

Introduction

- Robotic exoskeletons can enhance gait rehabilitation for individuals with Spinal Cord Injuries (SCIs)
- Current intent detection methods limit fluent human-robot interaction (HRI)
 - Existing devices use primitive methods for intent detection (e.g., button presses)
- This work explores a model-based strategy for intent detection using template models of locomotion



- Gait library based on template models predicts walking dynamics across a range of speeds
- Interacting Multi-Model (IMM) Estimation used to infer target gait in the library from exoskeleton sensor measurements

Model & Gait Optimization

- Bipedal Spring-Loaded Inverted Pendulum (B-SLIP)
 - \Box 3D variant enables capturing increased center of mass (CoM) sway at velocities below 1 m/s



3D B-SLIP Model

- Alternates between double support (DS) and single support (SS) - leg touchdown (TD) and liftoff (LO) mark transitions
- Shooting techniques used to find two-step periodic gaits
- Data-driven foot placement regularization improves convergence
- Model displays increased sensitivity at low speeds



X

 $\mathbf{\hat{V}}$

Optimization of Low-Speed Gaits

- Low-speed gait optimization is sensitive to initial conditions
- Linearized return-map analysis enables predictor-correct scheme to find gait parameters at a lower velocity
- Iterating over desired velocity range yields a gait library

Multi-Model Estimation

- Bank of parallel Extended Kalman Filters (EKFs) EKF models are B-SLIP models tuned for library gaits
 - □ Leg length vs. free length (physical likelihood)
 - □ Measurement residuals (probabilistic likelihood)
- Ensemble estimate from a weighted average of filter estimates
- IMM framework selects the most likely gait from the library



IMM Estimation Framework

Ekso GT exoskeleton

Toward Model-Based Intent Detection for Lower-Extremity Exoskeletons Roopak M. Karulkar and Patrick M. Wensing

Dept. of Aero. & Mech. Engineering, University of Notre Dame



Results



Comparison of gaits at speeds of 0.6 m/s (left) and 1.0 m/s (right)

- Framework tested with exoskeleton sensor data of a subject walking at approx. 0.6 m/s using a walker
- CoM trajectory of an individual walking in an exoskeleton matches more closely with faster gait
- The IMM framework chooses the gait with lowest residual as
 - most likely



Conclusions & Future Work

- Estimator identifies closest matching gait in the library Fidelity of library affects estimator performance
- Next steps:
 - Develop a higher fidelity library
 - □ Incorporate effects of ambulatory devices in the model □ Augment estimator to accommodate gait asymmetry errors



Model weights of the IMM when applied to exoskeleton measurements

rkarulka@nd.edu