# Balance recovery in the double support phase during perturbed walking

M. van Mierlo, M. Vlutters, H. van der Kooij & E. H. F. Asseldonk

## **Background & Aim**

- Exoskeletons have a very slow walking speed.
- Slow walking increases duration of the double support phase (DSP).
- Crucial to control balance when both feet are on the ground.
- The centre of pressure (CoP) describes the control of the centre of mass (CoM) movement [1].

How do modulations of the CoP trajectory contribute to the control of the CoM during the double support phase?

### **Experimental data**

- 10 subjects walking at 1.25 ms<sup>-1</sup> [2]
- Pelvis perturbations at toe-off right
- Magnitude 4, 8, 12, 16 % of body weight



## Methods

Modelled data

Simple inverted pendulum model [3]:

- Generated CoP trajectories - Input:
- CoM position and velocity Output:

 $\Delta$  CoM velocity = end – begin velocity of the DSP



## **Results**

Baseline

Forward

16 %

12 %

8%

4 %

4 %

8 %

12%

16 %

CoP

СоМ

Cross CoM CoP

All data is presented

in the sagittal plane.

Backward

#### Experimental data

Perturbation

Duration





Figure 4: Relationships between CoP parameters and  $\Delta$  CoM velocity for experimental (triangles) with ellipses [mean ± std] and LLSQ fit [black line and R<sup>2</sup> value]) and modelled data (green line).

#### **Experimental data**

Linear relationships between the  $\Delta$  CoM velocity and all CoP parameters.

#### Modelled data

- Directions of relationships correspond with experimental data.
- Systematically lower Δ CoM velocity compared to experimental data.

#### [1] H. Reimann, T. D. Fettrow, E. D. Thompson, P. Agada, B. J. McFadyen, and J. J. Jeka, "Complementary

References

Figure 3: Top) Experimental CoP and CoM trajectories for different perturbation magnitudes. Bottom) Generated CoP trajectories based on values from experimental data and modelled CoM trajectories.

- Minimal difference between the end CoM position of the experimental and modelled data: 0.1 – 0.4 mm
- Systematically lower Δ CoM velocities for modelled data: 0.1 95 mm/s

#### Conclusion

• A simple inverted pendulum model is able to model representative CoM trajectories during the DSP, from a generated input CoP trajectory using only three CoP parameters.

 Subjects used all the CoP parameters, the duration, amplitude and midpoint, to control the CoM velocity after a perturbation.

• Earlier or later loading the leading leg helped in controlling the CoM velocity, and was even more effective than changing the duration or amplitude.

mechanisms for upright balance during walking," PLoS One, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1–16, 2017. [2] M. Vlutters, E. H. F. van Asseldonk, and H. van der Kooij, "Center of mass velocity-based predictions in balance recovery following pelvis perturbations during human walking," J. Exp. Biol., vol. 219, no. 10, pp. 1514–1523, 2016. [3] Y. Jian, D. Winter, M. Ishac, and L. Gilchrist, "Trajectory of the body COG and COP during initiation and termination of gait," Gait Posture, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 9–22, 1993.



This work is part of the research program Wearable Robotics with project number P16-05, which is (partly) funded by the Dutch Research Council (NWO)