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## Ask Jeeves - A Q/A, IR ex.

## What do you call a successful movie? Blockbuster

- Tips on Being a Successful Movie Vampire ... I shall call the police.
- Successful Casting Call \& Shoot for "`Clash of Empires" ... thank everyone for their participation in the making of yesterday's movie.
- Demme's casting is also highly entertaining, although I wouldn't go so far as to call it successful. This movie's resemblance to its predecessor is pretty vague...
- VHS Movies: Successful Cold Call Selling: Over 100 New Ideas, Scripts, and Examples from the Nation's Foremost Sales Trainer.


## Ask Jeeves - filtering w/ POS tag Penn

## What do you call a successful movie?

- Tips on Being a Successful Movie Vampire ... I shall call the police.
- 



- Demme's casting is also highly entertaining, although I wouldn't go so far as to call it successful. This movie's resemblance to its predecessor is pretty vague...


## -



## Filtering out "call the police"

## Different senses,

- different syntax,
- different participants
call(you,movie,what) $\neq$ call(you,police)



## Syntactic Structure

- Syntactic categories and parsers
- Structural ambiguities in sentence interpretation
- Features
- Machine Translation


## Natural Language Processing

- Syntax
$>$ Grammars, parsers, parse trees, dependency structures
- Semantics
$>$ Subcategorization frames, semantic classes, ontologies, formal semantics
- Pragmatics
>Pronouns, reference resolution, discourse models


## Syntactic Categories

- Nouns, pronouns, Proper nouns
- Verbs, intransitive verbs, transitive verbs, ditransitive verbs (subcategorization frames)
- Modifiers, Adjectives, Adverbs
- Prepositions
- Conjunctions


## Syntactic Parsing

- The cat sat on the mat.

Det Noun Verb Prep Det Noun

- Time flies like an arrow.

Noun Verb Prep Det Noun

- Fruit flies like a banana.

Noun Noun Verb Det Noun

## Parses



## Parses

Time flies like an arrow.


## Parses


noun(cat).
noun(mat).
det(the).
$\operatorname{det}(a)$.
verb(sat).
prep(on).
noun(flies).
noun(time).
noun(arrow).
$\operatorname{det}(a n)$.
verb(flies).
verb(time).
prep(like).

## Lexicon with Roots

noun(cat,cat).
noun(mat,mat).
det(the,the)
$\operatorname{det}(a, a)$.
verb(sat,sit).
prep(on,on).
noun(flies,fly).
noun(time,time).
noun(arrow,arrow).
$\operatorname{det}(a n, a n)$.
verb(flies,fly).
verb(time,time).
prep(like,like).

## Parses

The old can can hold the water.


## Lexicon

Noun(can,can)
Noun(cans,can)
Noun(water,water)
Noun(hold,hold)
Noun(holds,hold)
Det(the,the)

Verb(hold,hold)
Verb(holds,hold)
Aux(can,can)
Adj(old,old)

## Simple Context Free Grammar in BNF notation

| S | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| NP VP |  |
| NP | $\rightarrow$ Pronoun \| Noun | Det Adj Noun |NP PP |
| PP | $\rightarrow$ Prep NP |
| V | $\rightarrow$ Verb \| Aux Verb |
| VP | $\rightarrow$ V \|VNP | V NP NP | V NP PP | VP PP |

## Top-down parse in progress

[The, old, can, can, hold, the, water]

## $S \rightarrow N P$ VP

$N P \rightarrow N P ?$
NP $\rightarrow$ Pronoun?
Pronoun? fail
NP $\rightarrow$ Noun?
Noun? fail
NP $\rightarrow$ Det Adj Noun?
Det? the
ADJ?old
Noun? Can
Succeed.
Succeed.
VP?

## Top-down parse in progress

 [can, hold, the, water]$\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{VP}$ ?
$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ Verb?
Verb? fail
$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ Aux Verb?
Aux? can
Verb? hold
succeed
succeed
fail [the, water]

## Top-down parse in progress

 [can, hold, the, water]VP $\rightarrow$ VP NP<br>$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ Verb?<br>Verb? fail<br>$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ Aux Verb?<br>Aux? can<br>Verb? hold<br>NP $\rightarrow$ Pronoun?<br>Pronoun? fail<br>NP $\rightarrow$ Noun?<br>Noun? fail<br>$N P \rightarrow$ Det Adj Noun?<br>Det? the<br>ADJ? fail

Noun(can,can)
Noun(cans,can)
Noun(water,water)
Noun(hold,hold)
Noun(holds,hold)

Verb(hold,hold)
Verb(holds,hold)
Aux(can,can)
Adj(old,old)
Adj( , )

Det(the,the)

## Top-down parse in progress

 [can, hold, the, water]
## $\mathrm{VP} \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$ NP?

$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ Verb?
Verb? fail
$\mathrm{V} \rightarrow$ Aux Verb?
Aux? can
Verb? hold
NP $\rightarrow$ Pronoun?
Pronoun? fail
NP $\rightarrow$ Noun?
Noun? fail
NP $\rightarrow$ Det Adj Noun?
Det? the
ADJ?
Noun? water
SUCCEED
SUCCEED

Noun(can,can)
Noun(cans,can)
Noun(water,water)
Noun(hold,hold)
Noun(holds,hold)

Verb(hold,hold)
Verb(holds,hold)
Aux(can,can)
Adj(old,old)
Adj( , )

Det(the,the)
Noun(old,old)

## Syntactic Structure

- Syntactic categories and parsers
- Structural ambiguities in sentence interpretation
- Features
- Machine Translation


## Structural ambiguities

- That factory can can tuna.
- That factory cans cans of tuna and salmon.
- Have the students in cse91 finish the exam in 212.
- Have the students in cse91 finished the exam in 212?


## Top-down approach

- Start with goal of sentence
$S \rightarrow$ NP VP
$S \rightarrow$ Wh-word Aux NP VP
- Will try to find an NP 4 different ways before trying a parse where the verb comes first.
- What does this remind you of?
>search
- What would be better?


## Bottom-up approach

- Start with words in sentence.
- What structures do they correspond to?
- Once a structure is built, keep on a CHART.


## Bottom-up parse in progress


det adj noun aux verb det noun.
The old can can hold the water. det noun aux/verb noun/verb noun det noun.

## Bottom-up parse in progress


det adj noun aux verb det noun.
The old can can hold the water. det noun aux/verb noun/verb noun det noun.

## Bottom-up parse in progress


det adj noun aux verb det noun.
The old can can hold the water. det noun aux/verb noun/verb noun det noun.

## Top-down vs. Bottom-up

- Helps with POS ambiguities - only consider relevant POS
- Rebuilds the same structure repeatedly
- Spends a lot of time on impossible parses
- Has to consider every POS
- Builds each structure once
- Spends a lot of time on useless structures

What would be better?

## Hybrid approach

- Top-down with a chart
- Use look ahead and heuristics to pick most likely sentence type
- Use probabilities for pos tagging, pp attachments, etc.


## Headlines

- Police Begin Campaign To Run Down Jaywalkers
- Iraqi Head Seeks Arms
- Teacher Strikes Idle Kids
- Miners Refuse To Work After Death
- Juvenile Court To Try Shooting Defendant


## Syntactic Structure

- Syntactic categories and parsers
- Structural ambiguities in sentence interpretation
- Features
- Machine Translation


## Pronouns - Case

- She gave the book to her.
- She - subjective
- Her - objective
subjpronoun(she). objpronoun(her).


## Features

- C for Case, Subjective/Objective
> She visited her.
- P for Person agreement, ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}\right)$
> I like him, You like him, He likes him,
- $N$ for Number agreement, Subject/Verb
>He likes him, They like him.
- G for Gender agreement, Subject/Verb
> English, reflexive pronouns He washed himself.
$>$ Romance languages, det/noun
- T for Tense,
$>$ auxiliaries, sentential complements, etc.
$>$ * will finished is bad


## Example Lexicon Entries

Using Features:
Case, Number, Gender, Person
pronoun(subj, sing, fem, third, she, she).
pronoun(obj, sing, fem, third, her, her).
pronoun(obj, Num, Gender, second, you, you). pronoun(subj, sing, Gender, first, I, I).
noun(Case, plural, Gender, third, flies,fly).

## Machine Translation

- One of the first applications for computers
$>$ bilingual dictionary > word-word translation
- Good translation requires understanding!
$>$ War and Peace, The Sound and The Fury?
- What can we do? Sublanguages.
$>$ technical domains, static vocabulary
$>$ Meteo in Canada, Caterpillar Tractor Manuals, Botanical descriptions, Military Messages


## Example translation

## Word Order and Scrambling

| source | 추가 공급불을 103 전위지원대대에게 사령부가 주었다. <br>  crew-Tal.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Glosscr | additional supply $\mathrm{y}_{2} 103 \mathrm{FSB}_{1}$ headquartcr $\mathrm{rag}_{0}$ gave |
| OTS MT systero | Additional supply ${ }_{2} 103 \mathrm{FSB}_{1}$ headquarters ${ }^{\text {which you bite gave. }}$ |
| targct | Headquartcrs 0 gavc 103rd $\mathrm{FSB}_{1}$ additional supplics ${ }_{2}$. |
| Pani/CGT | Headquartcrs $0_{0}$ gave an additional supply $y_{2}$ to a 103 forward support battalion. |

Translation Issues:

- Word order
- Dropped arguments
- Lexical ambiguities
- Structure vs morphology
KO :
F6mbly
Eenfangeulo

EW: The unit went
to the thot line


## Common Thread

- Predicate-argument structure
$>$ Basic constituents of the sentence and how they are related to each other
- Constituents
$>$ John, Mary, the dog, pleasure, the store.
- Relations
>Loves, feeds, go, to, bring


## Abstracting away from surface structure



EN: The unit went lothe thont line

## Transfer lexicons - SMT?



# Machine Translation Lexical ChoiceWord Sense Disambiguation 

Iraq lost the battle.
Ilakuka centwey ciessta.
[Iraq] [battle] [lost].
John lost his computer.
John-i computer-lul ilepelyessta. [John] [computer] [misplaced].
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## Cornerstone: English lexical resource Penn

- That provides sets of possible syntactic frames for verbs.
- And provides clear, replicable sense distinctions.

AskJeeves: Who do you call for a good electronic lexical database for English?

On-line lexical reference (dictionary)

- Nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs grouped into synonym sets
- Other relations include hypernyms (ISA), antonyms, meronyms
- Typical top nodes - 5 out of 25
$>$ (act, action, activity)
> (animal, fauna)
$>$ (artifact)
$>$ (attribute, property)
> (body, corpus)


## WordNet - call, 28 senses

1. name, call -- (assign a specified, proper name to; "They named their son David"; ...) -> LABEL
2. call, telephone, call up, phone, ring -- (get or try to get into communication (with someone) by telephone;
"I tried to call you all night"; ...)

## ->TELECOMMUNICATE

3. call -- (ascribe a quality to or give a name of a common noun that reflects a quality;
"He called me a bastard"; ...)
-> LABEL
4. call, send for -- (order, request, or command to come; "She was called into the director's office"; "Call the police!") -> ORDER

## WordNet - Princeton (Miller 1985, Fellbaum 1998)

- Limitations as a computational lexicon
$>$ Contains little syntactic information
- Comlex has syntax but no sense distinctions
$>$ No explicit lists of participants
$>$ Sense distinctions very fine-grained,
$>$ Definitions often vague
- Causes problems with creating training data for supervised Machine Learning - SENSEVAL2
- Verbs > 16 senses (including call)
- Inter-annotator Agreement ITA 73\%,
- Automatic Word Sense Disambiguation, WSD 60.2\%


## WordNet: - call, 28 senses

WN2 , WN13,WN28 WN15 WN26

WN3 WN19 WN4 WN 7 WN8 WN9
WN1 WN22
WN20 WN25
WN18 WN27
WN5 WN 16
WN6 WN23
WN12
WN17, WN 11 WN10, WN14, WN21, WN24

## WordNet: - call, 28 senses, Senseval2 groups (engineering!)




WN18 WN27


WN17, WN 11


WN4 WN 7 WN8 WN9 Request

## WN20 WN25

Call a loan/bond

## WN6 WN23

Visit

WN10, WN14, WN21, WN24,
Bid

## Grouping improved scores: ITA 82\%, MaxEnt WSD 69\%

- Call: $31 \%$ of errors due to confusion between senses within same group 1:
> name, call -- (assign a specified, proper name to; They named their son David)
$>$ call -- (ascribe a quality to or give a name of a common noun that reflects a quality; He called me a bastard)
$>$ call -- (consider or regard as being;I would not call her beautiful)
$>75 \%$ with training and testing on grouped senses vs.
$>43 \%$ with training and testing on fine-grained senses
Palmer, Dang, Fellbaum,, submitted, NLE

