Announcements

- Homework 3 due in one week
- Quiz due **tomorrow**
- List of project datasets to be released today
 - Computer vision: Object classification/detection
 - NLP: Sentiment analysis
 - **Tabular:** Time series forecasting, recommendation systems

Lecture 10: Learning Ensembles

CIS 4190/5190 Spring 2023

Ensemble Design Decisions

- How to learn the base models?
- How to combine the learned base models?

Ensemble Design Decisions

- How to learn the base models?
- How to combine the learned base models?

- **Regression:** Average predictions $F(x) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i(x)$
 - Works well if the base models have similar performance



- Classification: Majority vote $F(x) = 1\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_i(x) \ge \frac{k}{2}\right)$ (for binary)
 - Can also average probabilities for classification



• Can use weighted average:

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_i \cdot f_i(x)$$

- Can fit weights using linear regression on second training set
- More generally, can fit a second layer model

$$F(x) = g_{\beta}(f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$$

• Second model as "mixture of experts":

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} g(x)_i \cdot f_i(x)$$

• Second stage model predicts weights over "experts" $f_i(x)$

- Second model as "mixture of experts":
 - Special case: g(x) is one-hot
 - Advantage: Only need to run g(x) and $f_{g(x)}(x)$



Ensemble Design Decisions

- How to learn the base models?
- How to combine the learned base models?

Ensemble Design Decisions

- How to learn the base models?
- How to combine the learned base models?

- Successful ensembles require diversity
 - Different model families
 - Different training data
 - Different features
 - Different hyperparameters
- Intuition: Models should make independent mistakes

• Intuition: Models should make independent mistakes



• Intuition: Models should make independent mistakes



- Ensemble can be built from different learning algorithms
 - **Example:** Decision tree, logistic regression, kNN, ...
- What if we want an ensemble of decision trees?
 - Issue: Decision tree learning algorithm is deterministic
 - **Solution:** Randomize the learning algorithm (may sacrifice performance)!
- Randomize decisions inside learning algorithm
 - Example: Randomize splits weighted (somehow) by information gain
 - Issue: Very specific to the algorithm
 - **Solution:** Randomize input to learning algorithm (i.e., training data)!

Randomizing Learning Algorithms

- **Bagging:** Randomize training data ("Boostrap Aggregating")
 - Random examples: Subsample examples $\{(x, y)\}$ (obtain $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n' \times d}$) Random features: Subsample features x_j (obtain $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d'}$)
- Meta-strategy that can build ensembles from arbitrary base learners
- Can be thought of as a form of regularization

Bootstrap

• Subsample examples $\{(x, y)\}$ with replacement (obtain $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$)

• Excludes
$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$
 of the training examples

• Separately in each of the replicates

• As
$$n \to \infty$$
, excludes $\to \frac{1}{e} \approx 36.8\%$ examples

• Has good statistical properties

Randomizing Learning Algorithms



Ensemble Learning

- Step 1: Create bootstrap replicates of the original training dataset
- Step 2: Train a classifier for each replicate
- Step 3 (Optional): Use held-out validation set to weight models
 - Can just use average predictions

Ensemble Learning



Random Forests

- Ensemble of decision trees using bagging
 - Typically use simple average (over probabilities for classification)

• Intuition:

- Large decision trees are good nonlinear models, but high variance
- Random forests average over many decision trees to reduce variance without increasing bias

Random Forests

- **Tweak 1:** Randomize features in learning algorithm instead of bagging
 - At DT node splitting step, subsample $\approx \sqrt{d}$ features
 - Allows each tree to use all features, but not at every node
 - Aside: If a few features are highly predictive, then they will be selected in many trees, causing the base models to be highly correlated
- Tweak 2: Train unpruned decision trees
 - Ensures base models have higher capacity
 - Intuition: Skipping pruning increases variance

Bias Variance Tradeoff for Random Forests

- Naïvely, skipping pruning yields high variance
- Introduce randomness to average away "excess" variance
 - Without randomness, all models in the random forest would be the same (large) decision tree, so the random forest would still have very large variance
- Randomness should ideally make base models more independent

AdaBoost (Freund & Schapire 1997)

- Like bagging, meta-algorithm that turns base models into ensemble
 Provably learns for base models achieving any error rate > 0.5
- Uses **different training example weights** (instead of different subsamples or different features) to introduce diversity
 - In particular, **upweights** currently incorrectly predicted examples
- Base models should satisfy the following:
 - High-bias/low-capacity (e.g., depth-limited decision trees, linear classifiers)
 - Able to incorporate sample weights during learning
 - Specific to classification (discuss general losses later)

AdaBoost (Freund & Schapire 1997)

• Input

- Training dataset Z
- Learning algorithm Train(Z, w) that can handle weights w
- Hyperparameter T indicating number of models to train

• Output

• Ensemble of models $F(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \beta_t \cdot f_t(x)$

Aside: Learning with Weighted Examples

- Many algorithms can directly incorporate weights into the loss
- For maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\ell(\beta; \mathbf{Z}, w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \cdot \log p_\beta(\mathbf{y}_i \mid \mathbf{x}_i)$$

• Alternatively, can subsample the data proportional to weights w_i

AdaBoost

$$ize represents weight w_i$$

$$(1) \quad w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

$$(2) \quad \text{for } t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$$

$$(3) \quad f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$$

$$(4) \quad \epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$$

$$(5) \quad \beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$$

$$(6) \quad w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$$

$$(7) \quad \text{return } F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



AdaBoost
1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$

$$t = 1$$





1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. **for** $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. **return** $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$
Use convention $y_i \in \{-1, +1\}$
If correct $(y_i = f_t(x_i))$ then multiply by $e^{-\beta_t}$
If incorrect $(y_i \neq f_t(x_i))$ then multiply by e^{β_t}



1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 1$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 2$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 2$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. **for** $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. **return** $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 2$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 3$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 3$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



$$t = 3$$

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$



Under certain assumptions, training error \square goes to zero in $O(\log n)$ iterations

1.
$$w_1 \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) (w_{1,i} \text{ weight for } (x_i, y_i))$$

2. for $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$
3. $f_t \leftarrow \text{Train}(Z, w_t)$
4. $\epsilon_t \leftarrow \text{Error}(f_t, Z, w_t)$
5. $\beta_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}$
6. $w_{t+1,i} \propto w_{t,i} \cdot e^{-\beta_t \cdot y_i \cdot f_t(x_i)} \text{ (for all } i)$
7. return $F(x) = \text{sign}(\sum_{t=1}^T \beta_t \cdot f_t(x))$
final model is average of base models

weighted by their performance

AdaBoost Weighting Strategy

- On each iteration:
 - Misclassified examples are upweighted
 - Correctly classified are downweighted
- If an example is repeatedly misclassified, it will eventually be upweighted so much that it is correctly classified
- Emphasizes "hardest" parts of the input space
 - Instances with highest weight are often outliers

AdaBoost and Overfitting

- Basic ML theory predicts AdaBoost always overfits as $T \rightarrow \infty$
 - Hypothesis keeps growing more complex!
 - In practice, AdaBoost often does not overfit



AdaBoost Summary

• Strengths:

- Fast and simple to implement
- No hyperparameters (except for *T*)
- Very few assumptions on base models

• Weaknesses:

- Can be susceptible to noise/outliers when there is insufficient data
- No way to parallelize
- Small gains over complex base models
- Specific to classification!

- Both algorithms: new model = old model + update
- Gradient Descent:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \alpha \cdot \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta_t; Z)$$

• Boosting:

$$F_{t+1}(x) = F_t(x) + \beta_{t+1} \cdot f_{t+1}(x)$$

• Here, $F_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^t \beta_i \cdot f_i(x)$

• Assuming $\beta_t = 1$ for all t, then:

 $F_t(x_i) + f_{t+1}(x_i) = F_{t+1}(x_i)$

• Assuming $\beta_t = 1$ for all t, then:

$$F_t(x_i) + f_{t+1}(x_i) = F_{t+1}(x_i) \approx y_i$$

• Rewriting this equation, we have

$$f_{t+1}(x_i) = F_{t+1}(x_i) - F_t(x_i) \approx y_i - F_t(x_i)$$

"residuals", i.e., error of the current model

• In other words, at each step, boosting is training the next model f_{t+1} to approximate the residual:

$$f_{t+1}(x_i) \approx \underbrace{y_i - F_t(x_i)}_{}$$

"residuals", i.e., error of the current model

- Idea: Train f_{t+1} directly to predict residuals $y_i F_t(x_i)$
- This strategy works for regression as well!

- Algorithm: For each $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$:
 - Step 1: Train f_{t+1} using dataset

$$Z_{t+1} = \{ (x_i, y_i - F_t(x_i)) \}_{i=1}^n$$

• Step 2: Take

$$F_{t+1}(x) = F_t(x) + f_{t+1}(x)$$

• Return the final model F_T

Consider losses of the form

$$L(F;Z) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{L}(F(x_i); y_i)$$

- In other words, sum of individual label-level losses $\tilde{L}(\hat{y}; y)$ of a prediction $\hat{y} = F(x)$ if the ground truth label is y
- For example, $\tilde{L}(\hat{y}; y) = \frac{1}{2}(y y)^2$ yields the MSE loss

• Residuals are the gradient of the squared error $\tilde{L}(y, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2}(y - \hat{y})^2$:

$$-\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \hat{y}}(F_t(x_i); y_i) = y_i - F_t(x_i) = \text{residual}_i$$

• For general \tilde{L} , instead of $\{(x_i, y_i - F_t(x_i))\}_{i=1}^n$ we can train f_{t+1} on

$$Z_{t+1} = \left\{ \left(x_i, -\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \hat{y}} \left(F_t(x_i); y_i \right) \right) \right\}_{i=1}^n$$

• Algorithm: For each $t \in \{1, \dots, T\}$:

• Step 1: Train f_{t+1} using dataset

$$Z_{t+1} = \{ (x_i, y_i - F_t(x_i)) \}_{i=1}^n$$

• Step 2: Take

$$F_{t+1}(x) = F_t(x) + f_{t+1}(x)$$

• Return the final model F_T

- Algorithm: For each $t \in \{1, ..., T\}$:
 - Step 1: Train f_{t+1} using dataset

$$Z_{t+1} = \left\{ \left(x_i, -\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \hat{y}} \left(F_t(x_i); y_i \right) \right) \right\}_{i=1}^n$$

• Step 2: Take

$$F_{t+1}(x) = F_t(x) + f_{t+1}(x)$$

• Return the final model F_T

- Casts ensemble learning in the loss minimization framework
 - Model family: Sum of base models $F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x)$
 - Loss: Any differentiable loss expressed as

$$L(F; \mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}(F(\mathbf{x}_i), \mathbf{y}_i)$$

• Gradient boosting is a general paradigm for training ensembles with specialized losses (e.g., most NLL losses)

Gradient Boosting in Practice

- Gradient boosting with depth-limited decision trees (e.g., depth 3) is one of the most powerful off-the-shelf classifiers available
 - Caveat: Inherits decision tree hyperparameters
- XGBoost is a very efficient implementation suitable for production use
 - A popular library for gradient boosted decision trees
 - Optimized for computational efficiency of training and testing
 - Used in many competition winning entries, across many domains
 - <u>https://xgboost.readthedocs.io</u>