## Announcements

- HW 5 due Wednesday, November 16 at 8pm
- Quiz 10 is due Thursday, November 17 at 8pm


# Lecture 21: Reinforcement Learning 

CIS 4190/5190

Fall 2022

## Three Kinds of Learning

- Supervised learning
- Given labeled examples $(x, y)$, learn to predict $y$ given $x$
- Unsupervised learning
- Given unlabeled examples $x$, uncover structure in $x$
- Reinforcement learning
- Learning from sequence of interactions with the environment


## Sequential Decision Making

- Make a sequence of decisions to maximize a real-valued reward
- Examples
- Driving a car
- Making movie recommendations
- Treating a patient over time
- Navigating a webpage


## Sequential Decision Making

- Machine learning almost always aims to inform decision making
- Only show user an image if it contains a pet
- Help a doctor make a treatment decision
- Reinforcement learning is about sequences of decisions
- Naïve strategy: Predict future and optimize decisions accordingly
- But decisions affect forecasts
- If we show the user too many cats, they might get bored of cats!
- Solution: Jointly perform prediction and optimization


## What makes RL hard?



Ross \& Bagnell 2011
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## What makes RL hard?

- Distribution shift is fundamental to the problem
- Repeat: Improve policy $\rightarrow$ distribution shifts $\rightarrow$ improve policy $\rightarrow$...
- This is with a human expert in the loop! Without the expert, we must start off acting randomly
- Generally, using expert data where available is promising (called "imitation learning")
- Caveat: Limited by human performance (e.g., AlphaGo Zero significantly outperforms AlphaGo, which was pretrained on expert games)


## Reinforcement Learning Problem

- At each step $t \in\{1, \ldots, T\}$ :
- Observe state $s_{t} \in S$ and reward $r_{t} \in \mathbb{R}$
- Take action $a_{t}=\pi\left(s_{t}\right) \in A$
- Goal: Learn a policy $\pi: S \rightarrow A$ that maximizes discounted reward sum:

$$
R_{T}=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma^{t} \cdot r_{t}
$$



## Reinforcement Learning Problem


state: joint angles
actions: motor torques
dynamics: robot physics reward: average speed

state: current stock actions: how much to purchase dynamics: demand at each store reward: profit

## Reinforcement Learning Successes



Playing board games and videogames

## Reinforcement Learning Successes



Web navigation (e.g., book a flight)

## Reinforcement Learning Successes



FINGER PIVOTING


SLIDING


FINGER GAITING

## Reinforcement Learning Successes



Steering microscope to separate molecules


Controlling magnetic fields to stabilize plasma (in simulation)

## Reinforcement Learning Successes

- Power grids: Reinforcement learning for demand response
- A review of algorithms and modeling techniques, J. Vázquez-Canteli, Z. Nagy
- Recommender systems
- https://github.com/google-research/recsim
- Many potential applications
- https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.12901


## Reinforcement Learning Problem

- At a high level, we need to specify the following:
- State space: What are the observations the agent may encounter?
- Action space: What are the actions the agent can take?
- Transitions/dynamics: How the state is updated when taking an action
- Rewards: What rewards the agent receives for taking an action in a state
- For most of today, assume state and action spaces are finite


## Toy Example

- Grid map with solid/open cells
- State: An open grid cell
- Actions: Move North, East, South, West



## Toy Example

## - Dynamics

- Move in chosen direction, but not deterministically!
- Succeeds $80 \%$ of the time
- $10 \%$ of the time, end up $90^{\circ}$ off
- $10 \%$ of the time, end up $-90^{\circ}$ off
- The agent stays put if it tries to move into a solid cell or outside the world
- At terminal states, any action ends episode (or rollout)



## Toy Example

- Rewards
- At terminal state, agent receives the specified reward
- For each timestep outside terminal states, the agent pays a small cost, e.g., a "reward" of -0.03



## Example Episode (Random Policy)
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## Example Episode (Random Policy)

(stays still because blocked)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Action= "N" } \\
\text { Result="E" } \\
\text { Reward }=-0.03
\end{gathered}
$$



## Example Episode (Random Policy)
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## Example Episode (Random Policy)

- Our random trajectory happened to end in the right place!
- Optimal policy? No!
- Only succeeded by random chance



## Optimal Policy

- Optimal policy: Following $\pi^{*}$ maximizes total reward received
- Discounted: Future rewards are downweighted
- In expectation: On average across randomness of environment and actions



## Markov Decision Process (MDP)

- An MDP $(S, A, P, R, \gamma)$ is defined by:
- Set of states $s \in S$
- Set of actions $a \in A$
- Transition function $P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)$ (also called "dynamics" or the "model")
- Reward function $R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)$
- Discount factor $\gamma<1$
- Also assume an initial state distribution $D(s)$

- Often omitted since optimal policy does not depend on $D$


## Markov Decision Process (MDP)

- Goal: Maximize cumulative expected discounted reward:

$$
\pi^{*}=\max _{\pi} J(\pi) \quad \text { where } \quad J(\pi)=\mathbb{E}_{\zeta}\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \cdot r_{t}\right]
$$

- Expectation over episodes $\zeta=\left(s_{0}, a_{0}, r_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots\right)$, where
- $s_{0} \sim D$
- $a_{t}=\pi\left(s_{t}\right)$
- $s_{t+1} \sim P\left(\cdot \mid s_{t}, a_{t}\right)$
- $r_{t}=R\left(s_{t}, a_{t}, s_{t+1}\right)$


## Markov Decision Process (MDP)

- Planning: Given $P$ and $R$, compute the optimal policy $\pi^{*}$
- Purely an optimization problem! No learning
- Reinforcement learning: Compute the optimal policy $\pi^{*}$ without prior knowledge of $P$ and $R$


## Policy Value Function

- Policy Value Function: Expected reward if we start in $s$ and use $\pi$ :

$$
V^{\pi}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \cdot r_{t} \mid s_{0}=s\right)
$$

- Bellman equation:

$$
\underbrace{V^{\pi}(s)}_{\text {current value }}=\sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} \underbrace{P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, \pi(s)\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { expectation } \\
\text { over next state }
\end{array}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(R\left(s, \pi(s), s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot V^{\pi}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)}_{\begin{array}{c}
\text { current reward }+ \\
\text { discounted future reward }
\end{array}}
$$

## Optimal Value Function

- Optimal value function: Expected reward if we start in $s$ and use $\pi^{*}$ :

$$
V^{*}(s)=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \cdot r_{t} \mid s_{0}=s\right)
$$

- Bellman equation:

Optimal policy selects action that maximizes future expected reward from state $s$


## Optimal Value Function

- Bellman equation:

$$
V^{*}(s)=\max _{a \in A} \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot V^{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

- Do not need to know the optimal policy $\pi^{*}$ !
- Strategy: Compute $V^{*}$ and then use it to compute $\pi^{*}$
- Caveat: Latter step requires knowing $P$


## Policy Action-Value Function

- Policy Action-Value Function (or Q function): Expected reward if we start in $s$, take action $a$, and then use $\pi$ thereafter:

$$
Q^{\pi}(s, a)=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \cdot r_{t} \mid s_{0}=s, a_{0}=a\right)
$$

- Bellman equation:

$$
Q^{\pi}(s, a)=\sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot Q^{\pi}\left(s^{\prime}, \pi\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

## Optimal Action-Value Function

- Optimal Action-Value Function (or $\mathbf{Q}$ function): Expected reward if we start in $s$, take action $a$, and then act optimally thereafter:

$$
Q^{*}(s, a)=\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t} \cdot r_{t} \mid s_{0}=s, a_{0}=a\right)
$$

- Bellman equation:

$$
Q^{*}(s, a)=\sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q^{*}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

## Relationship

- We have

$$
V^{\pi}(s)=Q^{\pi}(s, \pi(s))
$$

- Similarly, we have

$$
V^{*}(s)=\max _{a} Q^{*}(s, a)
$$

## Q Iteration

- We have

$$
\pi^{*}(s)=\max _{a \in A} Q^{*}(s, a)
$$

- Strategy: Compute $Q^{*}$ and then use it to compute $\pi^{*}$


## Q Iteration

- Initialize $Q_{1}(s, a) \leftarrow 0$ for all $s, a$
- For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ until convergence:

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \max _{a^{\prime}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$



$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\left.\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{a^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)}\right]}_{0.9}^{\overbrace{}^{\circ o s}}
$$





$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\left.\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{i}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right]}_{0.9}^{\overbrace{}^{\circ o s}}
$$



$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{i}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)}_{0.9}\right.
$$




$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{i}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)}_{0.9}\right.
$$

|  | 3 | Coses) |  | 0.09 | $+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.8 x[0+0]$ | 2 | Coses) |  |  | $-1$ |
| $\begin{gathered} +0.1 x[0+0.9 x-1] \\ +0.1 x[0+0] \\ =-0.09 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\left.\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{a^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)}\right]}_{0.9}^{\overbrace{}^{\circ o s}}
$$

|  | 3 | Coses) | Coses) | 0.09 | $+1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.8 x[0+0]$ | 2 | Cosele |  |  | $-1$ |
| $\begin{gathered} +0.1 x[0+0] \\ +0.1 \times[0+0] \\ =0 \end{gathered}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\left.\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{i}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right]}^{0.9}\right.
$$

Now we have $Q_{1}(s, a)$ for all $(s, a)$

1
(20.09

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma{\left.\underset{a^{\prime}}{\max _{i}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right]}_{0.9}\right.
$$




## After 1000 iterations:

## $Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime}} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \max _{a^{\prime}} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right]$



## Q Iteration

- Information propagates outward from terminal states
- Eventually all state-action pairs converge to correct Q-value estimates


## Aside: Value Iteration

- Analogous to Q-Policy iteration but for computing the value function
- Initialize $V_{1}(s) \leftarrow 0$ for all $s$
- For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ until convergence:

$$
V_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max _{a \in A} \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot V_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
V_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max _{a \in A} \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma V_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$



$$
V_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max _{a \in A} \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma V_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$



$$
V_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow \max _{a \in A} \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma V_{i}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$



## Reinforcement Learning

- Q iteration can be used to compute the optimal Q function when $P$ and $R$ are known
- How can we adapt it to the setting where these are unknown?


## Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

- Step 1: Estimate $\hat{P} \approx P$ and $\hat{R} \approx R$ from samples
- What policy to use to gather data?
- Need to take action $a$ in state $s$ to obtain an observation of $P(\cdot \mid s, a)$ !
- More on this later

|  | $\mathbf{( 1 , 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 , 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 , 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 2 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 3 , 3 )}$ | $(4, \mathbf{1})$ | $(4, \mathbf{2})$ | $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(1,1), \mathrm{N}$ | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $(1,1), \mathrm{E}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $(1,1), \mathrm{S}$ | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Step 2: Compute optimal policy $\hat{\pi} \approx \pi^{*}$ for $\hat{P}$ and $\hat{R}$


## Model-Free Reinforcement Learning

- Can we learn $\pi^{*}$ without explicitly learning $P$ and $R$ ?
- Q Learning
- Can we extend Q Iteration to the setting where $P$ and $R$ are unknown?
- Observation: Every time you take action $a$ from state $s$, you obtain one sample $s^{\prime} \sim P(\cdot \mid s, a)$ and observe $R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)$
- Use single sample instead of full $P$


## Q Learning

- Can we learn $\pi^{*}$ without explicitly learning $P$ and $R$ ?

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \sum_{s^{\prime} \in S} P\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

## Q Learning

- Can we learn $\pi^{*}$ without explicitly learning $P$ and $R$ ?

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow \mathbb{E}_{s^{\prime} \sim P(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

## Q Learning

- Q Learning update:

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)
$$

- Q Iteration: Update for all ( $s, a, s^{\prime}$ ) at each step
- Q Learning: Update just for current ( $s, a$ ), and approximate with the state $s^{\prime}$ we actually reached (i.e., a single sample $s^{\prime} \sim P(\cdot \mid s, a)$ )


## Q Learning

- Problem: Forget everything we learned before (i.e., $Q_{i}(s, a)$ )
- Solution: Incremental update:

$$
Q_{i+1}(s, a) \leftarrow(1-\alpha) \cdot Q_{i}(s, a)+\alpha \cdot\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{i}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

$$
Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma^{\prime} \max _{a^{\prime}} Q\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)-Q(s, a)\right)
$$

| 3 | $0^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.09 \\ 00.72 .72 \\ 0.09 \end{gathered}$ | +1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} { }^{-0.09} \\ 0 \\ 0^{-0.09} \\ \\ \hline 0.72 \end{gathered}$ | -1 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $00$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ | ${ }_{-0.09} 0^{-0.72}{ }^{-0.09}$ |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Sample $R+\gamma \max Q=$ $0+0.9 \times 0.72=0.648$

New Q =
$0.09+0.1 \times(0.648-0.09)$
$=0.1458$


After 100,000 actions: $\quad Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a)+\alpha\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \max _{a^{\prime}} Q\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)-Q(s, a)\right)$


## Policy for Gathering Data

- Strategy 1: Randomly explore all ( $s, a$ ) pairs
- Not obvious how to do so!
- E.g., if we act randomly, it may take a very long time to explore states that are difficult to reach
- Strategy 2: Use current best policy
- Can get stuck in local minima
- E.g., we may never discover a shortcut if it sticks to a known route to the goal



## Policy for Gathering Data

- $\epsilon$-greedy:
- Play current best with probability $1-\epsilon$ and randomly with probability $\epsilon$
- Can reduce $\epsilon$ over time
- Works okay, but exploration is undirected
- Visitation counts:
- Maintain a count $N(s, a)$ of number of times we tried action $a$ in state $s$
- Choose $a^{*}=\arg \max _{a \in A}\left\{Q(s, a)+\frac{1}{N(s, a)}\right\}$, i.e., inflate less visited states


## Summary

- Q iteration: Compute optimal Q function when the transitions and rewards are known
- Q learning: Compute optimal Q function when the transitions and rewards are unknown
- Extensions
- Various strategies for exploring the state space during learning
- Next time: Handling large or continuous state spaces


## Curse of Dimensionality

- How large is the state space?
- Gridworld: One for each of the $n$ cells
- Pacman: State is (player, ghost $_{1}, \ldots$, ghost $_{k}$ ), so there are $n^{k}$ states!
- Problem: Learning in one state does not tell us anything about the other states!
- Many states $\rightarrow$ learn very slowly



## State-Action Features

- Can we learn across state-action pairs?
- Yes, use features!
- $\phi(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- Then, learn to predict $Q^{*}(s, a) \approx Q_{\theta}(s, a)=f_{\theta}(\phi(s, a))$
- Enables generalization to similar states


## Neural Network $Q$ Function

- Examples: Distance to closest ghost, distance to closest dot, etc.
- Can also use neural networks to learn features (e.g., represent Pacman game state as an image and feed to CNN)!



## Deep Q Learning

- Learning: Gradient descent with the squared Bellman error loss:

$$
(\underbrace{\left(R\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime}} Q_{\theta}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right.}_{\text {"Label" } y})-Q_{\theta}(s, a))^{2}
$$

## Deep Q Learning

- Iteratively perform the following:
- Take an action $a_{i}$ and observe ( $s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}, r_{i}$ )
- $y_{i} \leftarrow r_{i}+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i+1}, a^{\prime}\right)$
- $\phi \leftarrow \phi-\alpha \cdot \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i}, a_{i}\right)-y_{i}\right)^{2}$
- Note: Pretend like $y_{i}$ is constant when taking the gradient
- For finite state setting, recover incremental update if the "parameters" are the Q values for each state-action pair


## Experience Replay Buffer

- Problem
- Sequences of states are highly correlated
- Tend to overfit to current states and forget older states
- Solution
- Keep a replay buffer of observations (as a priority queue)
- Gradient updates on samples from replay buffer instead of current state

Replay Buffer
$\left\langle s_{1}, a_{1}, r_{1}, s_{2}\right\rangle$
$\left\langle s_{2}, a_{2}, r_{2}, s_{3}\right\rangle$
$\left\langle s_{j}, a_{j}, r_{j}, s_{j+1}\right\rangle$
Priority Queue

- Advantages
- Breaks correlations between consecutive samples
- Can take multiple gradient steps on each observation


## Deep Q Learning with Replay Buffer

- Iteratively perform the following:
- Take an action $a_{i}$ and add observation ( $s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}, r_{i}$ ) to replay buffer $D$
- For $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$ :
- Sample $\left(s_{i, k}, a_{i, k}, s_{i+1, k}, r_{i, k}\right)$ from $D$
- $y_{i, k} \leftarrow r_{i, k}+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i+1, k}, a^{\prime}\right)$
- $\phi \leftarrow \phi-\alpha \cdot \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i, k}, a_{i, k}\right)-y_{i, k}\right)^{2}$



## Target Q Network

- Problem
- Q network occurs in the label $y_{i}$ !
- $\phi \leftarrow \phi-\alpha \cdot \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i}, a_{i}\right)-r_{i}+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i+1}, a^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}$
- Thus, labels change as Q network changes
- Solution
- Use a separate target $\mathbf{Q}$ network for the occurrence in $y_{i}$
- Only update target network occasionally
- $\phi \leftarrow \phi-\alpha \cdot \frac{d}{d \theta}(\underbrace{Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i}, a_{i}\right)}-r_{i}+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} \underbrace{Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(s_{i+1}, a^{\prime}\right)})^{2}$


## Deep Q Learning with Target Q Network

- Iteratively perform the following:
- Take an action $a_{i}$ and add observation ( $s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}, r_{i}$ ) to replay buffer $D$
- For $k \in\{1, \ldots, K\}$ :
- Sample ( $\left.s_{i, k}, a_{i, k}, s_{i+1, k}, r_{i, k}\right)$ from $D$
- $y_{i, k} \leftarrow r_{i, k}+\gamma \cdot \max _{a^{\prime} \in A} Q_{\theta^{\prime}}\left(s_{i+1, k}, a^{\prime}\right)$
- $\phi \leftarrow \phi-\alpha \cdot \frac{d}{d \theta}\left(Q_{\theta}\left(s_{i, k}, a_{i, k}\right)-y_{i, k}\right)^{2}$
- Every $N$ steps, $\theta^{\prime} \leftarrow \theta$


## Deep Q Learning for Atari Games



