Hashing
Logistics

• Homework 10 due tomorrow
• Review on Monday
  – Come with questions
• Final on the following Friday at 3pm in CHEM 102
A hash function is a function that:
- When applied to an Object, returns a number
- When applied to *equal* Objects, returns the *same* number for each
- When applied to *unequal* Objects, is *very unlikely* to return the same number for each

Hash functions turn out to be very important for searching, that is, looking things up fast

This is their story....
Searching

• Consider the problem of searching an array for a given value
• If the array is not sorted, the search requires $O(n)$ time
  – If the value isn’t there, we need to search all $n$ elements
  – If the value is there, we search $\leq n$ elements
• If the array is sorted, we can do a binary search
  – A binary search requires $O(\log n)$ time
  – About equally fast whether the element is found or not
• It doesn’t seem like we could do much better
  – How about an $O(1)$, that is, constant time search?
  – We can do it if the array is organized in a particular way
Suppose we were to come up with a “magic function” that, given a value to search for, would tell us exactly where in the array to look

- If it’s in that location, it’s in the array
- If it’s not in that location, it’s not in the array

This function would have no other purpose.

If we look at the function’s inputs and outputs, they probably won’t “make sense”.

This function is called a hash function because it “makes hash” of its inputs.
Example (ideal) hash function

- Suppose our hash function gave us the following values:
  - `hashCode("apple") = 5`
  - `hashCode("watermelon") = 3`
  - `hashCode("grapes") = 8`
  - `hashCode("cantaloupe") = 7`
  - `hashCode("kiwi") = 0`
  - `hashCode("strawberry") = 9`
  - `hashCode("mango") = 6`
  - `hashCode("banana") = 2`
Sets and tables

• Sometimes we just want a set of things – objects are either in it, or they are not in it
• Sometimes we want a map – a way of looking up one thing based on the value of another
  – We use a key to find a place in the map
  – The associated value is the information we are trying to look up
• Hashing works the same for both sets and maps
  – Most of our examples will be sets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>robin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>sparrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>hawk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>seagull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>bluejay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>owl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finding the hash function

• How can we come up with this magic function?
• In general, we cannot – there is no such magic function
  – In a few specific cases, where all the possible values are known in advance, it has been possible to compute a perfect hash function

• What is the next best thing?
  – A perfect hash function would tell us exactly where to look
  – In general, the best we can do is a function that tells us where to start looking!
Example imperfect hash function

- Suppose our hash function gave us the following values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hash Code Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;apple&quot; = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;watermelon&quot; = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;grapes&quot; = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;cantaloupe&quot; = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;kiwi&quot; = 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;strawberry&quot; = 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;mango&quot; = 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;banana&quot; = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;honeydew&quot; = 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Now what?
Collisions

• When two values hash to the same array location, this is called a collision

• Collisions are normally treated as first come, first served – the first value that hashes to the location gets it

• We have to find something to do with the second and subsequent values that hash to this same location
Handling collisions

• What can we do when two different values attempt to occupy the same place in an array?

• **Solution #1:** Search from there for an empty location
  – Can stop searching when we find the value *or* an empty location
  – Search must be end-around

• **Solution #2:** Use a second hash function
  – ...and a third, and a fourth, and a fifth, ...

• **Solution #3:** Use the array location as the header of a linked list of values that hash to this location

• All these solutions work, provided:
  – We use the same technique to *add* things to the array as we use to *search* for things in the array
Insertion, I

• Suppose you want to add **seagull** to this hash table

• Also suppose:
  – `hashCode(seagull) = 143`
  – `table[143]` is not empty
  – `table[143] != seagull`
  – `table[144]` is not empty
  – `table[144] != seagull`
  – `table[145]` is empty

• Therefore, put **seagull** at location 145
Searching, I

• Suppose you want to look up \textcolor{blue}{seagull} in this hash table

• Also suppose:
  – \texttt{hashCode(seagull)} = 143
  – \texttt{table[143]} is not empty
  – \texttt{table[143]} \(!=\) \texttt{seagull}
  – \texttt{table[144]} is not empty
  – \texttt{table[144]} \(!=\) \texttt{seagull}
  – \texttt{table[145]} is not empty
  – \texttt{table[145]} == \texttt{seagull}

• We found \textcolor{blue}{seagull} at location 145
Suppose you want to look up **cow** in this hash table

Also suppose:
- `hashCode(cow) = 144`
- `table[144]` is not empty
- `table[144] != cow`
- `table[145]` is not empty
- `table[145] != cow`
- `table[146]` is empty

If **cow** were in the table, we should have found it by now

Therefore, it isn’t here
Suppose you want to add **hawk** to this hash table.

Also suppose:

- `hashCode(hawk) = 143`
- `table[143]` is not empty
- `table[143] != hawk`
- `table[144]` is not empty
- `table[144] == hawk`

**hawk** is already in the table, so do nothing.
Suppose you want to add **cardinal** to this hash table.

Also suppose:
- `hashCode(hawk) = 147`

The last location is 148.

Solution:
- Treat the table as circular: after 148 comes 0
- Hence, **cardinal** goes in location 0 (or 1, or 2, or ...)
Efficiency

• Hash tables are actually surprisingly efficient
• Until the table is about 70% full, the number of probes (places looked at in the table) is typically only 2 or 3
• Sophisticated mathematical analysis is required to prove that the expected cost of inserting into a hash table, or looking something up in the hash table, is O(1)
• Even if the table is nearly full (leading to occasional long searches), efficiency is usually still quite high
Solution #2: Rehashing

• In the event of a collision, another approach is to **rehash**: compute another hash function
  – Since we may need to rehash many times, we need an easily computable sequence of functions

• Simple example: in the case of hashing Strings, we might take the previous hash code and add the length of the String to it
  – Probably better if the length of the string was not a component in computing the original hash function

• Possibly better yet: add the length of the String plus the number of probes made so far
  – Problem: are we sure we will look at every location in the array?

• Rehashing is a fairly uncommon approach, and we won’t pursue it any further here
Solution #3: Bucket hashing

- The previous solutions used **open hashing**: all entries went into a “flat” (unstructured) array.

- Another solution is to make each array location the header of a linked list of values that hash to that location.
The hashCode function

• public int hashCode() is defined in Object
• Like equals, the default implementation of hashCode just uses the address of the object – probably not what you want for your own objects
• You can override hashCode for your own objects
• As you might expect, String overrides hashCode with a version appropriate for strings
  – Note that the supplied hashCode method can return any possible int value (including negative numbers)
  – You have to adjust the returned int value to the size of your hash table
Why do you care?

• Java provides **HashSet**, **Hashtable**, and **HashMap** for your use
• These classes are very fast and very easy to use
• They work great, without any additional effort, for **Strings**
• But...
• They will **not work** for your own objects **unless either:**
  – You are satisfied with the inherited **equals** method (no object is equal to any other, separately created object)
• **Or:**
  – You have defined **equals** for your objects **and**
  – You have **also** defined a **hashCode** method that is **consistent with your equals** method (that is, equal objects have equal hash codes)
Writing your own hashCode

• A `hashCode` method must:
  – Return a value that is (or can be converted to) a legal array index
  – Always return the same value for the same input
    • It can’t use random numbers, or the time of day
  – Return the same value for `equal` inputs
    • Must be consistent with your `equals` method
• It does not need to guarantee different values for different inputs
• A `good` `hashCode` method should:
  – Make it unlikely that different objects have the same hash code
  – Be efficient to compute
  – Give a uniform distribution of values
  – Not assign similar numbers to similar input values
• Eclipse example: Cat.java
Bottom line

- You do not have to write a `hashCode` method if:
  - You never use a built-in class that depends on it, or
  - You put only `Strings` in hash sets, and use only `Strings` as keys in hash maps (values don’t matter), or
  - You are happy with `equals` meaning `==`, and don’t override it
- You do have to write a `hashCode` method if:
  - You use a built-in hashing class for your own objects, and you override `equals` for those objects
- Finally, if you ever override `hashCode`, you must also override `equals`