ESE535: Electronic Design Automation

Day 3: January 16, 2013
Scheduled Operator Sharing

Today

- Sharing Resources
- Area-Time Tradeoffs
- Throughput vs. Latency
- VLIW Architectures
- Scheduling (introduce)



Compute Function

- Compute:
  $y=Ax^2+Bx+C$
- Assume
  - D(Mpy) > D(Add)
  - A(Mpy) > A(Add)

Spatial Quadratic



- A(Quad) = 3*A(Mpy) + 2*A(Add)

Latency vs. Throughput

- **Latency:** Delay from inputs to output(s)
- **Throughput:** Rate at which can introduce new set of inputs

Washer/Dryer Example

- 1 Washer Takes 30 minutes
- 1 Dryer Takes 45 minutes
- How long to do one load of wash?
  - → Wash latency
- How long to do 5 loads of wash?
- Wash Throughput?

Spatial Quadratic



Latency?

- D(Quad) = 2*D(Mpy)+D(Add) = 21
- Throughput 1/(2*D(Mpy)+D(Add)) = 1/21
- A(Quad) = 3*A(Mpy) + 2*A(Add) = 32

Synchronous Discipline

- Compute
  - From registers
  - Through combinational logic
  - To new values for registers
- Delay through logic sets a lower bound on the duration of each clock – the clock **cycle**



Pipelined Spatial Quadratic



- D(Quad) =
  3*D(Mpy) = 30
- Throughput =
  1/D(Mpy) = 1/10
- A(Quad) =
  3*A(Mpy)+2*A(Add)+6A(Reg) = 35

Quadratic with Single Multiplier and Adder?

- We've seen reuse to perform the **same** operation
  - pipelining
- We can also reuse a resource in time to perform a different role.
  - Here: x*x, A*(x*x), B*x
  - also: (Bx)+c, (A*x*x)+(Bx+c)

Quadratic Datapath

- Start with one of each operation



Multiplexer

- Gate allows us to select data from multiple sources
- Mux
  - For short
- Useful when sharing operators



Quadratic Datapath

- Multiplier serves multiple roles
  - x*x
  - A*(x*x)
  - B*x
- Use multiplexer to steer data (switch interconnections)
  - A(mux) < A(multiply)



Quadratic Datapath

- Multiplier serves multiple roles
  - x*x
  - A*(x*x)
  - B*x
- x, x*x
- x,A,B



Quadratic Datapath

- Multiplier serves multiple roles
  - x*x
  - A*(x*x)
  - B*x
- x, x*x
- x,A,B



Quadratic Datapath

- Adder serves multiple roles
  - (Bx)+c
  - (A*x*x)+(Bx+c)
- one always mpy output
- C, Bx+C



Quadratic Datapath



Quadratic Datapath

- Add input register for x



Cycle Impact?

- Need more cycles
- How about the delay of each cycle?
  - Add mux delay
  - Register setup/hold time, clock skew
  - Limited by slowest operation
  - Cycle?
    - D(Mpy)+2*D(Mux2) = 10.2



Quadratic Control

- Now, we just need to control the datapath
- What control?
- Control:
  - LD x
  - LD x*x
  - MA Select
  - MB Select
  - AB Select
  - LD Bx+C
  - LD Y



Quadratic Control

1. LD_X
2. MA_SEL=x,MB_SEL[1:0]=x, LD_x*x
3. MA_SEL=x,MB_SEL[1:0]=B
4. AB_SEL=C,MA_SEL=x*x, MB_SEL=A, LD_Bx+C
5. AB_SEL=Bx+C, LD_Y

[Could combine 1 and 5 and do in 4 cycles; analysis that follows assume 5 as shown.]



Quadratic Memory Control

1. LD_X
2. MA_SEL=x, MB_SEL[1:0]=x, LD_x*x
3. MA_SEL=x, MB_SEL[1:0]=B
4. AB_SEL=C, MA_SEL=x*x, MB_SEL=A, LD_Bx +C
5. AB_SEL=Bx+C, LD_Y



Quadratic Datapath

- Latency/Throughput/Area?
- Latency: 5*(D(MPY)+D(mux3))=51
- Throughput: 1/Latency ~= 0.02
- Area: A(Mpy)+A(Add)+5*A(Reg) +2*A(Mux2)+A(Mux3)+A(Imem)=17.5+ A(Imem)



Quadratic with 2 Mult, 1 Add

A x B C



step	X	X	+
1	X*X	B*X	
2	A*(X*X)		(B*X)+C
3			(A*X*X*X) +(B*X+C)

- Latency/Throughput/Area?

Quadratic with 2 Mult, 1 Add

A x B C



step	X	X	+
1	X*X	B*X	
2	A*(X*X)		(B*X)+C
3			(A*X*X*X) +(B*X+C)

- Latency = 3*(D(Mpy)+D(Mux))=30.3
- Throughput = 1/30.3 ~=0.03
- Area = 2*A(Mpy)+4*A(Mux2)+A(Add) +3*A(Reg) = 26.5

Quadratic: Area-Time Tradeoff

Design	Area	Throughput	Latency
3M2A (pipe)	35	0.1	30
2M1A	26.5	0.03	30.3
1M1A	17.5	0.02	51



Registers→Memory

- Generally can see many registers
- If # registers >> physical operators
  - Only need to access a few at a time
- Group registers into memory banks

Memory Bank Quadratic

- Store x
- x*x
- B*x
- $A*x^2$; B*x+c
- $(A*x^2)+(B*x+c)$



Memory Bank Quadratic

- Store x
- x*x
- B*x
- $A*x^2$; B*x+c
- $(A*x^2)+(B*x+c)$



Cycle Impact?

How cycle changed?

- Add mux delay
- Register setup/hold time, clock skew
- Memory read/write
  - Could pipeline



Cycle Impact?

- Add mux delay
- Register setup/hold time, clock skew
- Memory read/write
  - Could pipeline
  - Impact?
    - Latency
    - Throughput?



Impact

- When have big operators
  - Like multiplier
- Can share them to reduce area
  - At cost of throughput
  - Maybe at cost of latency, energy
- This gives a rich trade space

Details

- At extreme, number of "big" operators is dominant cost
  - Total number for area
  - Number in path for delay
- Does cost additional area, delay to share them
  - sometimes a lower order cost

VLIW

- Very Long Instruction Word
- Set of operators
  - Parameterize number, distribution (X, +, sqrt…)
    - More operators→ less time, more area
    - Fewer operators→ more time, less area
- Memories for intermediate state
- Memory for "long" instructions
- Schedule compute task
- General framework for specializing to problem
  - Wiring, memories get expensive
  - Opportunity for further optimizations
- General way to tradeoff area and time

VLIW



Review

- Reuse physical operators in time
- Share operators in different roles
- Allows us to reduce area at expense of increasing time
- Area-Time tradeoff
- Pay some sharing overhead
  - Muxes, memory
- VLIW – general formulation for shared datapaths



Design Automation

Sets up two problems for us:

- Provisioning
  - (Architecture Selection)
  - After Spring Break
- Scheduling
  - Start introducing now
  - Next two lectures



General Problem

- Resources are not free
  - Wires, io ports
  - Functional units
    - LUTs, ALUs, Multipliers, ….
  - Memory access ports
  - State elements
    - memory locations
    - Registers
      - Flip-flop
      - loadable master-slave latch
  - Multiplexers (mux)



Trick/Technique

- Resources can be shared (reused) in time
- Sharing resources can reduce
  - instantaneous resource requirements
  - total costs (area)
- **Pattern:** scheduled operator sharing

Example



Example

Assume unit delay operators.
How many operators do I need to evaluate this computation in ~5 time units.



Sharing

- Does not have to increase delay
  - w/ careful time assignment
  - can often reduce peak resource requirements
  - while obtaining original (unshared) delay
- Alternately: Minimize delay given fixed resources

Schedule Examples



More Schedule Examples



Scheduling

- **Task**: assign time slots (and resources) to operations
  - **time-constrained**: minimizing peak resource requirements
    - *n.b.* time-constrained, not always constrained to minimum execution time
  - **resource-constrained**: minimizing execution time

Resource-Time Example



Scheduling Use

- Very general problem formulation
  - HDL/Behavioral → RTL
  - Register/Memory allocation/scheduling
  - Instruction/Functional Unit scheduling
  - Processor tasks
  - Time-Switched Routing
    - TDMA, bus scheduling, static routing
  - Routing (share channel)

Two Types (1)

- **Data independent**
  - graph static
  - resource requirements and execution time
    - independent of data
  - schedule staticly
  - maybe bounded-time guarantees
  - typical ECAD problem

Two Types (2)

- **Data Dependent**
  - execution time of operators variable
    - depend on data
  - flow/requirement of operators data dependent
  - if cannot bound range of variation
    - must schedule online/dynamically
    - cannot guarantee bounded-time
    - general case (*I.e.* halting problem)
  - typical "General-Purpose" (non-real-time) OS problem

Unbounded Resource Problem

- Easy:
  - compute ASAP schedule (next slide)
    - *I.e.* schedule everything as soon as predecessors allow
  - will achieve minimum time
  - won't achieve minimum area
    - (meet resource bounds)

ASAP Schedule
As Soon As Possible (ASAP)

- For each input
  - mark input on successor
  - if successor has all inputs marked, put in visit queue
- While visit queue not empty
  - pick node
  - update time-slot based on latest input
  - mark inputs of all successors, adding to visit queue when all inputs marked

ASAP Example



Work Example

ASAP Example



Also Useful to Define ALAP

- As Late As Possible
- Work backward from outputs of DAG
- Also achieve minimum time w/ unbounded resources

Rework Example

ALAP Example



ALAP and ASAP

- Difference in labeling between ASAP and ALAP is slack of node
  - Freedom to select timeslot
  - **Class theme:** exploit freedom to reduce costs
- If ASAP=ALAP, no freedom to schedule



ASAP, ALAP, Difference



Big Ideas:

- Scheduled Operator Sharing
- Area-Time Tradeoffs

Admin

- Assignment 1 out today
  - Grab from syllabus
  - Due next Monday
  - Includes Tools warmup
- Reading for Wednesday online