






COMMUNICATING BETWEEN MACHINES
Fundamentals of Networks


























## HOW MANY CONNECTIONS? \* Conclusion: need to look at capaci

Conclusion: need to look at capacity as well as scalability of a network solution

BANDWIRTH REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS

## WIRES \* How fast can I send data over a wire? \* Consider a Category-5 Ethernet cable - Bandwidth (bits/s) \* 1Gbit/s - 1000Base-T (Gigabit ethernet) - Latency/transit time (distance/time) \* 0.64 c [c=speed of light = 3×108 m/s] \* 0.192 m/ns or roughly 5ns/m

[image: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Cat\_5.jpg]

### COMPARISON: AUDIO (PRECLASS 3)

- \* Real-Time stereo (2-channel) MP3
  - + 128Kbits/s
  - + How many can share 1Gbit/s link?
    - $\times$  (10<sup>9</sup> bits/s) / (128 \* 10<sup>3</sup> bits/s) = 7,800
- \* How long to download 3 minute song at full rate?
  - + (128\*10<sup>3</sup> bits /s \* 3 min \* 60s/min) / (10<sup>9</sup> bits/s) = 23ms
- \* How long for first bit to travel across 4000km wire at 0.6 × speed-of-light?
  - $+ 4000*10^3$ m \* 5ns/m = 20ms

20

### COMPARISON: VIDEO (PRECLASS 3)

- \* HDTV compressed
  - + Around 36Mbits/s
  - + How many can share 1 Gbit/s link?
  - + 109 bits/s / (36\*106 bits/s) = 28

COSTS (PRECLASS 4)


- × Cat 5e per foot ~ \$0.20/foot
  - + Say \$0.60/m
  - + Raw wire
    - × Ignoring handling to run
    - × Ignoring rent/lease/buy land to run
  - + Philly → San Francisco: ~4,000km
  - + Wire cost?
  - + 4000\*103m \* 0.6 \$/m = \$ 2.4 \* 106


21


### **IMPLICATIONS?**

- Today's wire bandwidth exceeds the throughput needs of any real-time single-stream data
  - + Can afford to share the wire
- \* Wires are not cheap
  - Cannot afford not to share the wire

SHARING (VIRTUALIZING) CONNECTIONS










TRANSPORT LAYER


\* Call this the "Transport" Layer


responsible for delivering data to the individual application process on the computer
















VIRTUALIZATION EFFECT


\* Each pair of processes on different computers


+ Has the view of a point-to-point connection


+ Each process, thinks it "owns the network" and has a dedicated connection to the other node























### ROUTING


- \* B runs a general program
  - + If packet destined for B, takes it
  - Otherwise, sends on to (toward) destination
- Extension of the network handling process that is sorting data to processes

### REACHABILITY


- If everyone plays along
  - We can communicate with any computer reachable transitively from my computer
- Don't need direct connections


### ROUTING → ROUTE TABLES


- To make efficient
  - + Each computer should route close to destination
- + ...and not route in circles
- E.g. compute all-pairs shortest paths (CIS160,121)
  - Store result, each machine knows where to send packet next
  - + How much storage?
    - $\times$  N machines  $\Rightarrow$  N entries on every machine  $\Rightarrow$  N² across machines  $\times$  Cleverness to compress/summarize
  - Additional cleverness to compute incremental updates When add a computer or a link breaks




# SIMULATION 3 \* Send 4 verses or digits from each + from song-server serving 2 songs + And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants + To two clients \* R1 – pass along packets to R2 (for now) \* R2 – look at address and send to N2 or N4














SIMULATION 5

\* Send 4 verses or digits from each


+ from song-server serving 2 songs

+ And digit-server serving 2 fundamental constants

+ To two clients

\* Deliberately delay data through R3


+ Model non-determinism in route timing





R3 DELAYED CASE


Data arrive at R1 in order


We all live in a yellow submarine Yellow submarine, yellow submarine Yellow submarine, yellow submarine Yellow submarine R1





















































- \* Intermediate machines holding messages can crash
- Messages can get misrouted



**DATA CORRUPTION** 

- \* How do we deal with data corruption?
  - Use redundancy
- × Two strategies:
  - + Use enough redundancy to correct
  - + Use just enough redundancy to detect it
    - Have the sender resend

**DATA CORRUPTION** 


Relatively uncommon Most packets are fine


- We have efficient (low overhead) ways to detect
  - + Compute a hash of the message data
  - Highly unlikely one (few) message bit errors will result in same hash
  - + → checksum

REVISED PACKET × Header × Data payload × Checksum B3 and then she said... 3 10 A 34 C B3 and th&%\$\*said....

LOST PACKET

\* How can we deal with lost packets?





CORRUPTED ACK

\* What if the ack is lost?

+ Sender resends

\* Receiver receives a second copy

+ Oops, don't want that to be interpreted as new data
+ i.e. send: "rm \*; cd ..\n"

\* Receive: "rm \*; cd ..\n rm \*; cd ..\n"

AVOIR DUPLICATION

\* How can we avoid duplication?

ACCOMMODATING DUPLICATION

\* Use packet sequence number


- Keep track of last packet received

- If receive packet again,

\* Discard the packet

B3 I was born,

2 10 A 34 C



### **TCP**


- \* TCP = Transmission Control Protocol
  - + Provides Reliable delivery
  - + Deals with
    - × Retransmission
    - × Duplication
    - × Out of sequence / resequence / reconstruction


### TRANSPORT LAYER

\* Call this the "Transport" Layer

+ responsible for reliably delivering data to the individual application process on the computer

96





### **BIG IDEAS**

- \* Sharing Network interface, wires
  - + Previously gates, processor, memory
- Virtualization datastream abstracts physical point-to-point link
- × Layering
  - + Divide-and-conquer functionality
  - + Implementation hiding/technology independence
  - + Reliable communication link from unreliable elements

### THIS WEEK IN LAB

- × Lab 11:
  - + Look at naming, addressing, network diagnostics, ...
  - + Including a packet sniffer!
    - ...see all the bits on the network you aren't supposed to see!
    - Get an appreciation for what is going on, on the lower network layers

100

