Example 1:

A long *periodic* sequence x of period $N = 2^r$ (r is an integer) is to be convolved with a finite-length sequence h of length K.

- (a) *Show* that the output *y* of this convolution (filtering) is *periodic*. What is its period?
- (b) Let K = m N where m is an integer; N is large. How would you implement this convolution *efficiently*? Explain your analysis clearly. [5] Compare the *total number of multiplications* required in your scheme to that in the direct implementation of FIR filtering. (Consider the case r = 10, m = 10).

Example 2:

A sequence $x = \{x[n], n = 0,1,..., N-1\}$ is given; let $X(e^{j\omega})$ be its DTFT.

- (a) Suppose N = 10. You want to evaluate both $X(e^{j2\pi 7/12})$ and $X(e^{j2\pi 3/8})$. The only computation you can perform is one DFT, on any one input sequence of your choice. Can you find the desired DTFT values? (Show your analysis and explain clearly.)
- (b) Suppose N is large. You want to obtain $X(e^{j\omega})$ at the following 2M frequencies:

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi}{M}m, m = 0, 1, ..., M-1$$
 and $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{M}m + \frac{2\pi}{N}m = 0, 1, ..., M-1$

Here
$$M = 2^{\mu} << N = 2^{\nu}$$

A standard radix-2 FFT algorithm is available. You may execute the FFT algorithm *once* or *more than once*, and *multiplications* and *additions* outside of the FFT are *allowed*, if necessary.

- i. You want to get the 2*M* DTFT values with as few *total multiplications* as possible (*including those in the FFT*). Give explicitly the best method you can find for this, with an estimate of the *total number of multiplications* needed in terms of *M* and *N*.
- ii. Does your result change if extra multiplications outside of FFTs are *not* allowed?