)}, y)^{\top} D_{W_j} f_W(x)$ for each j

Backpropagation



Gradient Descent

- $W_1 \leftarrow \text{Initialize}()$
- for $t \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ until convergence:

$$W_{t+1,j} \leftarrow W_{t,j} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{W_j} L(f_{W_t}(x_i), y_i) \quad \text{(for each } j)$$

• return f_{W_t}

Gradient Descent

- $W_1 \leftarrow \text{Initialize}()$
- for $t \in \{1, 2, ...\}$ until convergence:
 - Compute gradients $\nabla_{W_i} L(f_{W_t}(x_i), y_i)$ using backpropagation
 - Update parameters:

$$W_{t+1,j} \leftarrow W_{t,j} - \frac{\alpha}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{W_j} L(f_{W_t}(x_i), y_i) \quad \text{(for each } j)$$

• return f_{W_t}

Agenda

Optimization

- Gradient descent
- Backpropagation
- Neural network tips and tricks
- Hyperparameter tuning
- Implementation

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Optimization Challenges

Challenges

- Local minima, saddle points due to non-convex loss
- Exploding/vanishing gradients
- Ill-conditioning
- Have heuristics that work in common cases (but not always)



Gradient Descent

- $W \leftarrow \text{Initialize()}$
- for $t \in \{1, 2, ..., T\}$:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \frac{\alpha}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x_i), y_i)$$

Gradient Descent

- $W \leftarrow \text{Initialize}()$
- for $t \in \{1, 2, ..., T\}$:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \frac{\alpha}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x_i), y_i)$$

Stochastic Gradient Descent

- $W \leftarrow \text{Initialize}()$
- for $t \in \{1, 2, ..., T\}$:
 - for $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x_i), y_i)$$

usually $T \in \{1, ..., 10\}$

Minibatch Stochastic Gradient Descent

- $W \leftarrow \text{Initialize()}$
- for $t \in \{1, 2, ..., T\}$: • for $i' \in \{1, 2, ..., \frac{n}{k}\}$:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \frac{\alpha}{k} \cdot \sum_{i=i'k}^{i'(k+1)-1} \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x_i), y_i) \quad \text{(for each } j\text{)}$$

• Vanilla gradient descent:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$

• Accelerated gradient descent:

$$\rho \leftarrow \mu \cdot \rho - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$
$$\beta \leftarrow \beta + \rho$$

• Vanilla gradient descent:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$

• Accelerated gradient descent:

$$\rho \leftarrow \mu \cdot \rho - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$
$$\beta \leftarrow \beta + \rho$$

• Vanilla gradient descent:

$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$

• Accelerated gradient descent:

$$\rho \leftarrow \mu \cdot \rho - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$
$$\beta \leftarrow \beta + \rho$$

- Intuition: ρ holds the previous update $\alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$, except it "remembers" where it was heading via momentum
- New hyperparameter μ (typically $\mu = 0.9$ or $\mu = 0.99$)

Nesterov Momentum

• Accelerated gradient descent:

$$\rho \leftarrow \mu \cdot \rho - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$$
$$\beta \leftarrow \beta + \rho$$

• Nesterov momentum:

$$\rho \leftarrow \mu \cdot \rho - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta + \mu \cdot \rho}(x), y)$$
$$\beta \leftarrow \beta + \rho$$

Nesterov Momentum



"Lookahead" helps avoid overshooting when close to the optimum

Adaptive Learning Rates

• AdaGrad: Letting $g = \nabla_{\beta} L(f_{\beta}(x), y)$, we have

$$G \leftarrow G + g^2$$
 and $\beta \leftarrow \beta - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{G}} \cdot g$

• **RMSProp:** Use exponential moving average instead:

$$G \leftarrow \lambda \cdot G + (1 - \lambda)g^2$$
 and $\beta \leftarrow \beta - \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{G}} \cdot g$

Adaptive Learning Rates

• Adam: Similar to RMSprop, but with both the first and second moments of the gradients

$$G \leftarrow \lambda \cdot G + (1 - \lambda) \cdot g^{2}$$
$$g' \leftarrow \lambda' \cdot g' + (1 - \lambda') \cdot g$$
$$\beta \leftarrow \beta - \frac{g'}{\sqrt{G}}$$

- Intuition: RMSProp with momentum
- Most commonly used optimizer



http://cs231n.github.io/neural-networks-3 (Alec Radford)



http://cs231n.github.io/neural-networks-3 (Alec Radford)

Learning Rate

• Most important hyperparameter; tune by looking at training loss



Learning Rate

• Learning rate vs. training error:



Learning Rate

• Schedules: Reducing the learning rate every time the validation loss stagnates can be very effective for training



Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Neural Network Tips & Tricks

Dropout



Managing Training

Historical Activation Functions



Vanishing Gradient Problem

- The gradient of the sigmoid function is often nearly zero
- **Recall:** In backpropagation, gradients are products of $\partial_z g(z^{(j)})$
- Quickly multiply to zero!
 - Early layers update very slowly



ReLU Activation

Activation function

 $g(z) = \max\{0, z\}$

- Gradient now positive on the entire region $z \ge 0$
- Significant performance gains for deep neural networks



ReLU Activation



PRReLU Activation



Activation Functions

- ReLU is a good standard choice
- Tradeoffs exist, and new activation functions are still being proposed

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Weight Initialization

• Zero initialization: Very bad choice!

- All neurons $z_i = g(w_i^T x)$ in a given layer remain identical
- Intuition: They start out equal, so their gradients are equal!



Weight Initialization

- Long history of initialization tricks for W_i based on "fan in" d_{in}
 - Here, d_{in} is the dimension of the input of layer W_i
 - Intuition: Keep initial layer inputs $z^{(j)}$ in the "linear" part of sigmoid
 - Note: Initialize intercept term to $\boldsymbol{0}$
- Kaiming initialization (also called "He initialization")
 - For ReLU activations, use $W_j \sim N\left(0, \frac{2}{d_{\text{in}}}\right)$
- Xavier initialization
 - For tanh activations, use $W_j \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{d_{\text{in}}+d_{\text{out}}}\right) (d_{\text{out}} \text{ is output dimension})$

Batch Normalization

Problem

- During learning, the distribution of inputs to each layer are shifting (since the layers below are also updating)
- This "covariate shift" slows down learning

Solution

- As with feature standardization, standardize inputs to each layer to N(0, I)
- Batch norm: Compute mean and standard deviation of current minibatch and use it to normalize the current layer $z^{(j)}$ (this is differentiable!)
- Note: Needs nontrivial mini-batches or will divide by zero
- Apply after every layer (before or after activation; after can work better)

Batch Normalization



Regularization

- Can use L_1 and L_2 regularization as before
 - As before, do not regularize any of the intercept terms!
 - L_2 regularization more common
- Applied to "unrolled" weight matrices
 - Equivalently, Frobenius norm $\|W_j\|_F = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{i'=1}^h W_{i,i'}^2$

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Dropout

- Idea: During training, randomly "drop" (i.e., zero out) a fraction p of the neurons $z_i^{(j)}$ (usually take $p = \frac{1}{2}$)
- Implemented as its own layer

$$Dropout(z) = \begin{cases} z & with prob. p \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

• Usually include it at a few layers just before the output layer



Dropout



Dropout

- Intuition: A form of regularization
 - Encourages robustness to missing information from the previous layer
 - Each neuron works with many different kinds of inputs
 - Makes them more likely to be individually competent

Connection to ensembles

- Each training iteration is training a slightly different network, selected at random out of 2^{#neurons} networks!
- Since the networks share weights, training one network updates others

Dropout at Test Time

- Naïve strategy: Stop dropping neurons
 - Problem: Not the distribution the layer was trained on (covariate shift)!
- Naïve strategy: Average across all possible predictions
 Problem: There are 2^{#neurons} possible realizations of the randomness
- Solution: Turn off dropout but divide the outgoing weights by 2
 - Good approximation of the geometric mean of all $2^{\text{#neurons}}$ predictions
- Note: Can also leave dropout on, sample multiple realizations of the randomness, and report distribution to help quantify uncertainty

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Neural Network Tips & Tricks



Dropout

Managing Training

Early Stopping

- Stop when your validation loss starts increasing (alternatively, finish training and choose best model on validation set)
 - Simple way to introduce regularization



Data Augmentation

- Data augmentation: Generate more data by modifying training inputs
- Often used when you know that your output is robust to some transformations of your data
 - Image domain: Color shifts, add noise, rotations, translations, flips, crops
 - NLP domain: Substitute synonyms, generate examples (doesn't work as well but ongoing research direction)
 - Can combine primitive shifts
- Note: Labels are simply the label of original image

Data Augmentation

