











# **NETWORKED SYSTEMS**

× Today

- + We expect our computers to be networked × Google, wikipedia, Email, IM, ...
- + Can work stand alone × Airplane mode?
- + But, are crippled when not connected
- + Phone isn't a phone unless its networked

## MINIMAL SETUP

- Have two computers
   + think raw processors for the moment
- **\* Want them to communicate** 
  - + Send an mp3 file from A to B











# PRECLASS 1

- How many computers does your laptop communicate with?
  - + E-mail
  - + Weather
  - + Canvas, Piazza
  - + Source code repositories (svn, git, ...)
  - + eniac
  - + Web servers
    - $\times$  Seas, news, facebook, youtube, wikipedia, google, ....
  - + iTunes, Windows Update

# MULTIPLE TASKS - MULTIPLE WIRES?

Back to wired connections

### × E.g. download song and browse

Could have a separate interface/wire for each application
 Process allocates hardware when needs to communicate







### HOW MANY CONNECTIONS?

Conclusion: need to look at capacity as well as scalability of a network solution

BANDWIDTH REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS





# COMPARISON: VIDEO (PRECLASS 3)

### × HDTV compressed

- + Around 36Mbits/s
- + How many can share 1 Gbit/s link?

# COSTS (PRECLASS 4)

- × Cat 5e per foot ~ \$0.20/foot
  - + Say \$0.60/m
  - + Raw wire
  - × Ignoring handling to run
  - ✓ Ignoring rent/lease/buy land to run
     + Philly → San Francisco: ~4,000km
  - + Wire cost?

# IMPLICATIONS?

- Today's wire bandwidth exceeds the throughput needs of any real-time single-stream data
  - Can afford to share the wire
- × Wires are not cheap
  - + Cannot afford not to share the wire

SHARING (VIRTUALIZING) CONNECTIONS





















# VIRTUALIZATION EFFECT

- Each pair of processes on different computers
   Has the view of a point-to-point connection
  - Each process, thinks it "owns the network" and has a dedicated connection to the other node



# SIMULATION 2

- × Send <u>4 verses or digits</u> from each
  - + from song-server serving 2 songs
  - + And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants
  - + To two clients





# SIMULATION 2

### × N1, N3

- + Add network-layer source/destination packet headers
- × W1 Wire
  - + Duplicate packets to both destinations
  - + Simulate shared wire
- × N2, N4
  - + Look at network-layer source/destination header
  - + Discard packets not destined for this computer









# ROUTING

### \* B runs a general program

- + If packet destined for B, takes it
- + Otherwise, sends on to (toward) destination
- Extension of the network handling process that is sorting data to processes



# REACHABILITY

### × If everyone plays along

- + We can communicate with any computer reachable *transitively* from my computer
- × Don't need direct connections

# ROUTING → ROUTE TABLES

### To make efficient

- + Each computer should route *close* to destination
- + ...and not route in circles
- E.g. compute all-pairs shortest paths (CIS160,121)
- $\,+\,$  Store result, each machine knows where to send packet next
- + How much storage?
- Cleverness to compress/summarize
   Additional cleverness to compute incremental updates
  - When add a computer or a link breaks



# SIMULATION 3

- Send 4 verses or digits from each
  - + from song-server serving 2 songs
  - + And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants
  - + To two clients
- \* **R1** pass along packets to R2 (for now)
- \* R2 look at address and send to N2 or N4



# Simulation 4 Send 4 verses or digits from each from song-server serving 2 songs And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants To two clients Roles: 4 server apps Network Interface, 2 servers 3 routers R1 – flip a coin and send to R2 or R3 R2, R3 – send to N2, N4 based on address Network Interface for each of 2 clients 4 client apps









# SIMULATION 5

- × Send 4 verses or digits from each
  - + from song-server serving 2 songs
  - + And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants
  - + To two clients
- \* Deliberately delay data through R3
  - + Model non-determinism in route timing





# SIMULATION 6

- Send 4 verses or digits from each + from song-server serving 2 songs
  - + And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants
  - + To two clients
- \* T1/T3 add sequence number to packet
- T2/T4 hold packets, reorder, and deliver in order of sequence number
- × R3 still delaying packets





# WHAT ELSE CAN GO WRONG?

- \* Bits get corrupted
- Intermediate machines holding messages can crash
- × Messages can get misrouted



# **DATA CORRUPTION**

- How do we deal with data corruption?
  + Use redundancy
- × Two strategies:
  - + Use enough redundancy to correct

# **DATA CORRUPTION**

- Relatively uncommon
   + Most packets are fine
- We have efficient (low overhead) ways to detect
   Compute a hash of the message data
  - + Highly unlikely one (few) message bit errors will result in same hash
  - + → checksum





# LOST PACKET STRATEGY

# × Sender sends packet

+ But keeps a copy

# Receiver gets packet

- + Checks checksum
- + OK, uses packet and sends ACK
  × "got your last packet intact"
- Not ok, discard packet

### × Sender

- + Receives ACK, can discard packet and send next
- + No ACK (after timeout), resend packet



# CORRUPTED ACK

- \* What if the ack is lost? + Sender resends
- \* Receiver receives a second copy
  - + Oops, don't want that to be interpreted as new data
  - + i.e. send: "rm \*; cd ..\n" × Receive: "rm \*; cd ..\n rm \*; cd ..\n"

# **AVOID DUPLICATION**

\* How can we avoid duplication?











# **BIG IDEAS**

- Sharing Network interface, wires + Previously gates, processor, memory
- Virtualization datastream abstracts physical point-to-point link
- × Layering
  - + Divide-and-conquer functionality
  - + Implementation hiding/technology independence
  - + Reliable communication link from unreliable elements

# THIS WEEK IN LAB

### × Lab 11:

- $\scriptstyle+$  Look at naming, addressing, network diagnostics,  $\ldots$
- + Including a packet sniffer!
  - ...see all the bits on the network you aren't supposed to see!
     Get an appreciation for what is going on, on the lower network layers

