Hydrodynamic Interaction Between a Platelet and an Erythrocyte: Effect of Erythrocyte Deformability, Dynamics, and Wall Proximity

We present three-dimensional numerical simulations of hydrodynamic interaction between a red blood cell (RBC) and a platelet in a wall-bounded shear flow. The dynamics and large deformation of the RBC are fully resolved in the simulations using a front-tracking method. The objective is to quantify the influence of tank treading and tumbling dynamics of the RBC, and the presence of a bounding wall on the deflection of platelet trajectories. We observe two types of interaction: A crossing event in which the platelet comes in close proximity to the RBC, rolls over it, and continues to move in the same direction; and a turning event in which the platelet turns away before coming close to the RBC. The crossing events occur when the initial lateral separation between the cells is above a critical separation, and the turning events occur when it is below the critical separation. The critical lateral separation is found to be higher during the tumbling motion than that during the tank treading. When the RBC is flowing closer to the wall than the platelet, the critical separation increases by several fold, implying the turning events have higher probability to occur than the crossing events. On the contrary, if the platelet is flowing closer to the wall than the RBC, the critical separation decreases by several folds, implying the crossing events are likely to occur. Based on the numerical results, we propose a mechanism of continual platelet drift from the RBC-rich region of the vessel towards the wall by a succession of turning and crossing events. The trajectory deflection in the crossing events is found to depend nonmonotonically on the initial lateral separation, unlike the monotonic trend observed in tracer particle deflection and in deformable sphere-sphere collision. This nonmonotonic trend is shown to be a consequence of the deformation of the RBC caused by the platelet upon collision. An estimation of the platelet diffusion coefficient yields values that are similar to those reported in experiments and computer simulations with multicellular suspension. [DOI: 10.1115/1.4023522]

1 Introduction

Blood is a multiphase fluid composed of red blood cells (RBC), white blood cells, and platelets suspended in plasma. Platelets play a critical physiological role in the formation of hemostatic plug at vascular walls, and in the growth of thrombosis under pathological conditions [1–3]. Experiments with whole blood as well as ghost cell suspension showed an elevated platelet concentration near the wall [4–11]. This phenomenon of platelet margination (also known as lateral drift) serves to enhance hemostatic or thrombotic events as it increases the number of platelets available for capture near the wall. Margination of the platelets toward the vascular wall is critically dependent on their interaction with the red blood cells which has been illustrated in several experiments [12–16]. These studies have demonstrated that the near-wall excess was absent in platelet-rich plasma and in suspension of hardened red blood cells [17,18], and only occurred when the hematocrit was above a threshold level beyond which the accumulation was observed to increase with increasing hematocrit [10]. Several possible mechanisms are thought to underlie the margination process. The first is the volume exclusion process whereby the platelets are pushed towards the wall by a lateral motion of the RBC towards the center of the vessel [6,9]. The lateral migration of the RBC in a shear flow arises due to its deformability [19]. The second mechanism is the spatially dependent collision rate [5,20]. In a shearing flow, the continuous collision between the RBC and platelets results in significantly higher shear-induced diffusion of the platelets than the Brownian diffusion [21–23]. Since the RBC migration causes a concentration gradient, a net flux of platelets occurs from a region of higher collision rate to a region of lower collision rate [20]. Also, the RBC is stERICALLY excluded from the plasma layer near the wall. If platelets entering the plasma layer have difficulty crossing back into the bulk flow, an accumulation of platelets can occur.

The above mechanisms are, however, not complete as they do not consider certain details of the suspension. For example, the volume exclusion model is incompatible with the fact that the RBC migration occurs faster than the platelet margination [20]. The collision model is also incomplete since the RBC concentration gradient occurs over a small length [24]. Also neglected in the models are the effect of the finite size of RBC and platelet as noted in [25,26], the differences in their shapes, and the different dynamical behavior of the RBC, namely, the tank-treading and tumbling, observed under different shear rates [27–31]. It is also ambiguous which of these mechanisms prevail under specific conditions of hematocrit, shear rate, or shear rate gradients. Identification of these mechanisms through experimental observation in a dense multicompartment suspension is a very difficult task. High-fidelity computer simulations which model blood as a suspension...
methods and its validation are presented in prior publications [42–44]. The RBC/platelet pair is suspended in a linear shear flow between two parallel plates that are 35 μm apart (Fig. 1). The flow domain is a rectangular box that is periodic in x and z directions, x being the flow direction, y is the direction of the velocity gradient, and z is the direction of the vorticity. The box lengths in the x and z directions are 35 and 18 μm, respectively. The no-slip condition is applied on the top and bottom plates. The initial location of the RBC and platelet centers-of-mass with respect to the bottom wall are denoted by $Y_C$ and $Y_{PLT}$, respectively, and the difference $Y_{PLT} - Y_C$ is denoted by $D_{Y}$. Erythrocytes are modeled as liquid-filled elastic capsules of biconcave resting shapes of end-to-end distance of 7.8 μm, surface area 134.1 μm² and volume 94.1 μm³, respectively. The biconcave shape is prescribed as:

$$x = R \cos \theta, \quad y = R \sqrt{1 - r^2 (C_0 + C_2 r^2 + C_4 r^4)}, \quad z = R \cos \phi$$

where $r^2 + \zeta^2 = r^2$ and R is adjusted to control the cell volume, and the coefficients $C_0$, $C_2$, and $C_4$ are taken to be 0.207, 2.003, and −1.123, respectively [45]. The platelets are modeled as nearly rigid oblate capsules of 3.6 μm end-to-end distance and 1.4 μm thickness [45]. The interior and suspending fluids are assumed to be incompressible and Newtonian. The cell membrane is represented as a zero-thickness elastic membrane, and assumed to possess the resistance against shear deformation, area dilation, and bending. The first two types of deformation are modeled using a strain energy function

$$W = \frac{B'}{4} \left( I_1 - 1 \right) + \frac{C}{8} I_2$$

where $B'$ and $C$ are physical constants, and $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the strain invariants defined as $I_1 = \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 - 2$, and $I_2 = \epsilon_1^2 \epsilon_2^2 - 1$, where $\epsilon_1$ and $\epsilon_2$ are the principal stretch ratios [46]. The constants are related to the membrane shear elastic modulus $G_s$ and the area.

![Fig. 1](http://biomechanical.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/) Schematic of the computation geometry. (a) Discretization of the cell surface. The actual number of triangles (20,480) is much higher than what is shown. The results will be plotted relative to the RBC center-of-mass.
dilatation modulus $K_s$ as $B' = 2G_s$, $C' = 2G_s$, and $K_s = 2G_s(1 + C)$ for small deformation. The area dilatation is restricted by a large value of $C$ as in case of a red blood cell for which the surface is nearly area incompressible. The corresponding elastic force in the membrane is obtained as:

$$\mathbf{f}_e = -\partial W / \partial \mathbf{n}$$ (3)

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the displacement of a Lagrangian point on the cell surface. The bending resistance is modeled following Helfrich's formulation of the surface force density

$$\mathbf{f}_b = E_b \left( 2(k + c_o)(2k^2 - 2k + c_o) \right) + 2\Delta \mathbf{ab} \mathbf{n}$$ (4)

where $E_b$ is the bending modulus associated with the mean curvature $k$, $k_o$ is the Gaussian curvature, $\mathbf{n}$ is the surface normal, $c_o$ is the spontaneous curvature, and $\Delta ab$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator [47,48]. The fluid motion is governed by the continuity and Navier-Stokes equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$ (5)

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \left( \mu \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \right) \right)$$ (6)

The membrane forces are coupled to the bulk flow by adding a source term

$$\mathbf{F} = \int_S \left( \mathbf{f}_e + \mathbf{f}_b \right) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}'$$ (7)

to the right-hand side of (6), where $\delta$ is the three-dimensional Dirac-delta function, and $\mathbf{x}'$ is a Lagrangian point on the cell surface [40,41]. The cells are advected by advecting the marker points on the membrane as $\mathbf{dx}'/dt = \mathbf{u}_m$ where the membrane velocity $\mathbf{u}_m$ is obtained by interpolating the local fluid velocity $\mathbf{u}$ using the delta function. The Navier-Stokes equations are solved on a fixed rectangular grid employing 225, 224, and 112 mesh points in the $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions, respectively. A combined second-order finite difference scheme and a Fourier transform are used for the spatial discretization, and a second-order time-split scheme is used for the temporal discretization of the Navier-Stokes equations. The erythrocyte and platelet surfaces are discretized using 20,480 triangular elements (Fig. 1). The elastic force $\mathbf{f}_e$ is computed from (2) and (3) using a finite element method [49]. The curvatures are calculated by fitting a quadratic surface locally on the capsule surface and using a least-square method to find the coefficients. Further details on the methodology can be found in [42–44].

In the simulations, the governing equations are solved in dimensionless form. We use the radius of the equivalent sphere $a_e = (3V/4\pi)^{1/3}$ as the length scale, where $V$ is the cell volume, and the inverse shear rate $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$ as the time scale to make the equations dimensionless. The dimensionless time is denoted by $\tau' = t\dot{\gamma}$. The major control parameter in dimensionless form is the capillary number of the erythrocyte defined as $Ca = \rho \mu a_e / B'$, where $\mu_s$ is the dynamic viscosity of the suspending medium. The capillary number represents the ratio of the viscous (fluid) force to the elastic force. We consider two values of $Ca$, 0.03 and 0.7. Considering the mechanical properties of the RBC membrane reported in the literature, $G_s \approx 10^{-3}$ dyn/cm, and $a_e \sim 3 \mu m$, the two values of $Ca$ considered here correspond to shear rates of about 50 and 1000 1/s. For the lower value, the fluid force is weaker than the elastic force. Then the RBC is nearly rigidified and performs the tumbling motion. For the higher value of $Ca$, the elastic force is weaker, and a large deformation of the RBC occurs whereby it loses the initial biconcave shape. In this case, the RBC performs the tank-treading motion. The RBC internal viscosity is assumed to be the same as the suspending medium viscosity $\mu_s$.

It should be noted that for normal RBC suspended in plasma, the internal to external viscosity ratio is about 5. At this high viscosity ratio, a steady tank-treading motion was not experimentally observed even at high capillary numbers. Our recent work on single RBC dynamics using the same numerical methodology used here agreed with this known result [50]. Then, the tank-treading motion at $Ca = 0.7$ is observed in our simulation due to the higher suspending medium viscosity, and zero membrane viscosity. However, tank-treading at higher viscosity ratio can occur in a dense RBC suspension [51,52]. The other relevant parameters are the initial lateral separation (along $y$ direction) of the centers-of-mass of the RBC and platelet, $\Delta r_{p} = r_{PLT} - r_{C}$. Additional geometric parameters are introduced later as needed. The capillary number for the platelet, defined in the similar way as above, is kept fixed at 0.002 so that it behaves as a nearly rigid particle. The dimensionless bending rigidity $E_b = E_b / a_e^2 B'$ is set to 0.01. The global and local surface area dilatation is ensured to be less than 0.5%, as the cell membranes are nearly area incompressible.

The effect of inertia is small as the Reynolds number $Re = \rho v^2 / \mu_s \approx 10^{-2}$. All results are presented relative to the RBC center-of-mass. It may be noted that we neglected the presence of glyocalyx on the vessel wall which may influence the radial migration of the cells near the wall, see, e.g., [53].

3 Results

In the following, the first two sections present the results when the RBC/platelet pair is located away from a wall. For such a configuration, the RBC is initially placed at the centerline of the channel, which is about 18 $\mu m$ from the wall. We have separately verified that the dynamics of RBC does not show any appreciable difference by taking a bigger channel height ($\geq 35 \mu m$) and placing the RBC even further away from the wall. RBC deformation, inclination angle, and dynamics remain nearly unchanged. Furthermore, the wall effect (e.g., wall-induced lateral velocity of a deformable drop) is known to decrease as inverse square of the distance from the wall. Thus, the wall effect is negligible for such a configuration. The effect of wall proximity is considered in the third section.

3.1 Turning and Crossing Trajectories. First we consider the RBC/platelet interaction in presence of a tank-treading RBC ($Ca = 0.7$). Figure 2 shows the sequence of the RBC/platelet interaction obtained from our simulations for such a run. As evident from the figure, during the tank-treading motion the biconcave resting shape of the RBC is lost, and it assumes an elongated oblate shape aligning at an angle with the flow direction, while the cell membrane and the interior hemoglobin make a rotary motion. A marker point is tracked along the RBC membrane to illustrate the tank-treading in the figure. Such a motion is also accompanied by a small amount of time-dependent deformation characterized by periodic stretching and compression of the RBC, and a small angular oscillation, as shown in the figure and also observed elsewhere [29–31]. In comparison, being nearly rigid the platelet undergoes a flipping motion in agreement with Jeffery's theory for rigid ellipsoids [54]. Two different types of interactions, a crossing and a turning, are observed depending on the initial lateral separation $\Delta Y_{p}$ of the platelet center-of-mass relative to the RBC. In a crossing-type interaction (Fig. 2(a)), the platelet comes close to the RBC, rolls over it, and continues to move in the same direction. During the process, the rigid platelet causes some amount of deformation of the relatively flexible RBC as visible in the figure. After the interaction, the lateral separation $\Delta Y_{p}$ is higher than the initial offset $\Delta Y_{p}$. In a suspension of many particles, such increased separation due to binary interaction leads to the shear-induced diffusion. In a turning-type interaction (Fig. 2(b)), the platelet first approaches the RBC, but then reverses its motion and turns away without coming close to the RBC. The turning event illustrates a long-range interaction as it occurs when the platelet is several diameters away from the RBC.
The trajectory of the platelet relative to the tank-treading RBC is shown in Fig. 3 for several runs in which the initial lateral separation \( \Delta Y_o \) is varied. It is observed that the crossing events occur for \( \Delta Y_o \geq 0.7 \, \mu m \), and the turning events occur for \( \Delta Y_o \leq 0.56 \, \mu m \). The results suggest that there is a critical separation \( \Delta Y_{o,crit} \), the turning events occur when \( \Delta Y_o \leq \Delta Y_{o,crit} \), and the crossing events occur when \( \Delta Y_o > \Delta Y_{o,crit} \).

In Figs. 2 and 3, the initial orientation of the RBC and platelet major axis with respect to the flow direction was set to \( \pi/4 \). For nonspherical particles, as is the case, the relative orientation at the time of collision is expected to affect their trajectory. Hence, we explore the effect of the initial relative orientation \( \Delta \theta_o = \theta_{o,RBC} - \theta_{o,PLT} \) between the platelet and RBC major axes, where \( \theta_{o,RBC} \) and \( \theta_{o,PLT} \) are the initial orientation of the RBC and platelet, respectively. It should be mentioned that the tank-treading RBC considered here aligns at a mean angle of approximately 17 deg with the flow direction which is independent of the initial orientation of the RBC at the start of the simulations. In other words, the RBC has a preferred orientation in the tank-treading motion [29–31]. In contrast, the platelet has no preferred orientation due to its flipping motion, although it tends to spend longer in the horizontal orientation [50]. Hence, different values of \( \Delta \theta_o \) were considered. For each \( \Delta \theta_o \), a range of initial separation \( \Delta Y_o \) is considered. For each run, the crossing or turning events are noted. These results are shown in a phase plot in the \( \Delta \theta_o - \Delta Y_o \) plane in Fig. 4(a). The figure demonstrates, a crossing event is observed for all values of \( \Delta \theta_o \) if \( \Delta Y_o > 0.7 \, \mu m \). For \( \Delta Y_o \leq 0.6 \, \mu m \), a turning trajectory is observed regardless of the value of \( \Delta \theta_o \). The value of the critical separation \( \Delta Y_{o,crit} \) is found to lie between 0.6 and 0.7 \( \mu m \) for the tank-treading RBC.

The occurrence of the turning or crossing event is independent of the relative orientation of the RBC/platelet pair, but depends on the initial separation \( \Delta Y_o \). However, the actual trajectory is dependent on \( \Delta \theta_o \). Figures 4(b) and 4(c) show representative platelet trajectories for crossing and turning events, respectively, for different values of \( \Delta \theta_o \). As these figures demonstrate, the exact trajectory is indeed dependent on \( \Delta \theta_o \), but the occurrence of the turning or crossing event is not.

Another important observation in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c) is the wide variability of the platelet trajectory over the range of \( \Delta \theta_o \) for a fixed \( \Delta Y_o \). For the turning trajectories shown in Fig. 4(b) for four different values of \( \Delta \theta_o \), the platelets are deflected in to different regions of the flow. This happens because the tank-treading RBC undergoes a small-amplitude shape and angular oscillation that introduces a weak time dependency of the streamlines. This result is remarkable as it shows how the platelets can be dispersed by the RBC via a long-range interaction. A wide variability of the

---

**Fig. 2** Simulation results showing the sequence of two different types of interactions in presence of a tank-treading RBC. Here Ca = 0.7 corresponding to \( \gamma \approx 1000 \, 1/s \). (a)–(e) Crossing-type interaction. (f)–(j) Turning interaction. Marker points are shown in the cell surface which rotates along the surface indicating a tank-treading motion of the RBC. \( t = 30 \) corresponds to a 30 ms interaction.

**Fig. 3** The trajectory of the platelet center-of-mass relative to a tank-treading RBC. The initial location of the platelet is marked by \( \bigcirc \) here and hereafter. Results from seven simulations are presented with different initial lateral separation \( \Delta Y_o \). The turning trajectory is observed for \( \Delta Y_o \leq 0.56 \, \mu m \), and the crossing trajectory is observed for \( \Delta Y_o \geq 0.7 \, \mu m \).
platelet trajectory can also happen during the crossing events as shown in Fig. 4(c). Here a small change in the initial separation $D_{Yo}$ can lead to a wide variation in the post-interaction separation. It is also evident in Fig. 4(c) that a monotonic change in $D_{Yo}$ does not cause a monotonic change in the post-interaction separation. In other words, a platelet released at a smaller $D_{Yo}$ can end up at a larger separation, whereas a platelet released at a larger $D_{Yo}$ can end up at a smaller separation. In some simulations we observe that the post-interaction separation does not reach a steady value even when the platelet has moved away from the RBC. It is interesting to compare these results with that of deformable sphere-sphere interaction for which a sample trajectory obtained from our simulations is shown in Fig. 4(c). For the sphere-sphere interaction, a crossing event always leads to an increased lateral separation, and a monotonic change in $D_{Yo}$ leads to a monotonic change in the post-interaction separation [55,56], unlike what is seen here for the RBC/platelet interaction. Thus the wide variability of the trajectories observed in the RBC/platelet interaction is absent in the sphere-sphere interaction, and is essentially due to the nonspherical shapes of these cells. This observation also suggests that the shear-induced diffusion process in the RBC/platelet suspension is more complex with a significantly greater amount of anisotropic mixing than that in a spherical particle suspension.

For the crossing-type interactions for which the post-interaction lateral separation $\Delta Y_f$ reaches a steady value, the deflection of the platelet trajectory can be quantified as $d = \Delta Y_f / \Delta Y_{o, crit}$, which can be considered as an indirect measure of the platelet drift arising from the crossing-type collisions [see inset of Fig. 5(a) for definition]. Figure 5(a) shows $d$ as a function of $\Delta Y_o$ for different $\Delta \theta_o$ for the tank-treading RBC. For a deformable sphere-sphere interaction.

Fig. 4 Effect of initial relative orientation $\Delta \theta_o$ on turning and crossing events in presence of a tank-treading RBC. (a) Phase plot in $\Delta \theta_o - \Delta Y_o$ plane. (b) and (c) sample trajectories obtained with different $\Delta \theta_o$ for turning and crossing events, respectively. The wide variability of the platelet trajectory is illustrated in (c) that is absent in deformable sphere-sphere interaction.

Fig. 5 (a) Deflection $d$ of platelet trajectory for the tank-treading RBC as a function of $\Delta Y_o$ and for different values of $\Delta \theta_o = \pi/4 (~\Delta), \pi/2 (~\Delta), -\pi/2 (~\circ)$. The dashed line represents the deflection of passive tracers. (b) The change in RBC deformation index $\Delta D$ upon collision with the platelet is plotted. Inset shows the time-dependent deformation index $D$ increases during the collision.
collision, $\delta$ continually decreases with increasing $\Delta Y_o$, as noted earlier. While this trend is generally observed for the RBC/platelet interaction, the data in Fig. 5(a) shows a more complex trend that $\delta$ has a nonmonotonic dependency on $\Delta Y_o$, with a local minimum at $\Delta Y_o \sim 1.3 - 1.8 \mu m$. While the actual value of $\delta$ is dependent on $\Delta \theta$, resulting in the scatter of the data, all curves for different $\Delta \theta$ show the similar qualitative trend.

It should be mentioned that the above results for $\delta$ are obtained for the RBC/platelet pair located away from a wall. The positive (or negative) values of $\delta$ in the figure do not imply whether the platelet move away from (or toward) the wall. It simply means the amount of additional lateral (or cross-stream) displacement of the platelet upon interaction.

The nonmonotonic variation of $\delta$ can be understood by recalling that during the crossing-type interactions, the platelet can cause a deformation of the RBC as observed in Fig. 2(a). Analysis of the RBC shape suggests that the platelet causes a dimple upon collision near the RBC center, and thereby it is drawn closer to the cell resulting a reduced value of $\Delta Y_o$. The time-dependent RBC deformation during the collision process can be quantified by the Taylor deformation index $D = (L - B)/(L + B)$, where $L$ and $B$ are, respectively, the longest and shortest end-to-end lengths of the RBC in the shear plane passing through the center-of-mass. The deformation index which is plotted in the inset of Fig. 5(b) as a function of time shows an increase at the time of collision. The change in the deformation index $\Delta D$, defined as the difference in the maximum value of $D$ reached during the collision and the value of $D$ before the collision is shown in Fig. 5(b) as a function of $\Delta Y_o$. A comparison of Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) readily suggests that the minimum $\delta$ occurs at the same $\Delta Y_o$ at which $\Delta D$ is maximum, implying that the nonmonotonic nature of the platelet deflection $\delta$ is due to the collision-induced deformation of the RBC. For larger separation, the platelet does not come close enough to impart any deformation, and its deflection follows that of the streamlines. Hence, in this range, $\delta$ continually decreases with increasing $\Delta Y_o$, since the streamlines away from the RBC deflect less than those near the RBC. We have also simulated transport of passive tracers as massless point particles in presence of the tank-treading RBC. The deflection of the tracer particles is plotted in Fig. 5(a) which shows a continuous decrease with increasing $\Delta Y_o$, unlike the nonmonotonic trend observed for the platelet. Except the local minimum near $\Delta Y_o \sim 1.3 - 1.8 \mu m$, the platelet deflection is generally higher than the tracer particle deflection. The peak deflections of the platelet at $\Delta Y_o \sim 0.8$ and $2.2 \mu m$ are nearly twofold higher than that of the tracer particle.

3.2 Tank Treading Versus Tumbling RBC. Next we consider the RBC/platelet interaction when the RBC is in tumbling motion. As in case of a tank-treading RBC, here also we observe two types of interaction, crossing and turning. However, it is observed that the critical separation $\Delta Y_o, \text{crit}$ is higher for the tumbling RBC. Thus, the turning event occurs more often when the RBC is tumbling than when it is tank treading. For the same values of $\Delta Y_o, \text{crit}$ near the critical value, a crossing trajectory is observed when the RBC is tank treading, but a turning trajectory is observed when it is tumbling. In addition to the turning and crossing, a third type of interaction is observed in presence of the tumbling RBC. Here the platelet first approaches the RBC in a way that resembles a crossing interaction. But as it gets closer, it appears to “ride” on the RBC with its major axis staying parallel to that of the RBC. After about a half tumble of the RBC, the platelet starts to move away in the manner of a turning event. Note that the riding event is a close-range interaction unlike a turning event.

In presence of the tumbling RBC, the initial relative orientation $\Delta \theta$, makes a greater effect on the platelet motion in two different ways. First, for a given initial separation $\Delta Y_o$, it is possible to have three different types of interaction, namely, crossing, turning, and riding, depending on the values of $\Delta \theta$. This is in stark contrast to the tank-treading RBC for which turning and crossing events were determined by $\Delta Y_o$ only. As a result, critical separation $\Delta Y_o, \text{crit}$ has a greater margin of uncertainty in tumbling RBC. The phase plot in the $\Delta \theta, \Delta Y_o$ plane for the tumbling RBC is shown in Fig. 6(a). A crossing event is observed for all values of $\Delta \theta$, if $\Delta Y_o \geq 2.0 \mu m$, and a turning event is observed if...
$\Delta Y_0 \leq 0.7 \mu m$. But in the range $\Delta Y_0 \sim 0.7 - 2.0 \mu m$, all three types of interaction is possible depending on $\Delta \theta_\rho$. Two examples are shown in Fig. 6(b), where the platelet trajectory is plotted relative to the RBC for different values of $\Delta \theta_\rho$, but two fixed values of $\Delta Y_0$, 0.85 and 1.3 \mu m. For $\Delta Y_0 = 0.85 \mu m$, a crossing trajectory is observed when $\Delta \theta_\rho/\pi = -0.5$, a turning trajectory is observed when $\Delta \theta_\rho/\pi = 0.5$, and a riding trajectory is observed when $\Delta \theta_\rho/\pi = 0$. Second, in the crossing-type interaction, a wider variation in the post-interaction separation is observed in the tumbling RBC runs than that observed before in the tank-treading runs.

The deflection $\delta$ of the platelet trajectory for the crossing-type interactions in presence of the tumbling RBC is plotted in Fig. 6(c) as a function of $\Delta Y_j$ for different $\Delta \theta_\rho$. While the general trend that $\delta$ decreases with increasing $\Delta Y_j$ is observed, a significantly larger scatter in the data is evident here than that observed earlier for the tank-treading RBC due to the wider variation of the platelet trajectory. In some cases, $\delta$ is found to be negative when the post-interaction lateral separation is smaller than the initial separation. Also plotted are the deflection of the tracer particles which show even more scatter and larger negative values. Evidently, the tumbling RBC creates a greater mixing than the tank-treading RBC.

3.3 Near-Wall Interaction. Next we consider the effect of wall proximity on the RBC/platelet interaction. The pairwise interaction is simulated in the linear shear flow by releasing the RBC/platelet pair at different distances $Y_C$ from one wall. The turning and crossing events are obtained from the simulations by varying the initial lateral separation $\Delta Y_0$. The results are shown in Fig. 7 as a function of $Y_C$ and $\Delta Y_0$. This figure shows that the critical separation $\Delta Y_{0,\text{crit}}$ separating the crossing and turning events increases significantly near the wall with nearly a threefold increase from about 0.6 to 1.8 $\mu m$ as $Y_C$ is reduced from 18 to 4 $\mu m$ in presence of the tank-treading RBC (Fig. 7(a)). This increase in $\Delta Y_{0,\text{crit}}$ is due to an increase in the lateral extent over which closed streamlines occur as the wall is approached. The increased $\Delta Y_{0,\text{crit}}$ near the wall suggests that the turning events have a higher probability to occur than the crossing events. We also observe that the deflection in the platelet trajectory due to the turning events is greater when it happens near the wall than away from the wall. It will be argued later that this increased $\Delta Y_{0,\text{crit}}$ provides a mechanism of continual platelet dispersal from the RBC-rich region of the vessel to the RBC-depleted plasma layer near the wall. The near-wall effect during a tumbling motion is presented in Fig. 7(b) which shows that $\Delta Y_{0,\text{crit}}$ is higher than that obtained for the tank-treading RBC.

When the RBC/platelet interaction occurs far away from a wall, the occurrence of the turning or crossing events depends only on the relative velocity between the RBC and the platelet, and hence, on the initial lateral separation $\Delta Y_0$, but not on the initial separation between the cells and the wall, $Y_C$ or $Y_{PLT}$. One important consequence of the wall proximity is that the interaction depends not only on $\Delta Y_0$, but also on $Y_C$ and $Y_{PLT}$. The results shown in Fig. 7 correspond to the scenario when the platelet is released further away from the wall than the RBC, i.e., $Y_{PLT} > Y_C$. We have also performed simulations when the platelets are released closer to the wall than the RBC, i.e., $Y_C > Y_{PLT}$. Figure 8 compares the platelet trajectories for these two configurations at three values of the lateral separation $|\Delta Y_0|$. Our simulations show that for the same value of $|\Delta Y_0|$, a turning event occurs when $Y_{PLT} > Y_C$, but a crossing event occurs when $Y_C > Y_{PLT}$. This result suggests that the value of the critical separation $\Delta Y_{0,\text{crit}}$ strongly depends on whether the RBC or the platelet is located closer to the wall. It also suggests that, near the wall, the turning events have a higher
probability to occur when $Y_{PLT} > Y_C$, but the crossing events have a higher probability to occur when $Y_C > Y_{PLT}$.

3.4 Streamlines and Forces. The different types of interaction observed here can be partly explained by analyzing the streamlines around the RBC as shown in Figs. 9(a)–9(c). For the tank-treading case, the streamlines remain unchanged in time as the RBC motion is nearly steady. There is a critical lateral length $y_o$ below which the streamlines turn around, and above which they extend from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$. The crossing event usually occurs when the platelet is released above this critical $y_o$, and a turning occurs when it is released below the critical $y_o$. It should however be mentioned that unlike a passive tracer, a platelet because of its finite size is not going to follow a streamline exactly. Unlike in the tank-treading case, the streamlines around a tumbling RBC are highly time dependent due to the changing orientation of the RBC. The closed streamlines are observed at some instants but not always. Hence the turning and crossing events in this case would depend on the instantaneous location and orientation of the platelet relative to those of the RBC. Furthermore, closed streamlines can exist in a narrow region around the RBC. If the platelet is trapped in this region, a riding trajectory would occur. The dynamic nature of the streamlines around a tumbling RBC leads to a more complex platelet trajectory than that observed in presence of a tank-treading RBC.

The forces acting on the platelet during different types of interactions are shown in Fig. 9(d). These forces are computed by spatially averaging the membrane forces over the cell surface. It may be noted that the there are only two types of forces that are experienced by the platelet/RBC: the hydrodynamic force, and the collision force. No other types of force (e.g., electrostatic repulsion, adhesion) are considered here. In case of turning behavior, the RBC/platelet do not come close to each other; so there is no collisional force. The platelet trajectory is entirely determined by the deflection of the streamlines due to the presence of the RBC as shown earlier. In this case, the force on the platelet is purely hydrodynamic. In case of crossing and rolling behaviors, the

**Fig. 9** (a)–(c) Streamlines around a tank-treading RBC (a), and a tumbling RBC [(b)and (c)] showing closed (indicated by the arrow) and open streamlines. The thick dash lines in (b) and (c) indicate the instantaneous alignment of the RBC major axis. (d) Spatially averaged force on the platelet as a function of time for a representative crossing (——) and rolling (-----). (e) and (f) Contours of pressure for rolling at two time instances.
Discussion

In this article we presented three-dimensional numerical simulations of hydrodynamic interaction between a red blood cell and a platelet in a wall-bounded shear flow. The dynamics and large deformation of the RBC were fully resolved in the simulations. Instead of considering a suspension of multiple cells, we focused on an isolated RBC/platelet pair to quantify the influence of the tank treading and tumbling dynamics and the wall proximity on the deflection of individual platelet trajectory without the noise from other cells. Our results suggested the existence of two types of interaction. In a crossing-type interaction the platelet comes in close proximity to the RBC, rolls over it, and continues to move in the same direction. In a turning-type interaction, the platelet nearly sticks to the RBC for a longer time during the rolling interaction. In order to see the origin of these forces, we plot the contours of pressure in the shear plane for a rolling interaction in Figs. 9(e) and 9(f). This clearly shows that the pressure in the gap between the RBC and the platelet increases significantly during the close interaction leading to the lubrication force as the fluid is squeezed during the collision.

4 Discussion

The finding that a platelet can impart a considerable deformation of a wall, the interaction depends not only on \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \) but also on the lateral separation between the cells and the wall, \( Y_c \) and \( Y_{pl,t} \). When the RBC is released closer to the wall than the platelet, i.e., \( Y_{pl,t} > Y_c \), the critical separation \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \) is observed to increase almost threefold. This result implies that the turning events have several-fold higher probability to occur than the crossing events when the RBC is flowing closer to the wall than the platelet. On the contrary, if the platelet is initially located closer to the wall than the RBC, i.e., \( Y_{pl,t} < Y_c \), the critical separation \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \) was observed to be significantly lower, implying that the crossing events are more likely to occur in this scenario.

We now propose a mechanism of continuous platelet dispersal from the core of the vessel towards the wall based on the trajectory deflection. Consider the RBC flowing at the edge of the plasma layer as shown in Fig. 10. If a platelet is initially located in the RBC-rich region [scenario (A) as marked in the figure], i.e., \( Y_{pl,t} > Y_c \), a turning event is likely to occur (since \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \) is large as found by the simulations and shown in Fig. 7), which will bring it to the plasma layer. At this time, the platelet is located closer to the wall than the RBC (i.e., \( Y_{pl,t} < Y_c \)). Now if another pairwise interaction occurs, that would likely result in a crossing event (since \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \) is small), bringing the platelet even closer to the wall [scenario (B) as marked in the figure]. Our numerical trajectory in Fig. 8 showed that after the event (B) the platelet center-of-mass can be within 1 \( \mu m \) from the wall, giving it the opportunity to bind to the wall. Thus, the turning and crossing events, when they occur in succession as shown here, provide an effective mechanism to continually drive the platelets away from the RBC-rich region of the vessel in to the RBC-depleted plasma layer and further towards the wall.

The influence of RBC deformation and the finite cell size is most noticeable in the computed lateral deflection \( \delta \) which can be considered as an indirect measure of the platelet drift due to the crossing events. While \( \delta \) is generally observed to decrease with increasing initial RBC/platelet separation \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \), it shows a pronounced local minimum at \( \Delta Y_{c_{,crit}} \sim 1.3 - 1.8 \mu m \). This nonmonotonic trend of \( \delta \) is due to the deformation of the RBC caused by the platelet upon collision. We showed that the local minimum in \( \delta \) is accompanied with an increase in the RBC deformation index. The finding that a platelet can impart a considerable deformation to the RBC underscores the importance of considering the finite size of the platelet in any theoretical model of platelet drift [25, 26]. It also has other biological implication as RBC deformation was shown to increase ATP release [59], and the presence of
ADP is known to initiate platelet aggregation [10]. Additionally, the nonmonotonic trend of $\delta$ is not observed for deformable sphere–sphere collision, and for tracer particle deflection. The platelet deflection is generally observed to be higher than the tracer deflection, except near the local minimum, and is likely caused by an increased deflection due to the excision due to the size.

The different dynamics of the RBC, i.e., the tank treading and the tumbling motion, are observed to cause considerable differences in the platelet motion. Most notably, the critical lateral separation $\Delta_{c,\text{crit}}$ is found to be higher for the tumbling RBC. This finding is important as the tank-treading motion occurs at relatively high shear rates, while the tumbling motion occurs at low shear rates. The tumbling motion can also occur due to the loss of deformability caused by various pathological conditions of the RBC, which is believed to be associated with higher risk of thrombogenesis [60].

Another important result is the wide variability of the platelet trajectory observed over the range of initial inclination and lateral separation that is absent in the deformable sphere–sphere interaction. For the deformable sphere–sphere interaction, a crossing event always leads to an increased lateral separation, and a monotonic change in $\Delta_y$ leads to a monotonic change in the post-interaction separation. In contrast, such a monotonic change is not observed for the RBC/platelet interaction. In some simulations, we observed that the post-interaction separation was less than the initial separation leading to a negative value of $\delta$, in a stark contrast to the sphere–sphere collision. This result not only underscores the importance of incorporating the effect of the nonspherical shapes and finite size of the RBC and platelet in any drift model [25,26], it also suggests that the shear-induced diffusion process in the RBC/platelet suspension is more complex than that in a spherical particle suspension. The variability of the platelet trajectory is observed to increase significantly when the tumbling RBC is considered resulting in a larger scatter in $\delta$. This result is in qualitative agreement with the experimental observation that the platelet diffusivity increases with decreasing RBC deformability [18].

The numerical data can be used to make an approximate estimate of the enhanced platelet diffusion coefficient in presence of the RBCs. Taking the value of $\delta$ to be $\sim 1$ mm from Fig. 5(a), a shear rate in the range of 100–1000 s$^{-1}$ for which a normal red blood cell would be tank treading, and the interaction time of $t^* \sim 0.1–0.1$ s obtained from the simulations (corresponding to a dimensionless time of $t^* \sim 10$), we find a diffusion coefficient $D_{\text{eff}} \sim \Delta y \sim 10^{-6}$ – $10^{-7}$ cm$^2$/s. Although this is a simple estimate, and is based on a single cells rather than an actual suspension, this value is surprisingly similar to the ones reported in experiments [21–23], and computer simulations with multicellular suspension [34], and nearly 2 to 3 orders of magnitude higher than the Brownian diffusion coefficient of $\sim 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/s [61].

In conclusion, we have presented three-dimensional numerical simulation of hydrodynamic interaction between a platelet and an erythrocyte by fully resolving the deformation and dynamics of the cells. We observed a wide variability in the platelet trajectory under the influence of the tank treading and tumbling motion of the erythrocyte, and proposed a mechanism of continual platelet deflection caused by the Brownian diffusion of $\sim 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$/s.

and hence the development of microthrombi. The immersed-boundary method also allows us to study these phenomena in more complex geometry such as stenosis.
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