Accurate & Efficient Regression Modeling for Microarchitectural Performance & Power Prediction

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks

{bclee,dbrooks}@eecs.harvard.edu Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences Harvard University

24 October 2006



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 1 :: ASPLOS-XII

Outline

Motivation & Background

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Model Derivation

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Model Evaluation

Performance Power

Conclusion



Motivation & Background Model Derivation

> Model Evaluation Conclusion

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Outline

Motivation & Background

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Model Derivation

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Model Evaluation

Performance Power

Conclusion



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 3 :: ASPLOS-XII

Motivation & Background Model Derivation

> Model Evaluation Conclusion

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Microarchitectural Design Space



- Increasing diversity of interesting, viable designs
- Examples :: Power 4, Pentium 4, UltraSPARC T1
- Tractably quantify trends across comprehensive design space



Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Microarchitectural Simulation Challenges

Cycle-Accurate Simulation

- Accurately identifies trends in design space
- Tracks instructions' progress through microprocessor
- Estimates performance, power, temperature, ...

Simulation Costs

- Long simulation times (minutes,hours per design)
- Number of potential simulations scale exponentially (m^p)
 - p :: parameter count
 - m :: parameter resolution



Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Microarchitectural Sampling

Temporal Sampling

- Sample from instruction traces in time domain
- Reduce simulation costs via size of inputs
- Synthetic traces from profiled workloads ¹
- Sampled traces from phase analysis ²

Spatial Sampling

- Sample from design space
- Reduce simulation costs via number of simulations



¹Eeckhout [ISPASS'00]

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Simulation Paradigm

• Comprehensively understand design space

- Specify large, high-resolution design space
- Consider all design parameter simultaneously

Selectively simulate modest number of designs

- Sample points randomly from design space for simulation
- Decouple resolution of design space and simulation

• Efficiently leverage simulation data with inference

- Reveal trends, trade-offs from sparse sampling
- Enable predictions for metrics of interest



Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Regression Theory

Statistical Inference

- Models approximate solutions to intractable problems
- Requires initial data to train, formulate model
- Leverages correlations from initial data for prediction

Regression Models

- Low formulation costs (1K samples from 1B designs)
- Accurate inference (4 7% median error)
- Efficient computation (100's of predictions per second)



Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

▷ {e.g., performance, power}

Model Formulation

- Notation
 - n observations
 > {simulated design samples}
 - Response :: $\vec{y} = y_1, \dots, y_n$
 - Predictor :: $\vec{x}_i = x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,p} \triangleright \{e.g., depth, cache\}$
 - Regression Coefficients :: $\vec{\beta} = \beta_0, \dots, \beta_p$
 - Random Error :: $\vec{e} = e_1, \ldots, e_n$ where $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
 - Transformations :: $f, \vec{g} = g_1, \dots, g_p$

Model

$$f(y) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j g_j(x_j) + e^{-\beta_j g_j(x_j)} + e^{-\beta_j g_j(x_j)}$$



Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Predictor Interaction

Modeling Interaction

- Suppose effects of predictors x₁, x₂ cannot be separated
- Construct predictor $x_3 = x_1 x_2$

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 x_2 + e_i$$

Example

- Let *x*₁ be pipeline depth, *x*₂ be L2 cache size
- Performance impact of pipelining affected by cache size

$$Speedup = \frac{Depth}{1 + Stalls/Inst}$$

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Predictor Non-Linearity I

Restricted Cubic Splines

- Divide predictor domain into intervals separated by knots
- Piecewise cubic polynomials joined at knots
- Higher order polynomials provide better fits ³





³Stone [SS'86]

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks

11 :: ASPLOS-XII

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Predictor Non-Linearity II

Location of Knots

- Location of knots less important than number of knots⁴
- Place knots at fixed predictor quantiles

Number of Knots

- Flexibility, risk of over-fitting increases with knot count
- 5 knots or fewer are often sufficient
- 4 knots balances flexibility, risk of over-fitting



⁴Harrell [Springer'01]

12 :: ASPLOS-XII

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Prediction

Expected Response

- β are known from least squares
- $x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,p}$ are known for a given query *i*
- Expected response is weighted sum of predictor values

$$E[y] = E\left[\beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_j\right] + E[e]$$
$$= \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_j$$



Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Outline

Motivation & Background Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Model Derivation

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Model Evaluation

Performance Power

Conclusion



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 14 :: ASPLOS-XII

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Tools and Benchmarks

Simulation Framework

- Turandot :: a cycle-accurate trace driven simulator
- PowerTimer :: power models derived from circuit analyses
- Baseline simulator models POWER4/POWER5 architecture

Benchmarks

- SPEC2kCPU :: compute-intensive benchmarks
- SPECjbb :: Java server benchmark
- Statistical Framework
 - R :: software environment for statistical computing
 - Hmisc and Design packages⁵



э

ELE DQC

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Spatial Sampling

Design Space

- S_i :: set of values for parameter x_i , $i \in [1, p]$
- $S = \prod_{i=1}^{p} S_i$:: design space
- B :: set of benchmarks
- $|S| \approx 10^9$ and |B| = 22

• Sampling Uniformly at Random (UAR)

- Sample *n* = 4,000 designs and benchmarks for simulation
- Decouple resolution of design space and simulation
- Unbiased observations from full range of parameter values



Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Predictors :: Microarchitecture

	Set	Parameters	Measure	Range	$ S_i $
S_1	Depth	depth	FO4	9::3::36	10
S_2	Width	width	insn b/w	4,8,16	3
		L/S reorder queue	entries	15::15::45	
		store queue	entries	14::14::42	
		functional units	count	1,2,4	
S_3	Physical	general purpose (GP)	count	40::10::130	10
	Registers	floating-point (FP)	count	40::8::112	
		special purpose (SP)	count	42::6::96	
S_4	Reservation	branch	entries	6::1::15	10
	Stations	fixed-point/memory	entries	10::2::28	
		floating-point	entries	5::1::14	
S_5	I-L1 Cache	i-L1 cache size	log ₂ (entries)	7::1::11	5
S_6	D-L1 Cache	d-L1 cache size	log ₂ (entries)	6::1::10	5
S_7	L2 Cache	L2 cache size	log ₂ (entries)	11::1::15	5
		L2 cache latency	cycles	6::2::14	
S_8	Control Latency	branch latency	cycles	1,2	2
S_9	FX Latency	ALU latency	cycles	1::1::5	5
		FX-multiply latency	cycles	4::1::8	
		FX-divide latency	cycles	35::5::55	
S_{10}	FP Latency	FPU latency	cycles	5::1::9	5
		FP-divide latency	cycles	25::5::45	
S_{11}	L/S Latency	Load/Store latency	cycles	3::1::7	5
S ₁₂	Memory Latency	Main memory latency	cycles	70::5::115	10



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks

17 :: ASPLOS-XII

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Predictors :: Application-Specific

Application Characteristics

- Collect program characteristics on baseline architecture
- Instruction throughput
- Cache access patterns
- Branch patterns
- Sources of pipeline stalls

Application Effects

- Significant interactions with microarchitectural predictors
- Example :: Impact of d-L1 cache affected by access rates



Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Derivation Overview

Hierarchical Clustering

Performance Associations and Correlations

• qualitative scatterplots, quantitative ρ^2

Model Specification

• predictor interaction, non-linearity

Assessing Fit

R² statistic

Residual Analysis

normality (quantile-quantile), randomness (scatterplots)

Significance Testing

hypothesis testing, F-statistic, p-values



ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Derivation Overview

• Hierarchical Clustering

Performance Associations and Correlations

• qualitative scatterplots, quantitative ρ^2

Model Specification

- predictor interaction, non-linearity
- Assessing Fit
 - R² statistic
- Residual Analysis
 - normality (quantile-quantile), randomness (scatterplots)
- Significance Testing
 - hypothesis testing, F-statistic, p-values



Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Performance Correlations



Strength of Marginal Distributions



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 21

21 :: ASPLOS-XII

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Model Specification

Interactions

- Pipeline width/depth interact with
 - instruction bandwidth structures (queues, register file)
 - cache hierarchy
- Cache hierarchy sizes interact with
 - adjacent levels in hierarchy
 - application-specific access rates
- Baseline performance interacts with resource sizings

Restricted Cubic Splines

- Weaker relationships (latencies, caches, queues) :: 3 knots
- Stronger relationships (depth, registers) :: 4 knots
- Baseline performance :: 5 knots



Performance Power

Outline

Motivation & Background

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Model Derivation

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Model Evaluation

Performance Power

Conclusion



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 23 :: ASPLOS-XII

Performance Power

Validation Approach

Framework

- Formulate models with *n* < 4,000 samples
- Obtain 100 additional random samples for validation
- Quantify percentage error, $100 * |\hat{y}_i y_i|/y_i$

Model Variants

- Baseline (B) :: Non-transformed response
- Stabilized (S) :: Square-root of response
- Regional (S+R) :: Per query with similar samples
- Application (S+A) :: Per benchmark with similar samples



Performance Power

Regional Sampling





코 에 제 코 에

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 25 :: ASPLOS-XII

Performance Power

Performance Prediction





(1日)(1日)(日)(日)

< D > < P >

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 26 :: ASPLOS-XII

Performance Power

Performance Sensitivity :: S+A





(비율) 비율) 토

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle$

Performance Power

Power Prediction





(人生) (人生) (生

 $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle$

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 28 :: ASPLOS-XII

Performance Power

Power Sensitivity :: S+R Region





≣ → < ≣ →

3

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 29 :: ASPLOS-XII

Outline

Motivation & Background

Simulation Challenges Simulation Paradigm Regression Theory

Model Derivation

Experimental Methodology Derivation Overview Model Specification

Model Evaluation

Performance Power

Conclusion



Conclusion

Simulation Paradigm

- Comprehensively understand design space
- Selectively simulate modest number of designs
- Efficiently leverage simulation data with inference

Model Evaluation

- 7.4%, 4.3% median errors for performance, power
- S+A, S+R more accurate for performance, power

Future Directions

- Demonstrate for comprehensive design studies ⁶
- Expand design space and benchmark suite
- Extend to CMP's and interconnect modeling



⁶Lee [HPCA'07] :: www.deas.harvard.edu/~bclee

Appendix References Extra Slides

Appendix

www.deas.harvard.edu/~bclee

B.C. Lee and D.M. Brooks.

Illustrative design space studies with microarchitectural regression models HPCA-13: International Symposium on High Performance Computer Architecture, Feb 2007.

B.C. Lee and D.M. Brooks.

Accurate, efficient regression modeling for microarchitectural performance, power prediction. ASPLOS-XII: International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems, Oct 2006.

B.C. Lee and D.M. Brooks.

Statistically rigorous regression modeling for the microprocessor design space. *MoBS-2: Workshop on Modeling, Benchmarking, and Simulation, June 2006.*

B.C. Lee and D.M. Brooks.

Regression modeling strategies for microarchitectural performance and power prediction. *Harvard University Technical Report TR-08-06*, March 2006.

O > <
 O >

(《문》 《문》 문



Appendix Appendix Extra Slides

References I

Y. Li, B.C. Lee, D. Brooks, Z. Hu, K. Skadron. CMP design space exploration subject to physical constraints. HPCA-12: International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture, Feb 2006. L. Eeckhout, S. Nussbaum, J. Smith, and K. DeBosschere. Statistical simulation: Adding efficiency to the computer designer's toolbox. IEEE Micro. Sept/Oct 2003. R. Liu and K. Asanovic. Accelerating architectural exploration using canonical instruction segments. In International Symposium on Performance Analysis of Systems and Software, Austin, Texas, March 2006. T. Sherwood, E. Perelman, G. Hamerly, and B. Calder. Automatically characterizing large scale program behavior. ASPLOX-X: Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems. October 2002 B.C. Lee and D.M. Brooks. Effects of pipeline complexity on SMT/CMP power-performance efficiency. ISCA-32: Workshop on Complexity Effective Design, June 2005.



Appendix References Extra Slides

References II

C. Stone.

Comment: Generalized additive models. *Statistical Science*, 1986.

F. Harrell.

Regression modeling strategies. Springer, New York, NY, 2001.

J. Yi, D. Lilja, and D. Hawkins.

Improving computer architecture simulation methodology by adding statistical rigor. *IEEE Computer*, Nov 2005.

P. Joseph, K. Vaswani, and M. J. Thazhuthaveetil.

Construction and use of linear regression models for processor performance analysis. In *Proceedings of the 12th Symposium on High Performance Computer Architecture*, Austin, Texas, February 2006.

S. Nussbaum and J. Smith.

Modeling superscalar processors via statistical simulation.

In PACT2001: International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques, Barcelona, Sept 2001.



Appendix References Extra Slides

Controlling Simulation Costs

Hybrid Simulation

- Decouples simulation of microprocessor structures
- Leverages fast, specialized simulators for particular units ⁷

• Trace Sampling/Compression

- Reduces redundant simulation
- Simulate unique, representative instruction segments ⁸

Synthetic Workloads

- Reduces size of simulator inputs
- Profiles workload to construct smaller, synthetic traces ⁹

⁷Li, Lee, Brooks, Hu, Skadron [HPCA'06]
 ⁸Liu, Asanovic [ISPASS'06], Sherwood, *et al.*, [ASPLOS'02]
 ⁹Eeckhout, Nussbaum, Smith, DeBosschre [IEEE Micro'03]



Appendix References Extra Slides

Variable Clustering





-2

▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

< 🗇

Appendix References Extra Slides

Performance Associations



비로 《로》《로》《唱》《타 ~ ~

Appendix Appendix Extra Slides

Assessing Fit

Multiple Correlation Statistic

- R^2 is fraction of response variance captured by predictors
- Large R² suggests better fit to observed data
- $R^2 \rightarrow 1$ suggests over-fitting (less likely if p < n/20)

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i})^{2}}$$

Residual Distribution Assumptions

- Residuals are normally distributed, $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- No correlation between residuals and response, predictors
- Validate by scatterplots and quantile-quantile plots

$$\hat{e}_i = y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \sum_{j=0}^p \hat{\beta}_j x_{ij}$$



Appendix References Extra Slides

Predictor Non-Linearity I

Polynomial Transformations

- Undesirable peaks and valleys
- Differing trends across regions

Linear Splines

- Piecewise linear regions separated by knots
- Inadequate for complex, highly curved relationships

Restricted Cubic Splines

- Higher order polynomials provide better fits
- Continuous at knots
- Linear constraint on tails

References Extra Slides

Predictor Non-Linearity II

Location of Knots

- Location of knots less important than number of knots
- Place knots at fixed predictor quantiles

Number of Knots

- Flexibility, risk of over-fitting increases with knot count
- 5 knots or fewer are often sufficient 10
- 4 knots is a good compromise between flexibility, over-fitting
- Fewer knots required for small data sets



¹⁰Stone [SS'86]

Appendix References Extra Slides

Significance Testing I

Approach

- Given two nested models, hypothesis *H*₀ states additional predictors in larger model have no response association
- Test H₀ with F-statistics and p-values

Example

- Predictor interaction requires comparing nested models
- Consider a model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_1 x_2$.
- Test significance of x_1 with null hypothesis $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_3 = 0$



Significance Testing II

- F-Statistic
 - Compare two nested models using their R² and F-statistic
 - R^2 is fraction of response variance captured by predictors

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i})^{2}}$$

• F-statistic of two nested models follows F distribution

$$F_{k,n-p-1} = \frac{R^2 - R_*^2}{k} \times \frac{n-p-1}{1-R^2}$$

P-Values

- Probability F-statistic greater than or equal to observed value would occur under H_0
- Small p-values cast doubt on H₀



Appendix References Extra Slides

Treatment of Missing Data

Missing Completely at Random (MCAR)

- Treat unobserved design points as missing data
- Sampling UAR ensures observations are MCAR
- Data is missing for reasons unrelated to characteristics or responses of the configuration

Informative Missing

- Data is more likely missing if their responses are systematically higher or lower
- "Missingness" is non-ignorable and must also be modeled
- Sampling UAR avoids such modeling complications



References Extra Slides

Performance Associations I



A B + A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

★ Ξ → ★ Ξ →



-분/ 백

Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 44 :: ASPLOS-XII

Appendix References Extra Slides

Performance Associations II



Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 45 :: ASPLOS-XII

References Extra Slides

Performance Associations III





Benjamin C. Lee, David M. Brooks 46 :: ASPLOS-XII

Appendix References Extra Slides

Significance Tests

Microarchitectural Predictors

- Majority of F-tests imply significance (p-values < 2.2E 16)
- Several predictors were less significant
 - Control latency (p-value = 0.1247)
 - Reservation station size (p-value = 0.1239)
 - L1 instruction cache size (p-value = 0.02941)

Application-Specific Predictors

- Majority of F-tests imply significance (p-values < 2.2E 16)
- Pipeline stalls classified by structure are less significant
 - Completion and reorder queue stalls (p-values > 0.4)



Appendix Appendix Extra Slides

Related Work

Statistical Significance Ranking

- Yi :: Plackett-Burman, effect rankings
- Joseph :: Stepwise regression, coefficient rankings
- Bound parameter values to improve tractability
- Require simulation for estimation

Synthetic Workloads

- Eeckhout :: Profile workloads to obtain synthetic traces
- Nussbaum :: Superscalar and SMP simulation
- Obtain distribution of instructions and data dependencies
- Require simulation with smaller traces for estimation

