University of Pennsylvania
Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering Electronic Design Automation

ESE535, Spring 2008
Assignment \#2
Monday, February 4

Due: Monday, Februrary 18th, beginning of class.

Resources You are free to use any books, articles, notes, or papers as references. Provide citations in your writeup as appropriate.

Collaboration Please work independently on this assignment.

Writeup Writeup should be in an electronically readable format (HTML or PDF preferredI do not want to decipher handwriting or hand-drawn figures). State any assumptions you need to make.

## Problems

1. What is the minimum 2-level logic implementation of:
$f=\bar{a} \cdot b \cdot \bar{c} \cdot \bar{d}+\bar{a} \cdot b \cdot c \cdot \bar{d}+\bar{a} \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d+a \cdot \bar{b} \cdot c \cdot \bar{d}+a \cdot b \cdot \bar{c} \cdot \bar{d}+a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot \bar{d}+a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d$
(a) generate all primes (use algorithm from class and show your work)
(b) show the initial prime implicant table
(c) show final, optimal cover
2. Find the optimal cover for the following Prime Implicant Table.

- Identify Essential Primes in this first table
- Identify all Dominating Rows in this first table
- Identify all Dominating Columns in this first table
- Show the Reduced Table and identify any cover selections made at this stage
- Continue Reducing, Branching as necessary
- Report an optimal cover

|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a |  | X | X |  |  | X | X |  |  |
| b |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| c |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| d |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |
| e |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| g | X |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| h | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |

3. [(first half of) Problem 5, page 217 in [4]] Generate all the kernels of

$$
f=a \cdot c \cdot e+a \cdot c \cdot g+b \cdot c \cdot e+b \cdot c \cdot g+a \cdot d \cdot e+a \cdot d \cdot g+b \cdot d \cdot e+b \cdot d \cdot g
$$

by using the kernel generation algorithm of Figure 7.1 (on p. 159).
4. [Problem 3, page 286 in [4]] Run the timed test generation procedure on the carry-bypass circuit of Figure 8.3 (on p. 232), attempting to justify a $0(10)$ at the $c 2$ output. Assume the gate delays and input arrival times given in the analysis following the figure. Assume a backtrace procedure which selects primary inputs that are at unknown values in the order $\mathrm{a} 0, \mathrm{~b} 0, \mathrm{c} 0$, a 1 , and b 1 . Draw the decision tree for the run of the procedure. How many backtracks are required before the procedure completes?
5. Provide two state assignments for the following.
(a) One should minimize the number of product terms in the 2-level (PLA) implementation. [You should be able to do this based on the lecture/reading without using any tools; you may use tools to check your answer.]
(b) The second should use no more than 3 state bits and should be chosen to maximize the product terms. (This asks you to see how bad of an assignment you can come up with.) [Feel free to use tools however you'd like for this one.]
(c) Identify the number of product terms required by each after two-level optimization. [You are welcome to use espresso to do two-level optimization for this.]

```
O START state6 00
0 ~ s t a t e 2 ~ s t a t e 5 ~ 0 0 ~
0 state3 state5 00
0 state4 state6 00
0 state5 START 10
0 state6 START 01
0 state7 state5 00
1 state6 state2 01
1 state5 state2 10
1 state4 state6 10
1 state7 state6 10
1 \text { START state4 00}
1 state2 state3 00
1 state3 state7 00
```

6. Provide an algorithm for two-level PLA mapping that will guarantee to cover every product term at least twice. Simply making two copies of the solution you would get from the normal, ESPRESSO-EXACT algorithm would cover every product term twice. However, many of the minterms will already be covered twice in the base algorithm. Therefore, it should not be necessary to duplicate all of the product terms. Your algorithm should try to minimize the number of product terms.

- Develop the algorithm. (Give this 1-2 hours of thinking after you fully understand the ESPRESSO-EXACT algorithm. Writeup the best algorithm you come up within that time frame.)
- Give pseudocode for your algorithm in the style of [1] or [2]. You may use algorithms from the text as subroutines.
- What is the worst-case running time of your algorithm?
- Is your solution optimal? Sketch why or why not.
[Motivations: If the most likely fault in a molecular-switch PLA is that a connected switchpoint becomes disconnected, this would guarantee that every minterm was covered by two connections in the product array. A generalization of this ( $n$-cover minterms, where $n$ depends on the number of outputs using the product term) could be used for fanout bounding which will be important for achieving high speed operation for nanoPLAs [3].]
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